### Root distance of polynomials

# Jan-Hendrik Evertse

#### Universiteit Leiden



Kálmán Győry 75 symposium

July 10, 2015, Debrecen

 $Slides \ can \ be \ downloaded \ from \\ http://pub.math.leidenuniv.nl/\sim evertsejh/lectures.shtml$ 

# Mahler's Lemma

Let  $f = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $n \ge 2$  with  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and distinct  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and define

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{sep}(f) & := \min_{1 \le i < j \le n} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \quad (\text{minimal root distance of } f), \\ & \mathcal{M}(f) & := |a_0| \prod_{i=1}^n \max(1, |\alpha_i|) \quad (\text{Mahler measure of } f), \\ & \mathcal{D}(f) & := a_0^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 \quad (\text{discriminant of } f). \end{split}$$

Lemma 1 (Mahler, 1964)  $sep(f) \ge c(n)|D(f)|^{1/2}M(f)^{1-n}$  (with  $c(n) = \sqrt{3} \cdot n^{-(n+2)/2}$ ).

# Mahler's Lemma

Let  $f = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $n \ge 2$  with  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and distinct  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and define

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{sep}(f) & := \min_{1 \le i < j \le n} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \quad (\text{minimal root distance of } f), \\ & \mathcal{M}(f) & := |a_0| \prod_{i=1}^n \max(1, |\alpha_i|) \quad (\text{Mahler measure of } f), \\ & \mathcal{D}(f) & := a_0^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 \quad (\text{discriminant of } f). \end{split}$$

Lemma 1 (Mahler, 1964)  $\operatorname{sep}(f) \ge c(n)|D(f)|^{1/2}M(f)^{1-n}$  (with  $c(n) = \sqrt{3} \cdot n^{-(n+2)/2}$ ).

# Proof (ignoring value of c(n)). $\operatorname{sep}(f) \gg_n \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|}{\max(1, |\alpha_i|) \max(1, |\alpha_j|)} \gg_n |D(f)|^{1/2} M(f)^{1-n}. \square$

#### Lemma 1 (Mahler, 1964)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $sep(f) \ge c(n)|D(f)|^{1/2}M(f)^{1-n}$  with c(n) > 0.

Since  $D(f) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , this implies

#### Corollary

 $\operatorname{sep}(f) \geq c(n)M(f)^{1-n}.$ 

Can this be improved to an inequality with something larger in terms of M(f) on the right-hand side?

#### Lemma 1 (Mahler, 1964)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $sep(f) \ge c(n)|D(f)|^{1/2}M(f)^{1-n}$  with c(n) > 0.

Since  $D(f) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , this implies

#### Corollary

 $\operatorname{sep}(f) \ge c(n)M(f)^{1-n}.$ 

Can this be improved to an inequality with something larger in terms of M(f) on the right-hand side?

**NO** if n = 2, 3; **YES** if  $n \ge 4$ .

# Polynomials of degree at most 3

For a polynomial f(X) of degree  $n \ge 2$  and  $U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  define  $f_U(X) := (cX + d)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{cX+d}).$ 

Call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  equivalent if  $g = f_U$  for some  $U \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

#### Theorem 1

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ . In case that n = 3, assume that f has a real, irrational zero. Then there are infinitely many polynomials  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that g is equivalent to f and  $\operatorname{sep}(g) \ll_f M(g)^{1-n}$ .

For n = 2 the proof is straightforward, for n = 3 this is a result of Schönhage (2006). His proof uses the convergents of a real irrational zero of f.

Theorem 1 is false for cubic f with three rational roots or one rational and two non-real roots.

#### Lemma 2

Let  $n \geq 3$  and let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  be distinct, with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  has infinitely many bases  $\{\mathbf{z}_1 = (a, b), \mathbf{z}_2 = (c, d)\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{a} - \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}|, \, |\mathbf{c} - \alpha_1 \mathbf{d}| &\ll \max(|\mathbf{a}|, |\mathbf{b}|, |\mathbf{c}|, |\mathbf{d}|)^{-1} \\ |\mathbf{a} - \alpha_i \mathbf{b}| &\ll |\mathbf{c} - \alpha_i \mathbf{d}| \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Here the implied constants depend on  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ .

#### Proof (idea).

Apply Minkowski's Theorem on successive minima to the convex bodies  $C_Q := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x - \alpha_1 y| \le Q^{-1}, |y| \le Q\} \ (Q \ge 1).$ 

# An alternative proof of Schönhage's Theorem (ctd)

Let  $f = a_0(X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)(X - \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  distinct and  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Choose a basis  $\{\mathbf{z}_1 = (a, b), \mathbf{z}_2 = (c, d)\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  according to Lemma 2 and let  $g(X) := a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{3} ((c - \alpha_i d)X - (a - \alpha_i b)), \quad \beta_i := \frac{a - \alpha_i b}{c - \alpha_i d} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$ 

Then g is equivalent to f.

# An alternative proof of Schönhage's Theorem (ctd)

Let  $f = a_0(X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)(X - \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  distinct and  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Choose a basis  $\{\mathbf{z}_1 = (a, b), \mathbf{z}_2 = (c, d)\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  according to Lemma 2 and let

$$g(X) := a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( (c - \alpha_i d) X - (a - \alpha_i b) \right), \quad \beta_i := \frac{a - \alpha_i b}{c - \alpha_i d} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then g is equivalent to f.

Put 
$$A_i := \max(|a - \alpha_i b|, |c - \alpha_i d|)$$
  $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ . Then  
 $A_1 \ll_f \max(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|)^{-1} \ll_f A_2^{-1}, A_3^{-1},$   
 $A_i \ll_f |c - \alpha_i d|$  for  $i = 2, 3,$   
 $M(g) = |a_0| \cdot A_1 A_2 A_3,$ 

# An alternative proof of Schönhage's Theorem (ctd)

Let  $f = a_0(X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)(X - \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  distinct and  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Choose a basis  $\{\mathbf{z}_1 = (a, b), \mathbf{z}_2 = (c, d)\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  according to Lemma 2 and let

$$g(X) := a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( (c - \alpha_i d) X - (a - \alpha_i b) \right), \quad \beta_i := \frac{a - \alpha_i b}{c - \alpha_i d} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then g is equivalent to f.

Put 
$$A_i := \max(|a - \alpha_i b|, |c - \alpha_i d|)$$
  $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ . Then  
 $A_1 \ll_f \max(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|)^{-1} \ll_f A_2^{-1}, A_3^{-1},$   
 $A_i \ll_f |c - \alpha_i d|$  for  $i = 2, 3,$   
 $M(g) = |a_0| \cdot A_1 A_2 A_3,$   
hence  $\sup(g) \leq |\beta_2 - \beta_3| = \frac{|ad - bc|}{|c - \alpha_2 d| \cdot |c - \alpha_3 d|}$   
 $\ll_f (A_2 A_3)^{-1} \ll_f (A_1 A_2 A_3)^{-2} \ll_f M(g)^{-2}.$ 

## Polynomials of degree at least 4

For polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $\leq 3$ , Mahler's inequality is best possible in terms of M(f).

For polynomials of degree  $\geq$  4 we can do slightly better.

Theorem 2 (Ev. and Győry)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then

 $sep(f) \ge c(n)M(f)^{1-n}(\log 2M(f))^{1/(10n-6)},$ 

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

# Polynomials of degree at least 4

For polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $\leq 3$ , Mahler's inequality is best possible in terms of M(f).

For polynomials of degree  $\geq$  4 we can do slightly better.

Theorem 2 (Ev. and Győry)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then

 $sep(f) \ge c(n)M(f)^{1-n}(\log 2M(f))^{1/(10n-6)},$ 

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

#### Conjecture

For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$  we have

```
\operatorname{sep}(f) \ge c_1(n) M(f)^{1-n+c_2(n)}
```

with  $c_1(n) > 0$ ,  $c_2(n) > 0$ .

