Chapter 10

The singular series

Recall that Theorems 9.3 and 9.4 together provide us the estimate

(10.1)
$$R(n) = \mathfrak{S}(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^9 \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2),$$

where the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ was defined in Chapter 9 as

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(q)}{q^9},$$

with

$$S(q) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \\ \gcd(a,q) = 1}} S(q,a)^9 e(-an/q), \quad S(q,a) = \sum_{m=1}^q e(am^3/q).$$

The definition of the Gamma function shows that $\Gamma(\frac{4}{3}) > 0$, hence if we could prove that $\mathfrak{S}(n) > 0$ then the main result of our lectures, Theorem 7.1, would be established with

$$c = \frac{\mathfrak{S}(n)}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^9.$$

Our aim in this chapter is to prove $\mathfrak{S}(n) > 0$ and furthermore to provide a conceptual description of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Define for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$M_n(q) := \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_9) \in (\mathbb{Z} \cap [1, q])^9 : x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 \equiv n \pmod{q}\},\$$

where here and below, the x_i denote integers. For prime powers $q = p^k$ we might guess that for each of the p^{8k} choices for the variables $1 \leq x_1, \ldots, x_8 \leq p^k$ there exist at most 3 solutions of the cubic equation in the variable x_9 ,

$$x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 \equiv n \pmod{p^k}.$$

Hence it is natural to consider the following limit for every prime p,

(10.2)
$$\sigma_p(n) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{M_n(p^k)}{p^{8k}}.$$

Theorem 10.1. The limit (10.2) exists and is positive. Furthermore the infinite product $\prod_p \sigma_p(n)$, taken over all primes, converges absolutely to the singular series,

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \prod_{p} \sigma_{p}(n).$$

The constants $\sigma_p(n)$ are called *p*-adic Hardy-Littlewood densities and, as (10.2) reveals, they are intimately connected to solving the equation

$$x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 = n$$

modulo positive integers q. Of course, if there is some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 \equiv n \pmod{q}$$

has no solutions for x_i then R(n) = 0. One interpretation of Theorem 10.1 is that it provides evidence for the *opposite*; namely that if $x_1^3 + \cdots + x_9^3 = n$ is soluble modulo every q then it can be solved in the integers. This is not true in general, a counterexample is given by

$$4x_1^2 + 25x_2^2 - 5x_3^2 = 1$$

10.1 Relating $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ to $\sigma_p(n)$.

Lemma 10.2. Let q_1, q_2 be coprime integers and let $q := q_1q_2$. Then for all

$$a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, q_1], \quad a_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, q_2]$$

we have

$$S(q_1, a_1)S(q_2, a_2) = S(q, a),$$

where $a := a_1q_2 + a_2q_1$.

Proof. As the variable m_1 ranges through all residue classes (mod q_1) in the sum

$$S(q_1, a_1) = \sum_{m_1 \pmod{q_1}} e\left(\frac{a_1 m_1^3}{q_1}\right)$$

we see that, due to the coprimality of q_1, q_2 , the integers m_1q_2 also cover all residue classes (mod q_1). Hence we may write

$$S(q_1, a_1) = \sum_{m_1 \pmod{q_1}} e\left(\frac{a_1(m_1q_2)^3}{q_1}\right),$$

and the fact that for any positive integer q the function $e(\frac{\cdot}{q})$ is periodic (mod q), allows us to write

$$S(q_1, a_1) = \sum_{m_1 \pmod{q_1}} e\left(\frac{a_1(m_1q_2)^3}{q_1}\right) = \sum_{m_1 \pmod{q_1}} e\left(\frac{a_1(m_1q_2 + m_2q_1)^3}{q_1}\right).$$

A similar argument shows that

$$S(q_2, a_2) = \sum_{m_2 \pmod{q_2}} e\left(\frac{a_2(m_1q_2 + m_2q_1)^3}{q_2}\right).$$

Thus we are led to

$$S(q_1, a_1)S(q_2, a_2) = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \pmod{q_1} \\ m_2 \pmod{q_2}}} e\left(\frac{a_1(m_1q_2 + m_2q_1)^3}{q_1} + \frac{a_2(m_1q_2 + m_2q_1)^3}{q_2}\right),$$

which equals

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1 \pmod{q_1} \\ m_2 \pmod{q_2}}} e\left(\frac{(a_1q_2 + a_2q_1)(m_1q_2 + m_2q_1)^3}{q_1q_2}\right).$$

We can see that as the variables m_1, m_2 range through all available residue classes (mod q_1) and (mod q_2) respectively, then the variable

$$m := m_1 q_2 + m_2 q_1$$

takes each residue class (mod q_1q_2) once. Therefore the last sum equals

m

$$\sum_{a \pmod{q_1 q_2}} e\left(\frac{(a_1 q_2 + a_2 q_1)m^3}{q_1 q_2}\right),\,$$

which concludes our proof.

