## Hermite equivalence of polynomials

## Jan-Hendrik Evertse

#### Joint work with Manjul Bhargava, Kálmán Győry, László Remete, Ashvin Swaminathan

Oberwolfach workshop 'Diophantische Approximationen' April 22, 2022

Preprint: arXiv:2109.02932v2 Slides: https://pub.math.leidenuniv.nl/~evertsejh/lectures.shtml In the 1850-s, Hermite introduced an equivalence relation for univariate polynomials with integer coefficients, henceforth called 'Hermite equivalence', which was largely unnoticed.

We compare this with a better known equivalence relation, i.e.,  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence.

In the 1850-s, Hermite introduced an equivalence relation for univariate polynomials with integer coefficients, henceforth called 'Hermite equivalence', which was largely unnoticed.

We compare this with a better known equivalence relation, i.e.,  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence.

It will turn out that  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence implies Hermite equivalence.

Our aims are the following:

- ► show that Hermite equivalence is weaker than GL<sub>2</sub>(ℤ)-equivalence, i.e., to give examples of Hermite equivalent polynomials that are not GL<sub>2</sub>(ℤ)-equivalent;
- ► say something about the number of GL<sub>2</sub>(Z)-equivalence classes going into a Hermite equivalence class.

## $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence of decomposable forms

Consider decomposable forms of degree  $n \ge 2$  in n variables

$$F(\underline{X}) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i,1}X_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i,n}X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$
  
where  $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

The *discriminant* of *F* is given by  $D(F) := c^2 (\det(\alpha_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n})^2$ . We have  $D(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence of decomposable forms

Consider decomposable forms of degree  $n \ge 2$  in n variables

where *c* 

$$F(\underline{X}) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i,1}X_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i,n}X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$
  
  $\in \mathbb{O}^* \text{ and } \alpha_{i,i} \in \overline{\mathbb{O}} \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$ 

The *discriminant* of *F* is given by  $D(F) := c^2 (\det(\alpha_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n})^2$ . We have  $D(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Two decomposable forms F, G as above are called  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if  $G(\underline{X}) = \pm F(U\underline{X})$  for some  $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (here  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  is a column vector).

Two  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent decomposable forms have the same discriminant.

## $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence of decomposable forms

Consider decomposable forms of degree  $n \ge 2$  in n variables

$$F(\underline{X}) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i,1}X_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i,n}X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$
  
  $\in \mathbb{O}^* \text{ and } \alpha_{i,i} \in \overline{\mathbb{O}} \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$ 

The *discriminant* of *F* is given by  $D(F) := c^2 (\det(\alpha_{i,j})_{1 \le i,j \le n})^2$ . We have  $D(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Two decomposable forms F, G as above are called  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if  $G(\underline{X}) = \pm F(U\underline{X})$  for some  $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (here  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  is a column vector).

Two  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent decomposable forms have the same discriminant.

#### Theorem (Hermite, 1850)

where *c* 

Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $D \ne 0$ . Then the decomposable forms in  $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  of degree n and discriminant D lie in finitely many  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

Let 
$$f = c(X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$
 (with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

Define the discriminant of f by  $D(f) := c^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ .

Let 
$$f = c(X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$
 (with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

Define the discriminant of f by  $D(f) := c^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ .

To f we associate the decomposable form  $[f](\underline{X}) := c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X_1 + \alpha_i X_2 + \dots + \alpha_i^{n-1} X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n].$ 

**Fact.** D(f) = D([f]) (Vandermonde).

Let 
$$f = c(X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$
 (with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

Define the discriminant of f by  $D(f) := c^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ .

To f we associate the decomposable form  $[f](\underline{X}) := c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X_1 + \alpha_i X_2 + \dots + \alpha_i^{n-1} X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n].$ 

**Fact.** D(f) = D([f]) (Vandermonde).

Hermite introduced in 1857 the following equivalence relation:

Two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree *n* are called *Hermite equivalent* if the associated decomposable forms [f] and [g] are  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent, i.e.,  $[g](\underline{X}) = \pm [f](U\underline{X})$  for some  $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let 
$$f = c(X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$
 (with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

Define the discriminant of f by  $D(f) := c^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ .

To f we associate the decomposable form  $[f](\underline{X}) := c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X_1 + \alpha_i X_2 + \dots + \alpha_i^{n-1} X_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n].$ 

**Fact.** D(f) = D([f]) (Vandermonde).

Hermite introduced in 1857 the following equivalence relation:

Two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree *n* are called *Hermite equivalent* if the associated decomposable forms [f] and [g] are  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent, i.e.,  $[g](\underline{X}) = \pm [f](U\underline{X})$  for some  $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Hermite's theorem on decomposable forms and the above fact imply:

#### Theorem (Hermite, 1857)

Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $D \ne 0$ . Then the polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n and of discriminant D lie in finitely many Hermite equivalence classes.

We want to compare Hermite equivalence with  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence.

Two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree *n* are called  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if there is  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^n f\left(\frac{aX + b}{dX + e}\right).$$

We want to compare Hermite equivalence with  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence.

Two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree *n* are called  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if there is  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e}).$ 

#### Lemma

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be two  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent polynomials of equal degree. Then they are Hermite equivalent.

The converse is in general not true.

We have to prove that any two  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent polynomials f, g in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  are Hermite equivalent.

We have to prove that any two  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent polynomials f, g in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  are Hermite equivalent.

Let  $f(X) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$ , where  $A := \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \\ e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Then  $g(X) = \pm c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i X - \gamma_i), \quad \beta_i = d - a\alpha_i, \ \gamma_i = -e + b\alpha_i.$ 

We have to prove that any two  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent polynomials f, g in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  are Hermite equivalent.

Let  $f(X) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$ , where  $A := \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \\ e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Then  $g(X) = \pm c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i X - \gamma_i), \quad \beta_i = d - a\alpha_i, \quad \gamma_i = -e + b\alpha_i.$ 

Define the inner product of two column vectors  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ ,  $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  by  $\langle \underline{x}, \underline{y} \rangle := x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ . Let as before  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$ . Thus,

$$[f](\underline{X}) = c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle \underline{a}_i, \underline{X} \rangle, \text{ where } \underline{a}_i = (1, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{n-1})^T,$$
  
$$[g](\underline{X}) = \pm c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle \underline{b}_i, \underline{X} \rangle, \text{ where } \underline{b}_i = (\beta_i^{n-1}, \beta_i^{n-2} \gamma_i, \dots, \gamma_i^{n-1})^T.$$

We have to prove that any two  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent polynomials f, g in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  are Hermite equivalent.

Let  $f(X) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$ , where  $A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Then  $g(X) = \pm c \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i X - \gamma_i), \quad \beta_i = d - a\alpha_i, \quad \gamma_i = -e + b\alpha_i.$ 

Define the inner product of two column vectors  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ ,  $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  by  $\langle \underline{x}, \underline{y} \rangle := x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ . Let as before  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$ . Thus,

$$[f](\underline{X}) = c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle \underline{a}_i, \underline{X} \rangle, \text{ where } \underline{a}_i = (1, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{n-1})^T,$$
  
$$[g](\underline{X}) = \pm c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle \underline{b}_i, \underline{X} \rangle, \text{ where } \underline{b}_i = (\beta_i^{n-1}, \beta_i^{n-2} \gamma_i, \dots, \gamma_i^{n-1})^T.$$

Then  $\underline{\mathbf{b}}_i = t(A)\underline{\mathbf{a}}_i$  with  $t(A) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for i = 1, ..., n. So  $[g](\underline{X}) = \pm c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \langle t(A)\underline{\mathbf{a}}_i, \underline{X} \rangle = \pm c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \underline{\mathbf{a}}_i, t(A)^T \underline{X} \rangle = \pm [f](t(A)^T \underline{X}).$  Recall that two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the same degree are  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if  $g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^{\deg f} f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$  for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$ 

Theorem (Birch and Merriman, 1972)

Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $D \ne 0$ . Then there are only finitely many  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n and discriminant D.

The proof of Birch and Merriman is ineffective.

Recall that two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the same degree are  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent if  $g(X) = \pm (dX + e)^{\deg f} f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$  for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$ 

#### Theorem (Ev. and Győry, 1991)

Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $D \ne 0$ . Then there is an effective C = C(n, D) such that every  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n and discriminant D is  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent to a polynomial  $f^*$  with  $H(f^*) := \max |coeff. f^*| \le C$ .

In 2017, Ev. and Győry proved this with  $C = \exp\left((16n^3)^{25n^2}|D|^{5n-3}\right)$ .

The theorems of Birch and Merriman and Ev. and Győry on  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence use finiteness results for unit equations and Baker's theory on logarithmic forms, and thus are much deeper than Hermite's.