Mignotte, Bugeaud and Mignotte, and Bugeaud and Dujella gave explicit examples of polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of arbitrary degree  $n \ge 4$  such that sep(f) is small compared with M(f). We recall the best results to date.

#### Theorem (Bugeaud and Dujella, 2011, 2014)

Let  $n \ge 4$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there are infinitely many irreducible  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n such that

$$\operatorname{sep}(f) \leq M(f)^{-a(n)+\epsilon}$$
 with  $a(n) = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)},$ 

and also infinitely many reducible, separable  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n such that

$$\operatorname{sep}(f) \le M(f)^{-b(n)+\epsilon}$$
 with  $b(n) = \frac{2n-1}{3}$ 

# On the proof of Theorem 2

▶ Recall Mahler's Lemma  $sep(f) \gg_n |D(f)|^{1/2} M(f)^{1-n}$ ,  $n = \deg f$ .

To get a lower bound for sep(f) better than  $M(f)^{1-n}$  in terms of M(f), we need a non-trivial lower bound for |D(f)|.

 |D(f)| can not be estimated from below in terms of M(f): Recall that two polynomials f, g ∈ Z[X] of degree n are called equivalent if there is U ∈ GL(2, Z) such that g = f<sub>U</sub>, i.e., if U = (<sup>a</sup>/<sub>c</sub> <sup>b</sup>/<sub>d</sub>) then g(X) = (cX + d)<sup>n</sup>f(<sup>aX+b</sup>/<sub>cX+d</sub>).

Equivalent polynomials have the same discriminant.

So by varying f in an equivalence class one can make M(f) arbitrarily large while fixing D(f).

But by means of Baker theory we can show that there is g ∈ Z[X] equivalent to f with small Mahler measure in terms of |D(f)|.
 This provides a useful lower bound for |D(f)|.

# Polynomials of small Mahler measure in an equivalence class

# Theorem 3 (Ev. and Győry, recent improvement of result from 1991)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then there is  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that g is equivalent to f and

$$M(g) \le \exp\left((17n^3)^{25n^2}|D(f)|^{5n-3}
ight).$$

# Polynomials of small Mahler measure in an equivalence class

# Theorem 3 (Ev. and Győry, recent improvement of result from 1991)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then there is  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that g is equivalent to f and  $M(g) \le \exp\left((17n^3)^{25n^2}|D(f)|^{5n-3}\right).$ 

For polynomials of degree  $\leq$  3 much sharper results follow from classical work of Lagrange, Gauss and Hermite.

Let K be a number field. Denote by  $O_K$  its ring of integers, by  $D_K$  its discriminant and d its degree. For non-zero  $a, b, c \in O_K$  define

$$H_{\mathcal{K}}(a,b,c) := \prod_{\sigma:\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \max (|\sigma(a)|, |\sigma(b)|, |\sigma(c)|).$$

#### Proposition 4 (Győry, 1978)

There are effectively computable  $c_1(d), c_2(d) > 0$  such that for all  $a, b, c \in O_K$  with a + b = c,  $abc \neq 0$  we have

$$H_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c}) \leq (2|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathsf{abc})|)^{c_1(d)|D_{\mathcal{K}}|^{c_2(d)}}$$

#### Proof.

Baker type lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms. The sharpest, completely explicit version of Proposition 4 to date is due to Győry and Yu (2006).

