

## Exercise sheet IV - ‘Jacobians and theta functions’ - Fall 2010

**Exercise 1.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  an open cover of  $X$ . A *refinement* of  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$  together with a map  $p: J \rightarrow I$  such that  $V_j \subset U_{p(j)}$  for all  $j \in J$ .

(i) Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$  be a description on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{V}, p)$  be a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Give a definition of the ‘restriction’ of  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $(\mathcal{V}, p)$ , and prove that this is a description.

(ii) Prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  and its restriction to  $(\mathcal{V}, p)$  are equivalent.

*Note:* A complex manifold is *paracompact*, i.e., each open cover has a locally finite refinement. Thus, when  $\{(U_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}$  is a description of a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $X$ , we may assume that the cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  is locally finite.

In the following,  $V$  denotes a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space,  $\Lambda$  denotes a lattice in  $V$ .

**Exercise 2.** A holomorphic theta function on  $(V, \Lambda)$  is a holomorphic function  $\theta: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that there exist maps  $L: \Lambda \rightarrow V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$  and  $J: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\theta(z + \lambda) = \theta(z) \mathbf{e}(L(\lambda)(z) + J(\lambda))$$

for all  $z \in V, \lambda \in \Lambda$ .

(i) Assume that  $\theta$  is non-zero. Prove that  $L$  and  $J$  are determined by  $\theta$ .

(ii) Let  $L, J$  be associated as above to a non-zero holomorphic theta function  $\theta$  on  $(V, \Lambda)$ . Prove that

$$L(\lambda + \lambda') = L(\lambda) + L(\lambda')$$

and

$$J(\lambda + \lambda') - J(\lambda) - J(\lambda') \equiv L(\lambda, \lambda') \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

A holomorphic theta function  $\theta$  is called *trivial* if  $\theta(z) = \mathbf{e}(Q(z))$  for some inhomogeneous quadric  $Q$  on  $V$ .

(iii) Show that a nowhere zero holomorphic theta function is trivial.

A meromorphic theta function on  $(V, \Lambda)$  is the quotient of two holomorphic theta functions for  $(V, \Lambda)$ .

(iv) Verify that the set of non-zero meromorphic theta functions on  $(V, \Lambda)$  form a multiplicative group, with the set of trivial theta functions as a subgroup.

The pair  $(L, J)$  associated to a nonzero holomorphic theta function  $\theta$  is called the *type* of  $\theta$ .

(v) Verify that the set of non-zero theta functions of a fixed type, unioned with  $\{0\}$ , forms a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

Assume the truth of Poincaré’s theorem. Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor on  $X = V/\Lambda$ . We put

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \{f \in \mathcal{M}(X)^* \mid \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

This is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Let  $\theta$  be a meromorphic theta function on  $(V, \Lambda)$  such that  $\pi^*D = \text{div} \theta$ ; here  $\pi: V \rightarrow X$  is the canonical projection.

(vi) Show that in fact  $\theta$  is holomorphic.

Let  $(L, J)$  be the type of  $\theta$  and let  $\text{Th}(L, J)$  be the vector space associated to  $(L, J)$  by (v).

(vii) Prove that  $f \mapsto f \cdot \theta$  defines a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \text{Th}(L, J)$ .