Lemma 10.3. The function S(q) is multiplicative.

Proof. Let q_1, q_2 be coprime positive integers. Then the sets

$$\{a_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, q_1] : \gcd(a_1, q_1) = 1\} \times \{a_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, q_2] : \gcd(a_2, q_2) = 1\}$$

and $\{a \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1,q] : \gcd(a,q) = 1\}$ are in 1-1 correspondence. This can be seen by mapping $(a_1 \pmod{q_1}), a_2 \pmod{q_2})$ to $a \pmod{q}$, where $a := a_1q_2 + a_2q_1$. Hence we may write

$$S(q) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a_1 \leq q_1 \\ \gcd(a_1,q_1) = 1 \\ \gcd(a_2,q_2) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a_2 \leq q_2 \\ \gcd(a_2,q_2) = 1}} S(q,a)^9 e\left(-n\frac{(a_1q_2 + a_2q_1)}{q}\right).$$

The identity

$$e\left(-n\frac{(a_1q_2+a_2q_1)}{q}\right) = e\left(-n\frac{a_1}{q_1}\right)e\left(-n\frac{a_2}{q_2}\right)$$

and Lemma 10.2 allows us to deduce

$$S(q) = \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le a_1 \le q_1\\ \gcd(a_1, q_1) = 1}} S(q_1, a_1)^9 e\left(-n\frac{a_1}{q_1}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le a_2 \le q_2\\ \gcd(a_2, q_2) = 1}} S(q_2, a_2)^9 e\left(-n\frac{a_2}{q_2}\right)\right),$$

which is sufficient.

which is sufficient.

Recall that we have proved in Chapter 9 that $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ is an absolutely convergent series, a fact which, when combined with Lemma 10.3 shows that the Euler product of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ is

(10.3)
$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(p^m)}{p^{9m}} \right)$$

and furthermore that for each prime p,

(10.4)
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{S(p^m)}{p^{9m}} \right) \quad \text{exists.}$$

Lemma 10.4. For each prime p and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$1 + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{S(p^m)}{p^{9m}} = \frac{M_n(p^k)}{p^{8k}}$$

Proof. We begin by detecting solutions x_i of the equation

$$x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 \equiv n \pmod{p^k}$$

using certain exponential functions. To this end observe that for each integer x we have

$$\frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p^k} e\left(\alpha \frac{x}{p^k}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus writing

$$M_n(p^k) = \sum_{1 \le x_1, \dots, x_9 \le p^k} a_n(x_1, \dots x_9) \text{ with } a_n(x_1, \dots x_9) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 - n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}, \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and inverting the order of summation, we see that $M_n(p^k)$ equals

$$\frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p^k} \sum_{1 \le x_1, \dots, x_9 \le p^k} e\left(\alpha \frac{(x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 - n)}{p^k}\right) = \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p^k} S(p^k, \alpha)^9 e(-\alpha n/p^k).$$

Let $\nu_p(\alpha) := t$ where t is the integer such that p^t divides α but p^{t+1} does not divide α . Then each integer α in the last sum can be factorised uniquely as $\alpha = p^{k-m}a$, where $m := k - \nu_p(\alpha)$ and a is coprime to p. Note that $1 \leq \alpha \leq p^k$, hence the only possible values for m and a are

$$0 \leq m \leq k, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p^m.$$

Note that the identity $\alpha = p^{k-m}a$ implies that

$$S(p^k, \alpha) = \sum_{1 \le x \le p^k} e\left(\frac{ax^3}{p^m}\right) = p^{k-m}S(p^m, a),$$

hence we obtain that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p^k} S(p^k, \alpha)^9 e(-\alpha n/p^k)$ is equal to

$$p^{9k} \sum_{m=0}^{k} p^{-9m} \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le p^m \\ \gcd(a, p^m) = 1}} S(p^m, a)^9 e\left(-an/p^m\right) = p^{9k} \sum_{m=0}^{k} p^{-9m} S(p^m).$$

This is sufficient for our lemma.