# Idea of proof of Theorem 3

#### Theorem 3

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then there is  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that g is equivalent to f and

$$M(g) \leq \exp\left((17n^3)^{25n^2}|D(f)|^{5n-3}\right).$$

#### Proof (idea).

Let K be the splitting field of f and write  $f = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i X - \gamma_i)$  with  $\beta_i, \gamma_i$ "almost" in  $O_K$ . Put  $\Delta_{ij} := \beta_i \gamma_j - \beta_j \gamma_i$  and apply Győry's and Yu's explicit version of Proposition 4 to

$$\Delta_{ij}\Delta_{kl} + \Delta_{jk}\Delta_{il} = \Delta_{ik}\Delta_{jl} \quad \forall i, j, k, l.$$

#### Theorem 3

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then there is  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that g is equivalent to f and

$$M(g) \leq \exp\left((17n^3)^{25n^2}|D(f)|^{5n-3}
ight).$$

#### Proof (idea).

Let K be the splitting field of f and write  $f = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i X - \gamma_i)$  with  $\beta_i, \gamma_i$ "almost" in  $O_K$ . Put  $\Delta_{ij} := \beta_i \gamma_j - \beta_j \gamma_i$  and apply Győry's and Yu's explicit version of Proposition 4 to

$$\Delta_{ij}\Delta_{kl}+\Delta_{jk}\Delta_{il}=\Delta_{ik}\Delta_{jl} \quad \forall i,j,k,l.$$

Together with geometry of numbers, this implies that there is g equivalent to f with an upper bound for M(g) which is polynomial in |D(f)| but with  $|D_{K}|$  in the exponent.

Estimating  $|D_K|$  in terms of D(f), this leads to an upper bound for M(g) which is exponential in |D(f)|.

#### Theorem 2

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then

```
sep(f) \ge c(n)M(f)^{1-n}(\log 2M(f))^{1/(10n-6)},
```

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

This is proved by combining Theorem 3 with the following improvement of Mahler's Lemma.

#### Lemma (Ev., 1993)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$  and let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be equivalent to f. Then

$$\sup(f) \ge c(n)|D(f)|^{1/2}M(f)^{-1}M(g)^{2-n},$$

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

# **Proof of Theorem 2**

#### **Theorem 2**

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree  $n \ge 4$ . Then

$$\operatorname{sep}(f) \ge c(n) M(f)^{1-n} (\log 2M(f))^{1/(10n-6)},$$

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

#### **Proof of Theorem 2.**

Choose g equivalent to f of minimal Mahler measure. Then

$$\begin{split} \sup(f) & \gg_n & |D(f)|^{1/2} M(f)^{-1} M(g)^{2-n} \\ & \gg_n & M(f)^{-1} M(g)^{2-n} (\log 2M(g))^{1/(10n-6)} \\ & \gg_n & M(f)^{1-n} (\log 2M(f))^{1/(10n-6)}. \end{split}$$

### **Clusters of p-adic roots**

We generalize the previous results to other absolute values and also to estimates for clusters of roots.

Let  $M_{\mathbb{Q}} := \{\infty\} \cup \{\text{primes}\}, |\cdot|_{\infty} \text{ ordinary absolute value, } |\cdot|_{p} p\text{-adic absolute value with } |p|_{p} = p^{-1} \text{ for } p \text{ a prime.}$ For  $p \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  we extend  $|\cdot|_{p}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}} = \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a separable polynomial of degree n and  $p \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Write  $f(X) = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_{i,p})$  with  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha_{i,p} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and define  $\sup_p(f) = \sup_{2,p}(f) := \min_{1 \le i < j \le n} |\alpha_{i,p} - \alpha_{j,p}|_p \quad (n \ge 2),$  $\sup_{k,p}(f) := \min_{|I|=k} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in I} |\alpha_{i,p} - \alpha_{j,p}|_p \quad (k \ge 2, n \ge k),$ 

where the minimum is taken over all k-element subsets I of  $\{1, ..., n\}$ and the product over all 2-element subsets of I.

# A generalization of Mahler's Lemma

Recall 
$$\sup_{k,p}(f) := \min_{|I|=k} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I} |\alpha_{i,p} - \alpha_{j,p}|_p$$
 for  $f(X) = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_{i,p})$ .