In what follows, we restrict ourselves to polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  that are irreducible and primitive, i.e., with coefficients having gcd 1.

For an algebraic number  $\alpha$  of degree n define the free  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-module}$  generated by  $1,\alpha,\ldots,\alpha^{n-1},$ 

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} := \left\{ x_1 + x_2 \alpha + \dots + x_n \alpha^{n-1} : x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

#### Lemma

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be primitive, irreducible polynomials of degree  $\geq 2$ . Then f, g are Hermite equivalent if and only if there are  $\lambda \neq 0$ , a root  $\alpha$  of f and a root  $\beta$  of g such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\beta} = \lambda \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \{\lambda \xi : \xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\}.$ 

## Connection with invariant orders

Let 
$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} := \{x_1 + x_2\alpha + \dots + x_n\alpha^{n-1} : x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
 for  $\alpha$  of degree  $n$ ,  
 $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \xi \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\}$ , the ring of scalars of  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ .

It can be shown that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$ . It is an order in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a primitive, irreducible polynomial and  $\alpha$  a root of f. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  is called the *invariant order* of f; it is up to isomorphism uniquely determined.

## Connection with invariant orders

Let 
$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} := \{x_1 + x_2\alpha + \dots + x_n\alpha^{n-1} : x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
 for  $\alpha$  of degree  $n$ ,  
 $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \xi \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\}, \text{ the ring of scalars of } \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}.$ 

It can be shown that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}]$ . It is an order in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a primitive, irreducible polynomial and  $\alpha$  a root of f. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  is called the *invariant order* of f; it is up to isomorphism uniquely determined.

We saw that if f, g are primitive, irreducible, Hermite equivalent polynomials then there are  $\lambda \neq 0$ , a root  $\alpha$  of f and a root  $\beta$  of g such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\beta} = \lambda \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ . This implies  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ .

#### **Corollary 1**

If f, g are irreducible, primitive, Hermite equivalent polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , then f has a root  $\alpha$  and g a root  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ , i.e., f and g have isomorphic invariant orders.

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be irreducible and monic and  $\alpha$  a root of f. Let deg f = n. Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \alpha^{i-1} : x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \big\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \xi \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \}.$$

Since f is monic,  $\alpha^n, \alpha^{n+1}, \ldots \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

#### **Corollary 2**

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be irreducible and monic. Then f, g are Hermite equivalent if and only if f has a root  $\alpha$  and g a root  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ , i.e., if and only if f and g have isomorphic invariant orders.

If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are irreducible and monic, then f, g are Hermite equivalent  $\iff f, g$  have isomorphic invariant orders.

If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are irreducible, primitive and not both monic, then f, g are Hermite equivalent  $\implies f, g$  have isomorphic invariant orders. What about  $\iff$ ? If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are irreducible and monic, then f, g are Hermite equivalent  $\iff f, g$  have isomorphic invariant orders.

If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are irreducible, primitive and not both monic, then f, g are Hermite equivalent  $\implies f, g$  have isomorphic invariant orders. What about  $\Leftarrow$ ?

Any two irreducible, primitive polynomials of degree 3 with isomorphic invariant orders are  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent (Delone and Faddeev, The theory of irrationalities of the third degree, 1940).

Hence any two irreducible, primitive, Hermite equivalent polynomials of degree 3 are  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent.

If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are irreducible and monic, then f, g are Hermite equivalent  $\iff f, g$  have isomorphic invariant orders.

If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  are irreducible, primitive and not both monic, then f, g are Hermite equivalent  $\implies f, g$  have isomorphic invariant orders. What about  $\Leftarrow$ ?

Any two irreducible, primitive polynomials of degree 3 with isomorphic invariant orders are  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent (Delone and Faddeev, The theory of irrationalities of the third degree, 1940).

Hence any two irreducible, primitive, Hermite equivalent polynomials of degree 3 are  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent.

For degree 4 this is no longer true (and very likely neither for degree  $\geq 5$  but we haven't been able to produce any counterexamples in this case yet).

## The non-monic case

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be irreducible and primitive and  $\alpha$  a root of f. Let deg f = n. Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \alpha^{i-1} : x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \big\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \xi \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \}.$$

Define  $I_{\alpha} := \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} + \alpha \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  to be the fractional ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  generated by 1 and  $\alpha$ .

#### Theorem (BEGRS, 2022)

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be irreducible and primitive. Then f, g are Hermite equivalent if and only if f has a root  $\alpha$  and g a root  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$  and the fractional ideals  $I_{\alpha}$  and  $I_{\beta}$  belong to the same ideal class.

