

# Formal groups

Peter Bruin  
2 March 2006

## 0. Introduction

The topic of formal groups becomes important when we want to deal with *reduction* of elliptic curves. Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions  $K$  and residue class field  $k$ , and suppose we are given a Weierstraß equation

$$E: y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6, \quad a_i \in R.$$

If the discriminant of  $E$  is not in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , it makes sense to look at the solutions of the *reduced curve*  $\tilde{E}$  over  $k$  obtained by reducing the  $a_i$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ . It turns out that there are natural group homomorphisms

$$E(K) \cong E(R) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k),$$

and that the situation is relatively simple if we assume that  $R$  is a *complete* discrete valuation ring. We recall the definition of completeness of a ring with respect to an ideal.

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $A$ . Consider  $A$  as a topological ring by defining the sets  $I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq I^3 \supseteq \dots$  to be a basis of open neighbourhoods of 0. Then  $A$  is called *complete with respect to  $I$*  if  $A$  is Hausdorff (equivalently,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n = 0$ ) and complete with respect to this topology. It amounts to the same to say that  $A$  is complete with respect to  $I$  if the natural homomorphism of topological rings

$$A \rightarrow \varprojlim A/I^n,$$

where each  $A/I^n$  has the discrete topology, is an isomorphism.

If we assume that  $R$  is complete with respect to its maximal ideal, it turns out that we can construct a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{E}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow E(K) \longrightarrow \tilde{E}(k) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\hat{E}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a group that will be defined in the next section.

## 1. Parametrisation of an elliptic curve

Let  $(E, O)$  be an elliptic curve over a field  $k$ . We embed  $E$  in  $\mathbf{P}_k^2$  as a Weierstraß curve

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3$$

with  $O = (0 : 1 : 0)$ . We choose affine coordinates  $(z, w)$  on the open part  $D(Y)$  of  $\mathbf{P}_k^2$ , placing  $O$  at the origin of our coordinate system:

$$z = -X/Y, \quad w = -Z/Y;$$

after dividing by  $Y^3$ , the equation of the curve becomes

$$-w + a_1zw + a_3w^2 = -z^3 - a_2z^2w - a_4zw^2 - a_6w^3.$$

We put

$$f = z^3 + a_1zw + a_2z^2w + a_3w^2 + a_4zw^2 + a_6w^3 \in k[z, w]$$

and write the Weierstraß equation as

$$w = f(z, w).$$

We want to ‘solve’ this equation for  $w$  as a power series in  $z$ . To do this, we generalise things a bit by considering the above equation as a polynomial equation in the variable  $w$  over the ring

$$A = \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6][[z]],$$

which is the completion of the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, z]$  with respect to the ideal  $(z)$ . We put

$$F = -z^3 + (1 - a_1z - a_2z^2)w - (a_3 + a_4z)w^2 - a_6w^3 \in A[[w]]$$

and apply the following version of Hensel’s lemma to find a zero of  $F$ .

**Hensel’s lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a ring which is complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ , and let  $F \in A[[w]]$  be a polynomial. If for some  $m \geq 1$  we have*

$$F(0) \in I^m \quad \text{and} \quad F'(0) \equiv 1 \pmod{I},$$

*then there is an element  $\alpha \in I^m$  with  $F(\alpha) = 0$ , and the recursion*

$$w_0 = 0, \quad w_{n+1} = w_n - F(w_n) \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

*converges to  $\alpha$ . If moreover  $A$  is a domain,  $\alpha$  is the unique zero of  $F$  in  $I$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that the assumption  $F(0) \in I^m$  implies that  $F(x) \in I^m$  for all  $x \in I^m$ , and by induction on  $n$  it follows immediately that  $w_n \in I^m$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Next we prove by induction on  $n$  that

$$w_{n+1} \equiv w_n \pmod{I^{m+n}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

For  $n = 0$ , this is just the assumption  $F(0) \in I^m$ . Now suppose that the congruence holds for  $n - 1$ , and write

$$F(x) - F(y) = (x - y)(F'(0) + xG(x, y) + yH(x, y))$$

where  $G, H \in A[[x, y]]$  are certain polynomials. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{n+1} - w_n &= (w_n - F(w_n)) - (w_{n-1} - F(w_{n-1})) \\ &= (w_n - w_{n-1}) - (F(w_n) - F(w_{n-1})) \\ &= (w_n - w_{n-1}) - (w_n - w_{n-1})(F'(0) + w_nG(w_n, w_{n-1}) + w_{n-1}H(w_n, w_{n-1})) \\ &= (w_n - w_{n-1})(1 - F'(0) - w_nG(w_n, w_{n-1}) - w_{n-1}H(w_n, w_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

This is in  $I^{m+n}$  because  $w_n - w_{n-1} \in I^{m+n-1}$  by the induction hypothesis and because the second factor is in  $I$ . The completeness of  $A$  with respect to  $I$  implies that the sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  converges to a unique element  $\alpha \in A$ , which is in  $I^m$  because all the  $w_n$  are. The sequence  $\{F(w_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  converges to  $F(\alpha)$ , and taking the limit of the relation  $w_{n+1} = w_n - F(w_n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  shows that  $F(\alpha) = 0$ .