Combining (10.4) and Lemma 10.4 shows that the limit (10.2), that defines $\sigma_p(n)$. exists. In addition, Lemma 10.4 and (10.3) show that

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \prod_{p} \sigma_{p}(n),$$

hence the only remaining part regarding the verification of Theorem 10.1 is the positivity of each $\sigma_p(n)$. This is the aim of the last section.

Remark 10.5. The absolute convergence of the series defining $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ guarantees that the infinite product in Theorem 10.1 is absolutely convergent. As such, it has a strictly positive value if and only if each of the *p*-adic factors is strictly positive. Therefore the positivity of each $\sigma_p(n)$ guarantees that the constant *c* in Theorem 7.1 does not vanish, which, in turn, implies that for all large enough integers *n* the function R(n) is positive, i.e. there exists at least one representation of *n* as a sum of exactly 9 positive integer cubes.

10.2 Positivity of the *p*-adic densities.

For primes p define the quantity

$$\gamma_p := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p = 2, 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } p > 3. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 10.6. For each prime p, every element in $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\gamma_p}\mathbb{Z}$ is the sum of at most 9 cubes of elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\gamma_p}\mathbb{Z}$, at least one of which is coprime to p.

Proof. The statement is obvious when p = 2 or 3, since one can add 1^3 several times. Assume that p > 3, so that $\gamma_p = 1$. We have that $0 \pmod{p}$ equals $1^3 + (-1)^3 \pmod{p}$, hence it is sufficient to prove that each element of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* := (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ is a sum of at most 9 cubes. We know that this set forms a cyclic group under multiplication. Pick a generator g and consider the subgroup

$$\Gamma_p := \{ g^{3m}(\mathrm{mod}p) : m \in \mathbb{N} \},\$$

which has order

$$\frac{p-1}{\gcd(p-1,3)}$$

If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then $\Gamma_p = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, hence our lemma holds. In the remaining case, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, the set Γ_p has (p-1)/3 elements. Let $C_1 := \Gamma_p$ and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \ge 2$ denote by C_m the elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ that are a sum of melements of Γ_m but not a sum of m-1 elements of Γ_m . Fix $j \ge 1$ and consider the minimum element $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ that is not in any of C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_j . Then x-1 or x-2 is also in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ and must therefore be a sum of at most j cubes. Owing to $x = (x-1) + 1^3$ and $x = (x-2) + 1^3 + 1^3$, we see that $x \in C_{j+1}$ or $x \in C_{j+2}$. Applying this for j = 1 and j = 3 we infer that at least 3 of C_1, \ldots, C_5 must be non-empty. Also note that for each j we have $\Gamma_p C_j \subset C_j$, hence if C_j is not empty then it must contain at least $\#\Gamma_p = \frac{p-1}{3}$ elements. Assume that

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^5 C_i.$$

Then

$$p-1 > \sum_{j=1}^{5} \#C_j = \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le 5\\ \#C_j \neq 0}} \#C_j \ge \frac{p-1}{3} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le 5\\ \#C_j \neq 0}} 1 \ge p-1,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves that each element of $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ is a sum of at most 5 cubes, all of which are coprime to p.

We deduce that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and prime p, there is at least one solution of

$$x_1^3 + \dots + x_9^3 \equiv n \pmod{p^{\gamma_p}}$$

with $p \nmid x_j$ for some j. For each $i \neq j$ and $k > \gamma_p$ there are $p^{k-\gamma_p}$ elements $y_i \pmod{p^k}$ with $y_i \equiv x_i \pmod{p^{\gamma_p}}$. For any of those $(p^{k-\gamma_p})^8$ choices we note that

$$n - \sum_{i \neq j} y_i^3 \equiv n - \sum_{i \neq j} x_i^3 \equiv x_j^3 \pmod{p},$$

hence

$$\mu := n - \sum_{i \neq j} y_i^3$$

is an integer coprime to p for which the equation $x^3 \equiv \mu \pmod{p}$ has a solution. Hensel's lemma allows us to lift this solution to a solution (mod p^k), thereby giving rise to a solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{9} x_i^3 \equiv n \pmod{p^k}$$

This implies that $M_n(p^k) \ge (p^{k-\gamma_p})^8$, hence $\sigma_p(n) \ge p^{-8\gamma_p} > 0$, thus concluding the proof of Theorem 10.1.