## The non-monic case

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be irreducible and primitive and  $\alpha$  a root of f. Let deg f = n. Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \alpha^{i-1} : x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \big\}, \ \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \xi \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \}.$$

Define  $I_{\alpha} := \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} + \alpha \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  to be the fractional ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  generated by 1 and  $\alpha$ .

#### Theorem (BEGRS, 2022)

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be irreducible and primitive. Then f, g are Hermite equivalent if and only if f has a root  $\alpha$  and g a root  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$  and the fractional ideals  $I_{\alpha}$  and  $I_{\beta}$  belong to the same ideal class.

#### **Example**

Let  $f = 4X^4 - X^3 - 62X^2 + 13X + 255$ ,  $g = 5X^4 - X^3 - 2X^2 - 7X - 6$ . Then f and g are irreducible, f has a root  $\alpha$  and g a root  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$  is the maximal order of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

But  $I_{\alpha}$  is principal and  $I_{\beta}$  is not. So f and g are not Hermite equivalent.

Let  $n \ge 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any order of a number field of degree n. Then the primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

Let  $n \ge 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any order of a number field of degree n. Then the primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

The best bounds for C(n) obtained so far:

 $n \quad C(n)$ 

- 3 1 (Delone, Faddeev, 1940)
- 4 10 (Bhargava, 2021)
- $\geq 5 \quad 2^{5n^2}$  (Ev., Győry, 2017)

Let  $n \ge 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any order of a number field of degree n. Then the primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

The best bounds for C(n) obtained so far:

п	C(n)	
3	1	(Delone, Faddeev, 1940)
4	10	(Bhargava, 2021)
$\ge 5$	2 <sup>5n<sup>2</sup></sup>	(Ev., Győry, 2017)

In the case n = 4, Bhargava used an injection from the  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equiv. classes of quartic polynomials f with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  to sols. of a cubic Thue equation F(x, y) = 1 and used Bennett's upper bound 10 for the number of sols. of the latter.

Let  $n \ge 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any order of a number field of degree n. Then the primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

The best bounds for C(n) obtained so far:

n	C(n)
---	------

- 3 1 (Delone, Faddeev, 1940)
- 4 10 (Bhargava, 2021)
- $\geq 5 \quad 2^{5n^2}$  (Ev., Győry, 2017)

In the case n = 4, Bhargava used an injection from the  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equiv. classes of quartic polynomials f with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  to sols. of a cubic Thue equation F(x, y) = 1 and used Bennett's upper bound 10 for the number of sols. of the latter.

The case  $n \ge 5$  was deduced from Beukers' and Schlickewei's upper bound  $2^{16r+8}$  for the number of solutions of x + y = 1 in  $x, y \in \Gamma$ , with  $\Gamma$ a multiplicative group of rank r.

#### Theorem

Let  $n \ge 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any order of a number field of degree n. Then the primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

п	C(n)	
3	1	(Delone, Faddeev, 1940)
4	10	(Bhargava, 2021)
<u>≥</u> 5	$2^{5n^2}$	(Ev., Győry, 2017)

#### **Open problems**

- Improve C(n) (to something polynomial in n?)
- Lower bounds growing to infinity with *n*.

#### Theorem

Let  $n \ge 3$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any order of a number field of degree n. Then the primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with invariant order  $\mathcal{O}$  lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

n	C(n)	
3	1	(Delone, Faddeev, 1940)
4	10	(Bhargava, 2021)
<u>≥</u> 5	$2^{5n^2}$	(Ev., Győry, 2017)

#### Corollary

The primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n in a given Hermite equivalence class lie in at most C(n)  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes.

## Hermite equivalent but $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent polynomials

For polynomials of degree 2 (trivial) and of degree 3 (Delone and Faddeev) Hermite equivalence and  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence coincide.

For polynomials of degree 2 (trivial) and of degree 3 (Delone and Faddeev) Hermite equivalence and  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence coincide.

#### Theorem (BEGRS, 2021)

For every  $n \ge 4$  there are infinitely many pairs (f,g) of irreducible, primitive polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n such that f,g are Hermite equivalent but  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent. These pairs lie in different Hermite equivalence classes.

The proof is by means of an explicit construction.

## The construction (I)

Consider the formal power series  $C(X) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4X}}{2X} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i X^i$ ,

with  $C_i = \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$  the *i*-th Catalan number.

