

(1)

NORM POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS WITH MANY SOLUTIONS

P. Moree
C.L. Stewart
R. Tijdeman
J.-H. Evertse

Debrecen, October 26, 2001.

(2)

GENERALISED RAMANUJAN-NAGELL EQUATIONS

Consider

$$(1) |f(x)| = p_1^{z_1} \cdots p_s^{z_s}$$

in $x, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$

with $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and with
 p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s distinct primes

(E., 1996). If f is irreducible
and $\deg f =: r \geq 2$, then the number
of solutions of (1) is at most

$$(10^5 r)^{s+1}.$$

(3)

LOWER BOUNDS:

(Erdős, Stewart, Tijdeman, 1988)

Let $r \geq 2$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for all $s \geq s_0^{\text{ineff}}(r, \varepsilon)$ there are primes p_1, \dots, p_s and a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree r with r distinct zeros
in \mathbb{Q} , such that

$$(1) |f(x)| = p_1^{z_1} \dots p_s^{z_s}$$

has at least

$$\exp\left\{(1-\varepsilon)r^2 s^{\frac{1}{r}} (\log s)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\right\}$$

solutions in $x, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(4)

(Moree, Stewart, 1990)

Let K be a number field of
degree $r \geq 2$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$.Then for all $s \geq s_1^{\text{ineff}}(K, \varepsilon)$ there are primes p_1, \dots, p_s and
a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree r
which is irreducible and has a
zero in K , such that

$$(1) |f(x)| = p_1^{z_1} \dots p_s^{z_s}$$

has at least

$$\exp\left\{(1-\varepsilon)r s^{\frac{1}{r}} (\log s)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\right\}$$

solutions in $x, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$

(5)

NORM FORM EQUATIONS

Let K be a number field
 \mathcal{O}_K ring of integers

$$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

p_1, \dots, p_s distinct prime numbers

Consider

$$(2) \quad |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_0 x_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)| = p_1^{z_1} \dots p_s^{z_s}$$

in $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(Schmidt, Schlickerwei, 1970's.)

If $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is non-degenerate
 then (2) has only finitely many
 solutions with $\gcd(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) = 1$

(6)

NORM POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

K number field, $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = r$
 $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $m < r$
 p_1, \dots, p_s distinct primes.

Consider

$$(3) \quad |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)| = p_1^{z_1} p_2^{z_2} \dots p_s^{z_s}$$

in $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$

(Bérczes, Györy, to appear)

Suppose $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is \mathbb{Q} -linearly
 independent. Then (3) has at most

$$(2^{17} \cdot r)^{\frac{4}{3}(m+2)^3(s+1)}$$

solutions

(7)

LOWER BOUNDSTheorem 1 (MSTE, to appear)

- K number field of degree $r \geq 2$
- $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$ \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent, $m < r$
- $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then for every $s \geq s_0^{\text{eff}}(K, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ there are primes $p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that

- α_0 is \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$
- $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)| = p_1^{z_1} \dots p_s^{z_s}$

has at least

$$\exp\left\{(1-\varepsilon) \frac{s}{m} s^{\frac{m}{r}} (\log s)^{\frac{m}{r}-1}\right\}$$

solutions in $x_1, \dots, x_m, z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(8)

For $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and primes $p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $g(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m, p_1, \dots, p_s)$ be the smallest integer g with the following property:

There exists a polynomial $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ of total degree g such that every solution $(x_1, \dots, x_m, z_1, \dots, z_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+s}$ of

$$(3) \quad |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)| = p_1^{z_1} \dots p_s^{z_s}$$

satisfies $F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$.

(i.e. the points $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ satisfying (3) for some $z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ do not lie in a hypersurface of degree $< g$).

Theorem 2 (MSTE, to appear)

Let $K, r, m, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ as in Theorem 1.

Then for every $s \geq s_1^{\text{eff}}(K, \varepsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$

there are primes $p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that

- α_0 is \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$

- $g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, p_1, \dots, p_s)$

$$\geq \exp\{(1-\varepsilon)r \cdot s^{\frac{1}{r}} (\log s)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\}.$$

MAIN TOOL

K number field

T finite set of prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_K

$\Psi_{K,T}(X, Y) =$ number of ideals of \mathcal{O}_K of norm $\leq X$ which are composed of prime ideals that have norm $\leq Y$ and lie outside T .

Theorem 3 (MSTE, to appear)

Let $Y \geq 3$, $u := \frac{\log X}{\log Y} \geq 3$. Then

$$\Psi_{K,T}(X, Y) \geq X \cdot \exp\left\{-u \left(\log u + \log \log u - 1 + \frac{\log \log u - 1}{\log u} + C \cdot \left(\frac{\log \log u}{\log u} \right)^2 \right)\right\}$$

with $C = C^{\text{eff}}(K, T)$

EXTENSION OF EARLIER RESULTS:

- Canfield, Erdős, Pomerance (1983)
Similar lower bound for $\Psi(X, Y) = \Psi_{\mathbb{Q}, \emptyset}(X, Y)$ with C ineffective (?)
- Krause, Moree (independent, 1989)
Similar lower bound for $\Psi_K(X, Y) = \Psi_{K, \emptyset}(X, Y)$ with C ineffective (?)

IDEA OF PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

- Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be the numbers from Theorem 1.
- Choose $\alpha_{m+1}, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \alpha_{m+1}, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K .
- Choose parameters X, Y .
Let p_1, \dots, p_s be the prime numbers $\leq Y$.
- Let $\Psi_0(X, Y)$ be the number of tuples $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that
 - $|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_r| \leq X$
 - $\alpha_{m+1}x_{m+1} + \dots + \alpha_r x_r \neq 0$
 - $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_r x_r)|$ composed of primes $\leq Y$.

(13)

Apply box principle:

$$\text{There is } \alpha_0 := \sum_{i=m+1}^r \alpha_i x_i^{(0)}$$

with $x_i^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|x_i^{(0)}| \leq X$, $\alpha_0 \neq 0$

such that

$|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)|$ is composed of primes $\leq Y$ for at least

$\Psi_0(X, Y) / X^{r-m}$ tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$



- α_0 is \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$
- $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)| = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_s^{e_s}$ has at least $\Psi_0(X, Y) / X^{r-m}$ solutions

(14)

Lemma

There are a constant c and a finite set of prime ideals T , both effectively depending on $K, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$, such that

$$\Psi_0(X, Y) \geq c \cdot \Psi_{K, T}(X^r, Y)$$

Now let $Y \rightarrow \infty$
and choose X optimally.