If  $A$  is a domain and  $\alpha, \beta \in I$  are zeros of  $F$ , then the equality

$$0 = F(\alpha) - F(\beta) = (\alpha - \beta)(F'(0) + \alpha G(\alpha, \beta) + \beta H(\alpha, \beta))$$

shows that either  $\alpha = \beta$  or  $F'(0) + \alpha G(\alpha, \beta) + \beta H(\alpha, \beta) \in I$ . The second possibility contradicts our assumption  $F'(0) - 1 \in I$ , so  $\alpha = \beta$ , and we conclude that  $\alpha$  is the unique zero of  $F$  in  $I$ .  $\square$

Carrying out the first few steps of the recursion gives us the following power series expansion of  $w$  in terms of  $z$ :

$$w = z^3(1 + a_1z + (a_1^2 + a_2)z^2 + (a_1^3 + 2a_1a_2 + a_3)z^3 + \cdots).$$

Now let  $K$  be the field of fractions of an integral local  $k$ -algebra  $A$  which is complete with respect to its maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then the power series  $w(z)$  (or any power series with coefficients in  $A$ , for that matter) converges for all  $z \in \mathfrak{m}$ . This gives us an injective map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} &\rightarrow E(K) \\ z &\mapsto (z : -1 : w(z)), \end{aligned}$$

or (in terms of the coordinates  $z$  and  $w$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} &\rightarrow E(K) \\ z &\mapsto (z, w(z)). \end{aligned}$$

The above version of Hensel's lemma shows that the image of this map is equal to the set of points  $(z, w)$  in  $E(K)$  with  $z, w \in \mathfrak{m}$ .

For  $z \in \mathfrak{m}$ , it is also possible to express the usual coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the point  $(z, w(z))$  in terms of formal Laurent series in  $z$ . Since  $x = X/Z = z/w(z)$  and  $y = Y/Z = -1/w(z)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} x &= z^{-2}(1 - a_1z - a_2z^2 - a_3z^3 + \cdots) \\ y &= -z^{-3}(1 - a_1z - a_2z^2 - a_3z^3 + \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

Our next goal is to express the group operation of  $E$  in terms of the parameter  $z$ . The group operation will then give us a map

$$\Sigma: \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}.$$

Computing  $\Sigma$  is a matter of writing down the formulas for the ‘‘chord and tangent’’ algorithm in the coordinates  $(z, w)$ . Recall that if  $E$  is embedded into  $\mathbf{P}_k^2$  via a Weierstraß equation, then the points of  $E$  lying on any line in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  add to zero. If  $z_1, z_2$  are in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then the slope of the line through the points  $(z_1, w(z_1))$  and  $(z_2, w(z_2))$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{w(z_1) - w(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} \\ &= (z_1^2 + z_1z_2 + z_2^2) + a_1(z_1^3 + z_1^2z_2 + z_1z_2^2 + z_2^3) + (a_1^2 + a_2)(z_1^4 + z_1^3z_2 + z_1^2z_2^2 + z_1z_2^3 + z_2^4) + \cdots; \end{aligned}$$

the last expression is valid also when  $z_1 = z_2$ . The equation of this line is

$$w = \lambda z + v \quad \text{with } v = w_1 - \lambda z_1 = w_2 - \lambda z_2;$$

substituting this into the equation for the elliptic curve, we obtain a cubic equation in  $z$  whose three roots are  $z_1, z_2$  and the  $z$ -coordinate of a third point, say  $z_3$ . The coefficient of the quadratic term of this equation gives us  $-(z_1 + z_2 + z_3)$ , and we obtain

$$z_3 = -z_1 - z_2 - \frac{a_1\lambda + a_2v + a_3\lambda^2 + 2a_4\lambda v + 3a_6\lambda^2v}{1 + a_2\lambda + a_4\lambda^2 + a_6\lambda^3}.$$

We first consider the special case where  $z_1 = z, z_2 = 0$ . Making use of  $\lambda = w(z)/z$  and  $v = 0$ , we find the following formula for  $i(z)$ , the  $z$ -coordinate of the inverse of the point  $(z, w(z))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} i(z) &= -z - \frac{a_1w(z)/z + a_3(w(z)/z)^2}{1 + a_2w(z)/z + a_4(w(z)/z)^2 + a_6(w(z)/z)^3} \\ &= -z - a_1z^2 - a_1^2z^3 - (a_1^3 + a_3)z^4 - a_1(a_1^3 + 3a_3)z^5 + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

