On monogenic orders

## Jan-Hendrik Evertse Universiteit Leiden



## Joint work with Attila Bérczes, Kálmán Győry (Debrecen)

ERC Research Period on Diophantine Geometry,

Pisa, October 19, 2012

Let K be an algebraic number field. Denote by  $O_K$  its ring of integers. An order in K is a subring of  $O_K$  with quotient field K. An order O in K of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is called *monogenic*.

For a given order O we consider the "Diophantine equation"

(1) 
$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O \text{ in } \alpha \in O.$$

The solutions of (1) can be divided into equivalence classes, where two solutions  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are called equivalent if

$$\beta = \pm \alpha + a$$
 for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Every order in a quadratic number field is monogenic.

In number fields of degree  $\geq 3$  there may be non-monogenic orders (Dedekind).

## Theorem (Győry, 1976)

Let K be an algebraic number field, and O an order in K. Then there are only finitely many equivalence classes of  $\alpha \in O$  with

(1) 
$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O.$$

Moreover, there exists an algorithm which, for any explicitly given K, O, decides if O is monogenic and if so, determines a full system of representatives for the equivalence classes of  $\alpha$ .

## Proof.

Baker's Theorem on linear forms in logarithms.

# Multiply monogenic orders

Let K be an algebraic number field, and O an order in K. We focus on the *number* of equivalence classes of solutions of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O$ .

## Definition

The order O is called k times monogenic, if

(1) 
$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O \quad \text{in } \alpha \in O$$

has at least k equivalence classes of solutions, i.e., if there are  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k$  with

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1] = \cdots = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_k] = O, \quad \alpha_i \pm \alpha_j \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } 1 \le i \ne j \le k.$$

The order O is called at most/precisely k times monogenic if (1) has at most/precisely k equivalence classes of solutions.

# Multiply monogenic orders

Let K be an algebraic number field, and O an order in K. We focus on the *number* of equivalence classes of solutions of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O$ .

## Definition

The order O is called k times monogenic, if

(1) 
$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O \quad \text{in } \alpha \in O$$

has at least k equivalence classes of solutions, i.e., if there are  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k$  with

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1] = \cdots = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_k] = O, \quad \alpha_i \pm \alpha_j \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } 1 \le i \ne j \le k.$$

The order O is called at most/precisely k times monogenic if (1) has at most/precisely k equivalence classes of solutions.

## Facts:

- ▶ Every order in a quadratic n.f. is precisely one time monogenic.
- Every order in a cubic n.f. is at most 10 times monogenic (Bennett, 2001).
- ►  $\mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/7} + e^{-2\pi i/7}]$  is precisely 9 times monogenic (Baulin, 1960).

# Multiply monogenic orders of higher degree

## Theorem (Győry, E., 1985)

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree  $d \ge 4$ . Then every order O in K is at most c(d) times monogenic.

Győry, E. (1985): 
$$c(d) = (3 \times 7^{4d!})^{d-2}$$
;  
E. (2012):  $c(d) = 2^{5d^2}$ 

## Theorem (Győry, E., 1985)

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree  $d \ge 4$ . Then every order O in K is at most c(d) times monogenic.

Győry, E. (1985): 
$$c(d) = (3 \times 7^{4d!})^{d-2}$$
;  
E. (2012):  $c(d) = 2^{5d^2}$ 

**Example (Miller-Sims, Robertson, 2005).** Let  $p \ge 11$  be a prime and  $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ . Then  $O_p := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  is  $\frac{5}{2}(p-1)$  times monogenic.

In fact,  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = O_p$  has the solutions

$$\alpha = \zeta_p^m + \zeta_p^{-m}, \quad \frac{1}{\zeta_p^m + \zeta_p^{-m} + a} \quad (m = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(p-1), \ a = -1, 0, 1, 2).$$

These are pairwise inequivalent if  $p \ge 11$ .

# Multiply monogenic orders in a given number field

## Question

Can a number field have infinitely many k times monogenic orders for k = 2, 3, ...?

# Multiply monogenic orders in a given number field

## Question

Can a number field have infinitely many k times monogenic orders for k = 2, 3, ...?

## Example.

Assume that  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \ge 3$  and that K is not a totally complex quadratic extension of a totally real field.

