

Analytic Number Theory Fall 2016, Assignment 1

Deadline: Monday October 17

- Don't forget to write your name and student number on your homework. To simplify the grading, it is preferable that you submit your homework in latex.
 - You may either submit your homework at the course, or to Marc Paul Noordman, or send him an electronic version of it by email.
 - The number of points for each exercise is indicated in the left margin. The total number of points is 70. Grade=(number of points)/7.
-

- 5 1.a) Let k be a positive integer. Prove that

$$\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^k} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^k}\right) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hint. Split the integral into $\int_2^{f(x)} + \int_{f(x)}^x$ for a well-chosen function $f(x)$ with $2 \leq f(x) < x$ and estimate both parts from above.

- 5 b) Using integration by parts, prove that for every integer $n > 0$,

$$\text{Li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} = \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)! \frac{x}{(\log x)^i} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{n+1}}\right) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark. The error term will increase with n . So the finite sum cannot be expanded into an infinite series.

2. Euclid's proof that there are infinitely many primes runs as follows. Suppose there are only finitely many primes, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , say. Consider the number $P := p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + 1$. Then either P itself is a prime or P is divisible by a prime but in both cases, this prime must be different from p_1, \dots, p_n . Thus we arrive at a contradiction.

In certain cases, it is possible to give a similar proof for the fact that there are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$. Assume there are only finitely many such primes, p_1, \dots, p_n , say. Construct a function $P(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ which is divisible by a prime which is congruent to a modulo q but which is different from p_1, \dots, p_n .

- 3 a) Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Show that there is no integer x with $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Hint. Suppose there does exist such an integer x . Consider the order of $x \pmod{p}$ in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of non-zero residue classes modulo p .

- 3 b) Show that there are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Hint. Take $P(p_1, \dots, p_n) = 4(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)^2 + 1$.

- 4 c) Show that there are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.
(You have to find yourself a suitable expression $P(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.)

- 5 d) Let p, q be distinct prime numbers with $q \geq 3$, $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Prove that there is no integer x with $1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{q-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

- 5 e) Let q be a prime number ≥ 3 . Prove that there are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

3. In this exercise you are asked to prove Bertrand's postulate: for every positive integer n there is a prime number p with $n < p \leq 2n$. You have to use the theorems and lemmas proved in Chapter 1 of the lecture notes.

- 4 a) Prove that for every real $x \geq 2$ we have $\prod_{p \leq x} p \leq 4^x$ (product taken over all prime numbers $\leq x$).

Hint. Let $m := [x]$, and proceed by induction on m . If m is even, you can immediately apply the induction hypothesis. Assume that $m = 2k + 1$ is odd and consider $\prod_{k+1 < p \leq 2k+1} p$.

It suffices to prove Bertrand's postulate for $n \geq 1000$ since the remaining cases can be verified by straightforward computation. In b),c),d) below let n be an integer ≥ 1000 , and assume that there is no prime p with $n < p \leq 2n$.

- 5 b) Prove that the binomial coefficient $\binom{2n}{n}$ is not divisible by any prime p with $\frac{2}{3}n < p \leq n$.

Hint. Compute $\text{ord}_p\left(\binom{2n}{n}\right)$.

- 4 c) Prove that $\binom{2n}{n} \leq (2n)^{\pi(\sqrt{2n})} \cdot 4^{2n/3}$.

Hint. Write $\binom{2n}{n} = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_t^{k_t}$ with p_i distinct primes and $k_i > 0$ and split into primes p_i with $p_i \leq \sqrt{2n}$ and $p_i > \sqrt{2n}$; for the latter, $k_i = 1$.

- 2 d) Derive a contradiction.

4. We describe a general method to compute series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$, where f is an even meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , i.e., $f(z) = f(-z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ minus the poles of f .

Let N be an integer ≥ 1 and let S_N be the square through the four points $\pm(N + \frac{1}{2}) \pm (N + \frac{1}{2})i$, traversed counterclockwise. Assume that f has only finitely many poles, and that none are lying at the non-zero integers.

1) Compute $\oint_{S_N} \frac{2\pi i f(z)}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} \cdot dz$, using the Residue Theorem.

2) Prove that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{S_N} \frac{2\pi i f(z)}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} \cdot dz = 0$. Here, you have to use the general inequality

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} g(z) dz \right| \leq L(\gamma) \cdot \sup_{z \in \gamma} |g(z)|,$$

where γ is a path in \mathbb{C} , $g : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, and $L(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ . Applying this estimate with $\gamma = S_N$, one has to show that the upper bounds converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The following lemma, of which we have included a proof here, is crucial in 2).

Lemma. *There is a constant $c > 0$, independent of N , such that $|e^{2\pi i z} - 1| \geq c$ holds for all integers $N \geq 1$ and all $z \in S_N$.*

Proof. We consider the four edges of the square separately. First consider the edge from $(N + \frac{1}{2})(-1 - i)$ to $(N + \frac{1}{2})(1 - i)$. This can be parametrized by $(N + \frac{1}{2})(t - i)$ with $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. So for the points z on this edge we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{2\pi i z} - 1| &= |e^{2\pi i(N + \frac{1}{2})(t - i)} - 1| = |e^{2\pi i(N + \frac{1}{2})t} e^{2\pi(N + \frac{1}{2})} - 1| \\ &\geq e^{2\pi(N + \frac{1}{2})} - 1 \geq e^{3\pi} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the edge from $(N + \frac{1}{2})(1 - i)$ to $(N + \frac{1}{2})(1 + i)$. This can be parametrized by $(N + \frac{1}{2})(1 + it)$ with $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. So for the points z on this edge we have

$$|e^{2\pi i z} - 1| = |e^{2\pi i(N + \frac{1}{2})(1 + it)} - 1| = |-e^{-2\pi(N + \frac{1}{2})t} - 1| \geq 1.$$

Here we have used that $e^{2\pi i(N + \frac{1}{2})} = -1$. The other two edges can be treated in the same manner. \square

- 3 a) Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} that has no poles or zeros at the non-zero integers. Prove that the function $\frac{2\pi i f(z)}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1}$ has residue $f(k)$ at $z = k$ for every non-zero integer k .

- 7 b) The Bernoulli numbers B_n are given by $\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} z^n$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z| < 2\pi$).

Using the method sketched above, prove that

$$\zeta(2k) = (-1)^{k-1} 2^{2k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \cdot \pi^{2k} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

5. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = 1^{-s} - 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} - 4^{-s} + 5^{-s} - 6^{-s} + \dots,$$

$$G(s) = 1^{-s} + 2^{-s} - 2 \times 3^{-s} + 4^{-s} + 5^{-s} - 2 \times 6^{-s} + \dots$$

- 4 a) Prove that $F(s)$, $G(s)$ converge, and are analytic on $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 0\}$.

- 4 b) Prove that for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ we have

$$F(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}, \quad G(s) = (1 - 3^{1-s}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}.$$

- 7 c) Use a) and b) to prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ can be continued to an analytic function $\zeta(s)$ on $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 0\} \setminus \{1\}$, with a simple pole with residue 1 at $s = 1$, i.e., $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ if $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s - 1)\zeta(s) = 1$.

Hint. Both functions $1 - 2^{1-s}$, $1 - 3^{1-s}$ have infinitely many zeros in \mathbb{C} . Which zeros do they have in common?