

(1)

PAIRS OF BINARY FORMS WITH GIVEN RESULTANT

Attila Bérczes (Debrecen)

Kálmán Győry (Debrecen)

Jan Hendrik Evertse (Leiden)

CNTA 9 VANCOUVER

July 13, 2006

Preprint:

[http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/
~evertse/publications.shtml](http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~evertse/publications.shtml)

(2)

RESULTANTS

The resultant of

$$F(X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i X - \beta_i Y), \quad G(X, Y) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\gamma_j X - \delta_j Y)$$

is given by

$$R(F, G) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n (\alpha_i \delta_j - \beta_i \gamma_j)$$

For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ define $F_A(X, Y) = F(aX + bY, cX + dY)$

Two pairs of binary forms $(F_1, G_1), (F_2, G_2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ are called equivalent if

$\exists \lambda \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $F_2 = (F_1)_\lambda, G_2 = (G_1)_\lambda$

Facts: i) $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y] \Rightarrow R(F, G) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{ii)} \quad R(\lambda F_A, \mu G_A) = \lambda^m \mu^m (\det A)^{mn} R(F_A, G_A)$$

iii) $(F_1, G_1), (F_2, G_2)$ equivalent \Rightarrow

$$R(F_1, G_1) = R(F_2, G_2).$$

(3)

RESUL~~T~~TANT EQUATIONS

We consider the equation

$R(F, G) = c$ in binary formy $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$
 $(c \in \mathbb{Z}, c \neq 0)$

The solutions (F, G) can be divided
 into equivalence classes.

—

Under certain constraints imposed on
 F, G the number of equivalence classes
 is finite

We want to estimate from above
 the number of equivalence classes

Def. A binary form $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ is said to be associated to a sequence of number fields K_1, K_r if we can factor F as

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^r F_i,$$

where $F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ is irreducible and $\exists \theta_i$ with $F_i(\theta_i, 1) = 0$, $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\theta_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Fact. $\deg F = \sum_{i=1}^r [K_i : \mathbb{Q}]$.

(4)

THEOREM A (GYÖRY, E., 1993)

Let $m \geq 3$, $n \geq 3$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c \neq 0$ and let K_1, \dots, K_r , L_1, \dots, L_s be number fields with

$$\sum_{i=1}^r [K_i : \mathbb{Q}] = m, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s [L_j : \mathbb{Q}] = n.$$

Then there are only finitely many equivalence classes of pairs of binary forms $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ such that

$$(1) \quad R(F, G) = c$$

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F, G \text{ have no multiple factors} \\ F \text{ associated to } K_1, \dots, K_r \\ G \text{ associated to } L_1, \dots, L_s \end{array} \right.$$

Remarks • (2) implies $\deg F = m$, $\deg G = n$

- There may be infinitely many equivalence classes if $m \leq 2$, $n \leq 2$ or if F, G do not have their root in prescribed number fields

EXAMPLES :

1) $m \leq 2$ or $n \leq 2$

$$F(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - d y_i^2 \quad (d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, d \neq 1)$$

$$G(X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (y_i X - x_i Y),$$

$$\text{with } x_i^2 - d y_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow R(F, G) = L$$

2) F, G not associated to given number fields

Let $m \leq n$. Pick any two binary forms

$F_0, G_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ of degrees m, n respectively

Then for any binary form $H \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ of degree $n-m$, $R(F_0, G_0 + H F_0) = R(F_0, G_0)$

(6)

CONES

It is too difficult to give an explicit upper bound for the number of equivalence classes in the Theorem. Instead, we estimate the number of cones.

Def. $NS_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc \neq 0 \right\}$

A cone is a set of pairs of binary forms of the shape

$\mathcal{C}(F_0, G_0) = \left\{ (F, G) : F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y], \exists A \in NS_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } F = (F_0)_A, G = (G_0)_{\det A^{-1} A} \right\}$

with given $F_0, G_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$

- Facts.
 - 1) $(F, G) \in \mathcal{C}(F_0, G_0) \Rightarrow R(F, G) = R(F_0, G_0)$
 - 2) A cone is the union of finitely many equivalence classes.

(7)

THEOREM 1 (BÉRCZES, GYÖRY, E., 2006)

Let $m, n, c \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, k_r, l_1, \dots, l_s$ be as in Theorem A.

Then the collection of pairs of binary forms $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ with (1), (2) is contained in the union of at most

$$e^{10^{24} mn(m+n)} \cdot \psi(c)$$

cones.

Def. For $c = \pm p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_t^{l_t}$ (p_i distinct primes) we put

$$\psi(c) := 2^t \prod_{i=1}^t \binom{mn + l_i + 2}{m+n+2}$$

THE MAIN TOOL:

(Schlickewei, Schmidt, E., 2002)

Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ($\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{alg. closure of } \mathbb{Q}$).

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ of rank r .

Then the equation

$$a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = 1 \quad \text{in } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma$$

has at most

$$e^{(6n)^{4n}(r+1)}$$

solutions with

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i \neq 0 \quad \text{for each non-empty } I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF:

Let $F(X,Y) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i X - \beta_i Y)$, $G(X,Y) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\delta_j X - \gamma_j Y)$

be two binary forms satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.