Then  $O_{\mathcal{K}}$  has infinitely many units  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ .

These give rise to infinitely many two times monogenic orders  $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]=\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$  in K.

# Multiply monogenic orders in a given number field

## Question

Can a number field have infinitely many k times monogenic orders for k = 2, 3, ...?

#### Example.

Assume that  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \ge 3$  and that K is not a totally complex quadratic extension of a totally real field.

Then  $O_{\mathcal{K}}$  has infinitely many units  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ .

These give rise to infinitely many two times monogenic orders  $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$  in K.

## Theorem 1 (Bérczes, Győry, E., 2011)

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree  $\geq 3$ . Then K has only finitely many three times monogenic orders.

The proof is ineffective.

A number field K may have infinitely many two times monogenic orders, e.g.,  $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$ ,  $\varepsilon$  a unit.

There may be other infinite classes of two times monogenic orders, but these are all rather special.

## Vague belief

Every number field K of degree  $\geq$  3 has finitely many infinite classes of 'special' two times monogenic orders, and only finitely many two times monogenic orders outside these classes.

We have proved a precise result of this type for a special class of number fields.

## **Type I orders**

Let *K* be an algebraic number field of degree  $\geq 3$ . An order *O* in *K* is of **type I** if there are  $\alpha, \beta \in O$  such that  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ , and

$$\beta = \frac{a + b\alpha}{c + d\alpha}$$
 for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $d \neq 0$ .

If K is not a totally complex quadratic extension of a totally real field, it has infinitely many orders of type I.

## Type I orders

Let *K* be an algebraic number field of degree  $\geq 3$ . An order *O* in *K* is of **type I** if there are  $\alpha, \beta \in O$  such that  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ , and

$$\beta = \frac{a + b\alpha}{c + d\alpha}$$
 for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $d \neq 0$ .

If K is not a totally complex quadratic extension of a totally real field, it has infinitely many orders of type I.

## **Type II orders**

Let K be a quartic number field. An order O in K is of **type II** if there are  $\alpha, \beta \in O$  such that  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ , and

 $\beta = \pm \alpha^2 + a\alpha + b, \ \alpha = \pm \beta^2 + c\beta + d$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

There are infinitely many quartic fields K with infinitely many orders of type II.

#### Fact.

If K has degree 3 then every two times monogenic order of K is of type I.

We denote by  $S_d$  the permutation group on d elements.

## Theorem 2 (Bérczes, Győry, E., 2011)

Let K be a number field of degree  $d \ge 4$ . Assume that the normal closure of K has Galois group  $\cong S_d$ .

(i) If d = 4 then K has only finitely many two times monogenic orders which are not of type I or II.

(ii) If  $d \ge 5$  then K has only finitely many two times monogenic orders which are not of type I.

# **Connection with unit equations**

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree  $d \ge 3$ , and N its normal closure. Denote the conjugates of  $\alpha \in K$  in N by  $\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(d)}$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be elements of  $O_K$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) = K$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ . Then for  $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ ,

$$\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \in O_N^*.$$

#### **Proof.**

$$\beta = f(\alpha), \ \alpha = g(\beta) \text{ for some } f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

# **Connection with unit equations**

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree  $d \ge 3$ , and N its normal closure. Denote the conjugates of  $\alpha \in K$  in N by  $\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(d)}$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be elements of  $O_K$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) = K$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ . Then for  $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ ,

$$\varepsilon_{ij} := rac{eta^{(i)} - eta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \in O_N^*.$$

#### **Proof.**

$$\beta = f(\alpha), \ \alpha = g(\beta) \text{ for some } f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

We have for all distinct  $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ ,

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{ij}}{\varepsilon_{ik}} + \frac{\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{jk}}{\varepsilon_{ik}} = \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(k)}} + \frac{\beta^{(j)} - \beta^{(k)}}{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(k)}} = 1.$$

This leads to unit equations ax + by = 1 in  $x, y \in O_N^*$ .

# **Unit equations**

Let F be a field of characteristic 0. We consider equations

(2) 
$$ax + by = 1 \text{ in } x, y \in \Gamma$$

where  $a, b \in F^*$  and  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $F^*$ .

