

Asymptotic

# Conciliation of 'Bayesian' & 'Pointwise' Q State Estimation

aka tomography

Richard Gill

Mathematical Institute, University of ~~Utrecht~~ **Leiden**,  
& EURANDOM, Eindhoven

My Q philosophy:

<http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~gill/waveparticle.html>

# The Arena:

- **Suppose**  $N$  moderately large :  $1/N \ll 1$  ,  $0 \ll 1/\sqrt{N}$
- **Given** :  $N$  copies of  $\rho(\vec{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} + \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$ ,  $\vec{\theta} \in \Theta$   
-- what is  $\vec{\theta}$  ? ( measurement + dataprocessing )
- separate / adaptive / LOCC / separable / collective ?  
# of components / measurements ? projective / POVM ? ...
- prior 'knowledge' ? (pure/mixed, 2D/3D :  $\vec{\theta} \in \Theta$  )
- prior distribution ?? figure of merit ??

# The Tools:

## (Q) Statistics

- Cramer-Rao  $\rightarrow$ 
  - Holevo ( Q CR )
  - van Trees ( B CR )

## (Q) Probability

- (Q) LLN, (Q) CLT
- Taylor (propagation of errors; d-method)

} Q LAN  
(not in this talk)

## Analysis

# INSPIRATION, COLLABORATION:

- Ole Barndorff-Nielsen, Peter Jupp [senior maths]
- Luis Artiles, Manuel Ballester, Madalin Guta,  
Jonas Kahn phd thesis! ← www [young maths]
- Emili Bagan, Alex Monras, Ramon Muñoz-Tapia,  
Oriol Romero-Isart [Catalans]
- Keiji Matsumoto, Masahito Hayashi [Japanese]
- Yuen & Lax, Helstrom ; Belavkin, Holevo ;  
Nagaoka, Fujiwara; Massar & Popescu ... [heroes]
- [ d'Ariano c.s. , Buzek, Hradil, ... ] [unclassified!]

## THE PAPERS:

- RD Gill (2005)  
Asymptotic information bounds in quantum statistics  
Under revision for *Annals of Statistics*  
math.ST/0512443
- E Bagan, MA Ballester, RD Gill, A Monras & R Muñoz-Tapia (2006)  
Optimal full estimation of qubit mixed states  
*Phys Rev A* **73** 032301(18)  
quant-ph/0510158
- E Bagan, MA Ballester, RD Gill, R Muñoz-Tapia, O Romero-Isart (2006)  
Separable measurement estimation of density matrices and its fidelity gap with collective protocols  
*Phys Rev Lett* **97** 130501(4)  
quant-ph/0512177

## THE FUTURE (QLAN) :

- MI Guta & J Kahn (2006)  
Local asymptotic normality for qubit states  
Phys Rev A **73** 05218
- MI Guta, B Janssens & J Kahn (2006)  
Optimal full estimation of qubit mixed states  
quant-ph/0608074
- MI Guta & A Jencova (2006)  
Local asymptotic normality in quantum statistics  
quant-ph/0606213

## Other References:

- RD Gill & BY Levit (1995)  
Applications of the Van Trees inequality:  
a Bayesian Cramér–Rao bound Bernoulli 1 59–79  
[http://www.math.uu.nl/people/gill/Preprints/van\\_trees.pdf](http://www.math.uu.nl/people/gill/Preprints/van_trees.pdf)

\*\*\*\*\*

- M Hayashi & K Matsumoto (2004) quant-ph/0411073  
Asymptotic performance of state estimation in  
quantum two level system
- M Hayashi (2003; 2006) quant-ph/0608198  
Quantum estimation and quantum central limit theorem

## Main theorem (Gill, 2005) :

Asympt. quadratic **Bayes'** risk for est'm of  $\psi(\theta)$  with weight matrix  $\tilde{G}(\theta)$  and prior  $\pi(\theta)$  is no smaller than the prior mean **pointwise** Holevo bound with weight matrix  $G = \psi' \tilde{G} \psi'^{\top}$ , i.e. :

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N R^{(N)}(\pi) \geq \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \mathcal{C}_G$$

where

$$R^{(N)}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left( \hat{\psi}^{(N)} - \psi(\theta) \right)^{\top} \tilde{G}(\theta) \left( \hat{\psi}^{(N)} - \psi(\theta) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_G(\theta) = \inf \quad \text{trace } G(\theta) V$$

$$\text{s.a. } \vec{X}, Z; \text{ real } V : V \geq Z,$$

$$\text{trace } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \rho(\theta) X_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{(Holevo bound)}$$

$$\text{trace } \rho(\theta) X_i X_j = Z_{ij}$$



(this part live on real blackboard)

Sketch of proof: ...

(a math talk without a proof is like a movie without a love scene)

(this part live on real blackboard)

## Examples:

completely unknown mixed qubit fidelity loss  
3D and 2D cases

(2D case insoluble without these methods)

completely unknown pure qudit

ANY covariant exhaustive povm + wise data processing  
is asymptotically optimal, eg,

uniform random independent

von Neumann measurements + MLE or Bayes

## CONCLUSIONS:

wise combination of complementary ideas  
from Bayesian and pointwise approaches  
is needed to gain theoretical insight  
and solve practical problems

My point of view:

I am a rabid pragmatist  
ie fanatically opposed BOTH to rabid Bayesians  
AND to rabid frequentists

An often profitable approach is to study the frequentist properties  
of Bayesian methods, in order to tune priors and loss functions  
to attain sensible frequentist properties