#### Lemma 3

Let  $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a separable polynomial of degree  $n \geq k$ . Then

$$\prod_{p \in S} \min \left(1, \sup_{k, p}(f)\right) \ge c(n) \left(\prod_{p \in S} |D(f)|_p\right)^{1/2} \cdot M(f)^{1-n}$$

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

# A generalization of Mahler's Lemma

Recall 
$$\sup_{k,p}(f) := \min_{|I|=k} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I} |\alpha_{i,p} - \alpha_{j,p}|_p$$
 for  $f(X) = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_{i,p})$ .

#### Lemma 3

Let  $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a separable polynomial of degree  $n \geq k$ . Then

$$\prod_{p \in S} \min \left( 1, \sup_{k,p} (f) \right) \ge c(n) \Big( \prod_{p \in S} |D(f)|_p \Big)^{1/2} \cdot M(f)^{1-n}$$

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

#### Corollary

$$\prod_{p\in S} \min\left(1, \sup_{k,p}(f)\right) \ge c(n) M(f)^{1-n}.$$

Can this be improved in terms of M(f)?

# A generalization of Mahler's Lemma

Recall 
$$\sup_{k,p}(f) := \min_{|I|=k} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I} |\alpha_{i,p} - \alpha_{j,p}|_p$$
 for  $f(X) = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_{i,p})$ .

#### Lemma 3

Let  $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a separable polynomial of degree  $n \geq k$ . Then

$$\prod_{p \in S} \min \left( 1, \sup_{k,p} (f) \right) \ge c(n) \Big( \prod_{p \in S} |D(f)|_p \Big)^{1/2} \cdot M(f)^{1-n}$$

where c(n) > 0 is effectively computable.

#### Corollary

$$\prod_{p\in S} \min\left(1, \sup_{k,p}(f)\right) \ge c(n) M(f)^{1-n}.$$

Can this be improved in terms of M(f)?

**NO** if  $n \in \{k, k+1\}$ ; **YES** if  $n \ge k+2$ .

A polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called *primitive* if its coefficients have gcd 1.

We call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$   $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent if  $g = \lambda f_U$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ ,  $U \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

#### **Theorem 5**

Let  $p \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a primitive, separable polynomial of degree  $n \in \{k, k+1\}$ . In case that n = k + 1, assume that f has a zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

Then there are infinitely many  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , such that g is primitive,  $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent to f, and

$$\operatorname{sep}_{k,p}(g) \ll_{p,f} M(g)^{1-n}$$

#### Proof.

Adèlic geometry of numbers.

A polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called *primitive* if its coefficients have gcd 1.

We call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$   $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent if  $g = \lambda f_U$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ ,  $U \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

#### **Theorem 5**

Let  $p \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a primitive, separable polynomial of degree  $n \in \{k, k+1\}$ . In case that n = k + 1, assume that f has a zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

Then there are infinitely many  $g\in\mathbb{Z}[X],$  such that g is primitive,  ${\rm GL}(2,\mathbb{Q})\text{-equivalent to }f,$  and

 $\operatorname{sep}_{k,p}(g) \ll_{p,f} M(g)^{1-n}.$ 

Pejkovic (2012, PhD-thesis) constructed in another way, for every prime p, an infinite class of separable cubic  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\sup_{\rho}(g) \ll M(g)^{-2}$ .

#### Theorem 6

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $n \geq k + 2$ ,  $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ . There is an effectively computable number c(n, S) > 0 such that for every separable polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n we have

$$\prod_{p \in S} \min(1, \sup_{k, p}(f)) \ge c(n, S) M(f)^{1-n} (\log 2M(f))^{1/(10n-6)}$$

#### Proof.

p-adic generalization of arguments sketched above.

#### Theorem 7

Assuming the abc-conjecture over number fields, the following holds: Let  $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  a separable polynomial of degree  $n \geq k + 2$ . Then

$$\prod_{p\in S}\min(1, \sup_{k,p}(f)) \ge c(n, S)M(f)^{1-n+\gamma(1-k/n)^2},$$

where c(n, S) > 0 depends only on n, S, and  $\gamma > 0$  is an absolute constant.

This lower bound is probably far from the truth.

# **Congratulations Kálmán!**