Then $R(F,G) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n \Delta_{ij} = S$

with $\Delta_{ij} = \underline{\alpha_i \delta_j - \beta_i \gamma_j}$.

For any $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $f, g, h \in \{h \rightarrow n\}$,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{if} & \alpha_{ig} & \alpha_{ih} \\ \alpha_{jf} & \alpha_{jg} & \alpha_{jh} \\ \alpha_{kf} & \alpha_{kg} & \alpha_{kh} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

hence

$$u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 = 0,$$

with

$$u_i = \frac{\alpha_{if} \alpha_{ig} \alpha_{ih}}{\alpha_{kf} \alpha_{jg} \alpha_{ih}} \quad \text{etc.}$$

u_1, \dots, u_5 belong to a finitely generated group independent of F, G .

Applying the upper bound of SSE to $u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 = 1$ gives an explicit bound N such that the set of pairs (F, G) satisfying the condition of Theorem 1 lies in the union of at most N $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -cones

$$C_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}(F_i, G_i) = \left\{ (F, G) : F, G \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, y], \exists A \in GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \text{ with } F = (F_i)_A, G = (G_i)_{(\det A)^{-1} A} \right\}$$

with F_i, G_i given binary forms in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, y]$

An elementary argument gives the number of cones going into a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -cone.

EQUIVALENCE CLASSES INSTEAD OF CONES

THEOREM 2 (BAGÉ, 2006)

Let $m, n, c, K_1, \dots, K_r, L_1, \dots, L_s$ be as in Theorem A.

Then the number of equivalence classes of pairs of binary forms $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ with (1), (2) is at most

$$O(|c|^{\frac{1}{mn} + \varepsilon}) \text{ as } |c| \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

The implied constant depends on $\varepsilon, m, n, K_1, \dots, K_r$, and is ineffective.

$L_1 - L_s$

Remark. The exponent on $|C|$ is up to ϵ best possible.

Pick binary forms $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ of degrees $m \geq 3, n \geq 3$ with $R(F, G) \neq 0$

Let p be a prime. For $b=0, 1, \dots, p-1$ define

$$F_b(X, Y) := F(X+bY, pY), \quad G_b(X, Y) := G(X+bY, pY)$$

Then $R(F_b, G_b) = p^{mn} R(F, G) =: c$,

and the pairs (F_b, G_b) ($b=0, 1, \dots, p-1$)

lie in $p \gg |C|^{1/mn}$ distinct equivalence classes

(13)

THEOREM 1 \rightarrow THEOREM 2

Let $R(F_0, G_0)$ be one of the cones from Theorem 1.

The number of equivalence classes in $R(F_0, G_0)$ depends on the discriminant $D(G_0)$ of G_0 .

THEOREM (Cox, 1993)

If $\deg F_0 = m$, $\deg G_0 = n$, F_0, G_0 have no multiple factors, F_0 is associated to $K_L K_F$ and G_0 to $L \cup L_S$, then

$$|D(G_0)| \ll \frac{m!n!}{K_L \cdot K_F} |R(F_0, G_0)|^{\frac{m+n-m}{m}}.$$

Proof: Subspace Theorem.

APPLICATION TO THUE EQUATIONS

Let $F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ be a binary form of degree ≥ 3 and $c \in \mathbb{Z}, c \neq 0$. Consider

$$(T) \quad F(x, y) = c \quad \text{in } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(solutions $(x, y), (-x, -y)$ are considered equal).

THEOREM B (Györy, E., 1989)

Let $m \geq 3, c \in \mathbb{Z}, c \neq 0$ and let K_1, \dots, K_r be number fields with $\sum_{i=1}^r [K_i : \mathbb{Q}] = m$.

Then there are only finitely many $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of binary forms $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ such that

- (1) (T) has at least 3 solutions
- (2) $\begin{cases} F \text{ has no multiple factors} \\ F \text{ is associated to } K_1, \dots, K_r \end{cases}$

THEOREM 3 (BGE, 2006)

Let m, C, K_1, \dots, K_r be as in Theorem B

Then the number of $\mathfrak{S}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of binary forms $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ with (1), (2) is at most

$$\mathcal{O}((C)^{\frac{1}{m} + \varepsilon}) \text{ as } C \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

The implied constant depends on $\varepsilon, m, K_1, \dots, K_r$ and is ineffective.

Theorem 2 \Rightarrow Theorem 3

Suppose (T) has 3 solutions

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3).$$

$$\text{Put } G(X, Y) := \prod_{i=1}^3 (y_i X - x_i Y).$$

$$\text{Then } R(F, G) = \prod_{i=1}^3 F(x_i, y_i) = C^3.$$

(16)

FINAL REMARK:

All results have been proved in a more general form for equations

$$R(F, G) = c \cdot u$$

in binary forms $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}_S[x, y]$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_S^*$

where

$S = \{p_1, p_2\}$ finite set of primes,

$\mathbb{Z}_S = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1 - p_2}]$ ring of S -integers,

$$\mathbb{Z}_S^* = \{\pm p_1^{w_1} \cdots p_2^{w_2} : w_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

group of S units