## The construction (I)

Consider the formal power series 
$$C(X) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4X}}{2X} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i X^i$$
,

with  $C_i = \frac{1}{i+1} {\binom{2i}{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  the *i*-th Catalan number. Let  $n \ge 4$ , and  $a^{(n)}(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} C_i X^i$ ,  $b^{(n)}(X) := \frac{X(a^{(n)}(X))^2 - a^{(n)}(X) + 1}{X^{n-1}}$ ,  $k^{(n)}(X) := \frac{1 - X \cdot a^{(n)}(X - X^2)}{(1 - X)^{n-1}}$ .

## The construction (I)

Consider the formal power series 
$$C(X) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4X}}{2X} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i X^i$$
,  
with  $C_i = \frac{1}{i+1} {2i \choose i} \in \mathbb{Z}$  the *i*-th Catalan number.

with 
$$C_i = \frac{1}{i+1} {\binom{n}{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 the *i*-th Catalan number.  
Let  $n \ge 4$ , and  $a^{(n)}(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} C_i X^i$ ,  
 $b^{(n)}(X) := \frac{X(a^{(n)}(X))^2 - a^{(n)}(X) + 1}{X^{n-1}}$ ,  
 $k^{(n)}(X) := \frac{1 - X \cdot a^{(n)}(X - X^2)}{(1 - X)^{n-1}}$ .

Note 
$$X^{n-1}|Xa^{(n)}(X)^2 - a^{(n)}(X) + 1$$
 since  $XC(X)^2 - C(X) + 1 = 0$ ,  
 $X^{n-1}|1 - (1 - X)a^{(n)}(X - X^2)$  since  $C(X - X^2) = \frac{1}{1 - X}$ ,  
 $(1 - X)^{n-1}|1 - X \cdot a^{(n)}(X - X^2)$ .

So  $a^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $b^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $k^{(n)}(X)$  are polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n-2.

## The construction (II)

Let  $a^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $b^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $k^{(n)}(X)$  be the polynomials from the previous slide, let c be either 1 or a prime and t a prime different from c, and put

$$egin{aligned} &f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := cX^n + tk^{(n)}(cX), \ &g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := cX^n + t(1 - 2cX \cdot a^{(n)}(X)) - c^{n-1}t^2b^{(n)}(cX). \end{aligned}$$

Note that both  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n with leading coefficient c.

They are both primitive, and by Eisenstein's criterion, both irreducible.

## The construction (II)

Let  $a^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $b^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $k^{(n)}(X)$  be the polynomials from the previous slide, let c be either 1 or a prime and t a prime different from c, and put

$$egin{aligned} &f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := cX^n + tk^{(n)}(cX), \ &g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := cX^n + t(1 - 2cX \cdot a^{(n)}(X)) - c^{n-1}t^2b^{(n)}(cX). \end{aligned}$$

Note that both  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n with leading coefficient c.

They are both primitive, and by Eisenstein's criterion, both irreducible.

#### Lemma

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ . Then  $\beta := \alpha - c\alpha^2$  is a root of  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  and moreover,  $\alpha = p_{t,c}^{(n)}(\beta)$ , where

$$p_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := X \cdot a^{(n)}(cX) + t \cdot c^{n-2}b^{(n)}(cX).$$

## The construction (II)

Let  $a^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $b^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $k^{(n)}(X)$  be the polynomials from the previous slide, let c be either 1 or a prime and t a prime different from c, and put

$$egin{aligned} &f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := cX^n + tk^{(n)}(cX), \ &g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := cX^n + t(1 - 2cX \cdot a^{(n)}(X)) - c^{n-1}t^2b^{(n)}(cX). \end{aligned}$$

Note that both  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n with leading coefficient c.

They are both primitive, and by Eisenstein's criterion, both irreducible.

#### Lemma

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ . Then  $\beta := \alpha - c\alpha^2$  is a root of  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  and moreover,  $\alpha = p_{t,c}^{(n)}(\beta)$ , where  $p_{t,c}^{(n)}(X) := X \cdot a^{(n)}(cX) + t \cdot c^{n-2}b^{(n)}(cX)$ .

#### Proposition

 $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$ , so  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  and  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are Hermite equivalent.

## The final result

#### Theorem (BEGRS, 2021)

Let  $n \ge 4$ , and let  $p > C_{n-1} = n^{-1} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$  be a prime such that  $k^{(n+1)}(X)$  has no zeros modulo p. Further, let c be either 1 or a prime, and t a prime, such that  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{np}, \quad C_{n-1}t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad t \neq c.$ (\*) Then the polynomials  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  have the following properties: (i)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are irreducible, primitive polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n with leading coefficient c: (ii)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are Hermite equivalent; (iii)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are not  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent.