Such equations have only finitely many solutions (Siegel, Mahler, Lang, 1960).

# **Unit equations**

Let F be a field of characteristic 0. We consider equations

(2) 
$$ax + by = 1 \text{ in } x, y \in \Gamma$$

where  $a, b \in F^*$  and  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $F^*$ .

Such equations have only finitely many solutions (Siegel, Mahler, Lang, 1960).

We call (a, b) normalized if a + b = 1. If (2) has a solution  $u, v \in \Gamma$ , then (a', b') = (au, bv) is normalized, and a'x + b'y = 1 in  $x, y \in \Gamma$  has the same number of solutions as (2).

#### Theorem (Győry, Stewart, Tijdeman, E., 1988)

There are only finitely many normalized pairs  $(a, b) \in F^* \times F^*$  such that eq. (2) has more than two solutions.

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

Let K be a number field of degree  $d \ge 3$ . Let  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  inequivalent. Put

$$\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}, \ \eta_{ij} := \frac{\gamma^{(i)} - \gamma^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \ (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ distinct}).$$

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

Let *K* be a number field of degree  $d \ge 3$ . Let  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  inequivalent. Put  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}, \ \eta_{ij} := \frac{\gamma^{(i)} - \gamma^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \ (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ distinct}).$ 

1) For each i, j, k, the equation

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \cdot x + \frac{\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \cdot y = 1 \text{ in } x, y \in O_{\Lambda}^*$$

has solutions (1,1),  $(\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{ik}, \varepsilon_{jk}/\varepsilon_{ik})$ ,  $(\eta_{ij}/\eta_{ik}, \eta_{jk}/\eta_{ik})$ .

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

Let *K* be a number field of degree  $d \ge 3$ . Let  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  inequivalent. Put  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}, \ \eta_{ij} := \frac{\gamma^{(i)} - \gamma^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \ (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ distinct}).$ 

1) For each i, j, k, the equation

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \cdot x + \frac{\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \cdot y = 1 \text{ in } x, y \in O_N^*$$

has solutions (1,1),  $(\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{ik}, \varepsilon_{jk}/\varepsilon_{ik})$ ,  $(\eta_{ij}/\eta_{ik}, \eta_{jk}/\eta_{ik})$ .

**2)** The Theorem of GSTE + combinatorics (to dispose of the problem that for some i, j, k two solutions may coincide) imply that there are only finitely many possible values for the quotients

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} \text{ for all distinct } i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

**3)** There are only finitely many orders  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$  with prescribed values for these quotients.

21/29

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 (I)

Assume  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = d \ge 4$ . Let N be the normal closure of K. By assumption  $Gal(N/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_d$ .

Let  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  with  $\alpha, \beta$  inequivalent. Put  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}$ . From

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{ij}}{\varepsilon_{jk}} + \frac{\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{ik}}{\varepsilon_{jk}} = 1$$

we infer

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{ik}/\varepsilon_{jk} - 1}{\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{jk} - 1} \quad (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ distinct}).$$

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 (I)

Assume  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = d \ge 4$ . Let N be the normal closure of K. By assumption  $Gal(N/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_d$ .

Let  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  with  $\alpha, \beta$  inequivalent. Put  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}$ . From

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{ij}}{\varepsilon_{jk}} + \frac{\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{ik}}{\varepsilon_{jk}} = 1$$

we infer

$$\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(k)}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{ik} / \varepsilon_{jk} - 1}{\varepsilon_{ij} / \varepsilon_{jk} - 1} \quad (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ distinct}).$$

Hence for all distinct  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\varepsilon_{ik}/\varepsilon_{jk}-1)}{(\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{jk}-1)} \cdot \frac{(\varepsilon_{il}/\varepsilon_{kl}-1)}{(\varepsilon_{ik}/\varepsilon_{kl}-1)} \cdot \frac{(\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{lj}-1)}{(\varepsilon_{il}/\varepsilon_{lj}-1)} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha^{(i)}-\alpha^{(j)})}{(\alpha^{(i)}-\alpha^{(k)})} \cdot \frac{(\alpha^{(i)}-\alpha^{(k)})}{(\alpha^{(i)}-\alpha^{(l)})} \cdot \frac{(\alpha^{(i)}-\alpha^{(l)})}{(\alpha^{(i)}-\alpha^{(l)})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 (II)