The  $z$ -coordinate of the sum of the two points  $(z_1, w(z_1))$  and  $(z_2, w(z_2))$  is now

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(z_1, z_2) &= i(z_3) \\ &= z_1 + z_2 - a_1z_1z_2 - a_2(z_1^2z_2 + z_1z_2^2) - (2a_3z_1^3z_2 - (a_1a_2 - 3a_3)z_1^2z_2^2 + 2a_3z_1z_2^3) + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

The binary operation  $\Sigma$  makes  $\mathfrak{m}$  into an Abelian group with neutral element 0 and inverse operation  $i$ . We denote this group by  $\hat{E}(\mathfrak{m})$ . As the power series  $\Sigma$  defining the group structure does not depend on  $\mathfrak{m}$ , it makes sense to study it on its own, for example as a power series over  $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ . It is an instance of a *formal group law*.

## 2. Formal groups

We fix a ring  $R$ .

**Definition.** A *formal group law* over  $R$  is a power series

$$F \in R[[x, y]]$$

satisfying the following axioms:

- (1)  $F \equiv x + y \pmod{(x, y)^2}$ .
- (2) Associativity:  $F(x, F(y, z)) = F(F(x, y), z)$ .
- (3) Neutral element:  $F(x, 0) = x$  and  $F(0, y) = y$ .
- (4) Commutativity:  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$ .
- (5) Existence of inverse:  $F(x, i(x)) = 0$  for some unique power series

$$i = -x + \dots \in R[[x]].$$

The *formal group*  $\mathfrak{F}$  defined by  $F$  is the rule that associates to an  $R$ -algebra which is complete with respect to an ideal  $I$  the group  $\mathfrak{F}(I)$  with underlying set  $I$  and whose group operation is given by the power series  $F$ .

**Implicit function theorem.** Let  $F \in R[[x, y]]$  be a power series of the form

$$F = ax + by + \dots \quad \text{with } b \in R^\times.$$

Then there exists a unique power series  $g \in R[[x]]$  such that  $F(x, g(x)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have to show that there exists a unique sequence of polynomials  $g_n \in R[x]$ , with  $g_n$  of degree at most  $n$ , such that

$$g_{n+1} \equiv g_n \pmod{(x)^{n+1}}$$

and

$$F(x, g_n(x)) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x)^{n+1}}.$$

For  $n = 1$  it is clear that we must take  $g_1 = -x$ . To define  $g_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , we note that  $g_n$  has to be of the form  $g_{n-1} + \lambda x^n$  with  $\lambda \in R$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, g_{n-1}(x) + \lambda x^n) &\equiv F(x, g_{n-1}(x)) + b\lambda x^n \\ &\equiv c_n x^n + b\lambda x^n \pmod{(x)^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c_n \in R$ . From this we see that the only possibility is  $\lambda = -b^{-1}c_n$ . We conclude that

$$g = -x - c_2 x^2 - c_3 x^3 - \dots$$

is the unique solution of  $F(x, g(x)) = 0$ . □

**Corollary.** (Inversion of series) Let  $R$  be a ring, and let

$$f = ax + \dots \in R[[x]]$$

be a power series. If  $a \in R^\times$ , there is a unique power series  $g \in R[[x]]$  such that  $f(g(x)) = x$ , and it also satisfies  $g(f(x)) = x$ .

*Proof.* We apply the inverse function theorem to  $F(x, y) = x - f(y)$  to obtain a unique power series  $g(x)$  with  $F(x, g(x)) = x - f(g(x)) = 0$ . We do the same for  $g$  instead of  $f$  to get a unique power series  $h$  with  $g(h(x)) = x$ ; now

$$g(f(x)) = g(f(g(h(x)))) = g(h(x)) = x. \quad \square$$

**Proposition.** Let  $F \in R[[x, y]]$  be a power series satisfying the axioms (1) and (2) above. Then  $F$  also satisfies (3) and (5).

*Proof.* We will show that  $F(x, 0) = x$ ; the proof that  $F(0, y) = y$  is completely similar. Write  $F(x, 0) = x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \dots$ ; we will prove by complete induction on  $n$  that  $a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n = 0$ . For  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. Assuming the statement for some  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$F(x, F(0, 0)) = F(x, 0) = x + a_{n+1}x^{n+1} + \dots,$$

while

$$F(F(x, 0), 0) = F(x + a_nx^{n+1} + \dots, 0) = (x + a_nx^{n+1}) + a_{n+1}x^{n+1} + \dots;$$

since the two must be equal because of associativity, we conclude that  $a_{n+1} = 0$ .