## The final result

#### Theorem (BEGRS, 2021)

Let  $n \ge 4$ , and let  $p > C_{n-1} = n^{-1} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$  be a prime such that  $k^{(n+1)}(X)$  has no zeros modulo p. Further, let c be either 1 or a prime, and t a prime, such that  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{np}, \quad C_{n-1}t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad t \neq c.$ (\*) Then the polynomials  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  have the following properties: (i)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are irreducible, primitive polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n with leading coefficient c; (ii)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are Hermite equivalent; (iii)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are not  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent.

Using Newton polygons with various primes one shows that the polynomials  $k^{(n+1)}(X)$   $(n \ge 4)$  are irreducible.

Then by Chebotarev's density theorem there are infinitely many primes p such that  $k^{(n+1)}(X)$  has no zeros modulo p.

## The final result

#### Theorem (BEGRS, 2021)

Let  $n \ge 4$ , and let  $p > C_{n-1} = n^{-1} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$  be a prime such that  $k^{(n+1)}(X)$  has no zeros modulo p. Further, let c be either 1 or a prime, and t a prime, such that  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{np}, \quad C_{n-1}t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad t \neq c.$ (\*) Then the polynomials  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  have the following properties: (i)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are irreducible, primitive polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n with leading coefficient c: (ii)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are Hermite equivalent; (iii)  $f_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$ ,  $g_{t,c}^{(n)}(X)$  are not  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent.

By Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, there are infinitely many pairs (c, t) with (\*).

This gives for every  $n \ge 4$ , infinitely many pairs (f,g) of irreducible, primitive polynomials of degree n that are Hermite equivalent but not  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent. By making a further selection, we get infinitely many pairs lying in different Hermite equivalence classes.

A polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called *special* if there is a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  that is Hermite equivalent to f, but  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent to f.

For every  $n \ge 4$  there are infinitely many primitive, irreducible, special polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree n that are pairwise Hermite inequivalent.

#### Vague belief

For a given number field K, let  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  denote the set of primitive, irreducible polynomials that have a root that generates K.

Then 'most' polynomials in  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  are non-special.

Perhaps they lie in only finitely many  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes?

Recall that a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called special if there is a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  that is Hermite equivalent to f but  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent to f.

For a given number field K, we denote by  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  the set of primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\mathbb{Q}[X]/(f) \cong K$ .

We call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $n \ GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent if  $g(X) = \lambda(dx + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Recall that a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called special if there is a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  that is Hermite equivalent to f but  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent to f.

For a given number field K, we denote by  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  the set of primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\mathbb{Q}[X]/(f) \cong K$ .

We call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $n \ GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent if  $g(X) = \lambda(dx + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

#### Theorem

Let K be a number field of degree  $n \ge 5$  whose normal closure has as Galois group the full symmetric group  $S_n$ .

Then the special polynomials in  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  lie in finitely many  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalence classes.

Recall that a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called special if there is a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  that is Hermite equivalent to f but  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent to f.

For a given number field K, we denote by  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  the set of primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\mathbb{Q}[X]/(f) \cong K$ .

We call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $n \ GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent if  $g(X) = \lambda(dx + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

#### Theorem

Let K be a number field of degree  $n \ge 5$  whose normal closure has as Galois group the full symmetric group  $S_n$ .

Then the special polynomials in  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  lie in finitely many  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalence classes.

For number fields of degree 4 this is in general not true.

The proof uses finiteness results for unit equations.

Recall that a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is called special if there is a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  that is Hermite equivalent to f but  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inequivalent to f.

For a given number field K, we denote by  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  the set of primitive, irreducible polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $\mathbb{Q}[X]/(f) \cong K$ .

We call two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $n \ GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalent if  $g(X) = \lambda(dx + e)^n f(\frac{aX+b}{dX+e})$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^*$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & e \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

#### Theorem

Let K be a number field of degree  $n \ge 5$  whose normal closure has as Galois group the full symmetric group  $S_n$ .

Then the special polynomials in  $\mathcal{PI}(K)$  lie in finitely many  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalence classes.

#### Question

In the above theorem, can  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivalence be replaced by  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence?

# Thank you for your attention.