Let  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  with  $\alpha, \beta$  inequivalent, and  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{u} := (\varepsilon_{ij} : 1 \le i < j \le d) \in X \cap \Gamma$ 

where  $\Gamma = (O_N^*)^{d(d-1)/2}$  and X is the algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{G}_m^{d(d-1)/2}$  given by

$$rac{(arepsilon_{ik}/arepsilon_{jk}-1)}{(arepsilon_{ij}/arepsilon_{jk}-1)}\cdot rac{(arepsilon_{il}/arepsilon_{kl}-1)}{(arepsilon_{ik}/arepsilon_{kl}-1)}\cdot rac{(arepsilon_{ij}/arepsilon_{lj}-1)}{(arepsilon_{il}/arepsilon_{lj}-1)}\,=\,1\;\;orall i,j,k,l.$$

We apply:

## Theorem (Laurent, 1984)

Let F be a field of characteristic 0, X an algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{G}_m^R$ defined over F and  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_m^R(F) = (F^*)^R$ .

Then  $X \cap \Gamma$  is contained in a finite union  $\mathbf{u}_1 H_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{u}_t H_t$  of cosets of algebraic subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}_m^R$  with  $\mathbf{u}_i H_i \subseteq X$  for i = 1, ..., t.

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 (III)

Let 
$$O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$$
 and  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \ (1 \le i < j \le d).$ 

#### Lemma

There is a finite set S depending only on K such that at least one of the three following assertions is true:

(i)  $\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{ik} \in S$  for all distinct  $i, j, k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ ; (ii)  $\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} = \varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{jl}$  for all distinct  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, ..., d\}$ ; (iii) d = 4 and  $\varepsilon_{12} = -\varepsilon_{34}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{13} = -\varepsilon_{24}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{14} = -\varepsilon_{23}$ .

## Proof.

1) Apply Laurent's Theorem.

**2)** Use the relations between the  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  following from our assumption  $\operatorname{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_d$ .

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 (III)

Let 
$$O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$$
 and  $\varepsilon_{ij} := \frac{\beta^{(i)} - \beta^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}} \ (1 \le i < j \le d).$ 

#### Lemma

There is a finite set S depending only on K such that at least one of the three following assertions is true:

(i) 
$$\varepsilon_{ij}/\varepsilon_{ik} \in S$$
 for all distinct  $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ;  
(ii)  $\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} = \varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{jl}$  for all distinct  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,  
(iii)  $d = 4$  and  $\varepsilon_{12} = -\varepsilon_{24}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{13} = -\varepsilon_{24}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{14} = -\varepsilon_{23}$ .

#### Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.

(i) gives rise to only finitely many possibilities for O; (ii) implies that  $O = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  is of type I; (iii) implies that O is of type II.

This proves Theorem 2.

## **Extension to non-integers**

Let K be a number field of degree d.

For  $\alpha$  with  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and order

$$M_{\alpha} := \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} x_i \alpha^i : x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\}, \quad O_{\alpha} := \Big\{ \lambda \in K : \lambda M_{\alpha} \subseteq M_{\alpha} \Big\}.$$

If  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, then  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

We call  $\alpha, \beta \in K$   $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if

$$\beta = \frac{a + b\alpha}{c + d\alpha}$$
 for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$ 

If  $\alpha, \beta \in K$  are  $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent then  $O_{\alpha} = O_{\beta}$ .

# An open problem

Given an order O in a number field K, denote by N(O) the number of  $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of  $\alpha$  with

$$\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K, \quad O_{\alpha} = O.$$

#### Theorem

Let K be a number field of degree  $d \ge 3$ . Then for every order O in K we have N(O) = 1 if d = 3 (Delone, Faddeev, 1940);  $N(O) \le 2^{24d^3}$  if  $d \ge 4$  (Bérczes, Győry, E., 2004).

#### Open problem.

Is there an absolute constant  $N \ (= 2?)$  such that for every number field K of degree  $\geq 4$  we have  $N(O) \leq N$  for all but finitely many orders O in K?

# Thank you for your attention!