The existence of a unique inverse follows directly from the implicit function theorem applied to  $F(x, y)$ .  $\square$

It can be shown that if  $R$  contains no torsion nilpotents (elements  $x \neq 0$  such that  $x^m = 0$  and  $nx = 0$  for some  $m, n > 0$ ), then (4) also follows from the first two axioms. The properties (1) and (3) are equivalent to saying that

$$F = x + y + xy \cdot (\text{power series in } x \text{ and } y).$$

Some important examples of formal group laws are:

- (i) The additive formal group law over  $\mathbf{Z}$ :  $G_a = x + y$ .
- (ii) The multiplicative formal group law over  $\mathbf{Z}$ :  $G_m = (1 + x)(1 + y) - 1 = x + y + xy$ .
- (iii) The formal group law  $\Sigma$  associated to addition of points on an elliptic curve.

**Definition.** A *homomorphism of formal groups* from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $\mathfrak{G}$  over  $R$  is a power series  $f \in R[[x]]$ , without constant term, such that

$$f(F(x, y)) = G(f(x), f(y)).$$

Important examples of homomorphisms are the endomorphisms  $[m]$  of a formal group  $\mathfrak{F}$ , defined recursively for all  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} [0](x) &= 0, \\ [m+1](x) &= F([m](x), x) \quad (m \geq 0), \\ [m-1](x) &= F([m](x), i(x)) \quad (m \leq 0). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that  $[1](x) = x$  and  $[-1](x) = i(x)$ .

**Proposition.** For all  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$[m](x) = mx + \dots$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $m$ . The case  $m = 0$  is trivial; for  $m > 0$  we have

$$[m](x) = F([m-1](x), x) = (m-1)x + x + \dots = mx + \dots,$$

and the case  $m < 0$  is similar.

### 3. Groups associated to a formal group law

Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra which is complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ . Then, because  $F$  has no constant term, the power series  $F(x, y)$  converges to an element of  $I$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . It follows immediately from the properties (2)–(5) that the set  $I$  equipped with the operation  $(x, y) \mapsto F(x, y)$  is an Abelian group; we denote it by  $\mathfrak{F}(I)$ .

If  $S$  is complete with respect to  $I$ , then it is also complete with respect to  $I^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and the ideals  $I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq I^3 \supseteq \dots$  gives rise to a chain of subgroups

$$\mathfrak{F}(I) \supseteq \mathfrak{F}(I^2) \supseteq \mathfrak{F}(I^3) \supseteq \dots$$

We make  $\mathfrak{F}(I)$  into a topological group by declaring these subgroups to be a basis for the open neighbourhoods of 0.

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two  $R$ -algebras which are complete with respect to ideals  $I$  and  $J$ , and let  $f: S \rightarrow T$  be an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism with  $f(I) \subseteq J$ . Then it is straightforward to check that  $f$  is continuous, and that the map

$$\mathfrak{F}(f): \mathfrak{F}(I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(J)$$

which is equal to  $f$  on the underlying sets is a continuous group homomorphism. This makes  $\mathfrak{F}$  into a functor from a suitable “category of ideals of complete  $R$ -algebras” to the category of Abelian topological groups.

**Proposition.** *Let  $F$  be a formal group over law  $R$ , and let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra which is complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ . Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , the map*

$$\mathfrak{F}(I^n)/\mathfrak{F}(I^{n+1}) \rightarrow I^n/I^{n+1}$$

*defined as the identity on the underlying sets is a group isomorphism. Furthermore, if  $S$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $I$ , then the order of any torsion element of  $\mathfrak{F}(I)$  is a power of  $p$ , where  $p$  is the residue characteristic of  $S$ . (If  $p = 0$ , this means that  $\mathfrak{F}(I)$  is torsion-free.)*

*Proof.* We know that the map in the first assertion is bijective, so it suffices to show that it is a homomorphism. This is clear because

$$F(x, y) \equiv x + y \pmod{I^{2n}}$$

for all  $x, y \in I^n$ .

For the second assertion, we have to show that there are no torsion elements of order  $m$  for any  $m$  not divisible by  $p$ , i.e. for any  $m$  not in the maximal ideal of  $S$ . We view  $[m]$  as a power series with coefficients in  $S$ ; because

$$[m] = mx + \dots$$

and  $m \in S^\times$ , the lemma on inversion of series shows that there exists a power series  $g \in S[[x]]$  without constant term such that  $g([m](x)) = x$ . Therefore the map  $[m]$  is injective on  $\mathfrak{F}(I)$ , which was to be proved.