

# Formulae for the group law

We have described the gp. law on  $E(K)$  for all  $K$ . In homework, you will compute some examples. However, it is rather time consuming. To make things more efficient (& also for proving some things) it is useful to have 'formulae' for the group law, as follows.

Throughout, we fix ~~an~~ a field  $k$  and an elliptic curve  $(E, 0)$  over  $k$ .

Prop: Let  $K \supseteq k$  and  $p = [(x_p, y_p, 1)] \in E(K)$ . Then  $-p = [(x_p, -y_p, 1)]$ .

PF: From pt of Hom, have  $-p = p * (0 * 0)$ . Let's compute  $0 * 0$ :

We need a line  $L$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  such that  $L \cdot E = 2[0] + [q]$  for some  $q$ .

Let  $L$  be given by  $z = 0$  (so  $L = V_{\mathbb{P}^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ). We find the naive intersection  $L \cdot E$  is exactly the point  $0$ . Then Bezout tells us

$$L \cdot E = 3 \cdot [0] \quad (\text{can also check by hand}), \quad \text{So } 0 * 0 = 0.$$

So  $0 * 0 = 0$ .

Next, compute  $p * (0 * 0) = p * 0$ . Let  $M$  be the line  $x - x_p \cdot z = 0$ .

Then the naive intersection  $M \cdot E$  is either:

1)  $0 \cup p \cup (x_p, -y_p, 1)$  if  $y_p \neq 0$ .

2)  $0 \cup p$  if  $y_p = 0$ .

In case (1), Bezout implies  $M \cdot E = [0] + [p] + [(x_p, -y_p, 1)]$ , done

In case (2), need to compute something. Let's do  $\nu_p(E, M)$ . Find

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(E, M) &= \dim_{\bar{k}} \frac{\bar{k}[x, y]_{(y, x-x_p)}}{(y^3 - (ax^3 + bx^2 + cx), x-x_p)} = \dim_{\bar{k}} \frac{\bar{k}[x, y]_{(y, x-x_p)}}{(y^2, x-x_p)} = \dim_{\bar{k}} \frac{\bar{k}[y]}{y^2} \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

(18) So Bezout follows  $M.E = 2[P_3 + [0]]$ , so again  $-P = [(x_{P_1} - y_{P_1}, 1)]$ .

What about adding points? Notation  $[(x_{P_1}, y_{P_1}, z)] = (x : y : z)$ .  $\square$

Again,  $E_{P_1}$ , now  $P_1 = (x_1 : y_1 : 1)$

$$P_2 = (x_2 : y_2 : 1)$$

$$P_1 * P_2 = (x_3 : y_3 : 1) \quad \left[ \text{Say } P_1 \neq -P_2, \text{ so makes sense} \right]$$

$$P_1 + P_2 = (x_3 : -y_3 : 1)$$

Say  $P_1 \neq P_2$ .

Line  $L$  joining  $P_1$  &  $P_2$  can be given by:

$$(y_2 - y_1)x + (z_1 - x_2)y + [y_1(x_2 - x_1) - x_1(y_2 - y_1)]z = 0$$

$$\text{Set } \lambda = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}, \quad v = y_1 - \lambda x_1 = y_2 - \lambda x_2$$

So  $L$  given by  $y = \lambda x + v z$

Set  $z=1$  to simplify, & substitute ~~into~~ into  $y^2 = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + c$ :

get

$$x^3 + (a - \lambda^2)x^2 + (b - 2\lambda v)x + (c - v^2) = 0$$

This has roots  $x_1, x_2$  &  $x_3$ . Hence  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = \lambda^2 - a$ ,

so

$$x_3 = \lambda^2 - a - x_1 - x_2, \quad y_3 = \lambda x_3 + v$$

What if  $P_1 = P_2$ ? Similar arguments, omitted. Result:  
(if  $2P_1 \neq 0$ ):

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1^4 - 2bx_1^2 - 8cx_1 + b^2 - 4ac}{4x_1^3 + 4ax_1^2 + 4bx_1 + 4c} \quad \text{Duplication formula}$$

$y_3 = \dots$  mess...

In theory, could prove associativity using these formulae...  
NOT fun! Also not illuminating

Points of order 2 & 3.

To get more used to working with ECs, & to see a few basic things about gp law, let's look at some pts of small order.

Order 2: Say  $2 \cdot p = 0$ . Then  $p = -p$ , so if  $p = (x_p, 0, 1)$ .

There are exactly 3 such pts over  $\bar{k}$ , the roots of  $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$  (note E non-sing  $\Rightarrow$  these roots are distinct). They all have order 2, since none are 0.

Def: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , let  $E/\bar{k}$  and  $k \geq k$ . We write

$E(k)[n]$  for the points of order  $n$  in  $E(k)$  killed by multiplication by  $n$ .

Ex:  $E(k)[2]$  is a subgroup of  $E(k)$ .

We see  $\# E(\bar{k})[2] = 4$ . Moreover, all pts except 0 have order 2, so

$$E(\bar{k})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Note char } k \neq 2.$$

Similarly (using that  $p$  of order 3  $\Rightarrow x(p) = x(2p)$ ), we find that

$$E(\bar{k})[3] \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if char } k \neq 3.$$

(20)

Thm:  $k$  a field,  $(E, 0) \in C/k$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  then,  $k \geq k$ . Then  $E(k)[x]$  is finite.

Pf:  $\log k = k = \bar{k}$ .

Claim: Let  $r > 0$ . Then there is at least one point of order  $r$ .

Proof: Substitute the 'duplication polynomial' into itself  $r$  times, yielding a rat fun ~~is~~  $\Psi \in k(x)$  such that

$$\Psi(x_p) = x(z^r \cdot p).$$

Order 3:

• If  $3p = 0$  then  $z_p = -p$ , so  $x(z_p) = x(-p) = x(p)$ .

• If  $x(z_p) = x(\cancel{z_p} p)$ , then  $z_p = \pm p$ . If  $z_p = p$  then  $p = 0$ .

Hence if  $p \neq 0$  then  $3p = 0 \iff x(z_p) = x(p)$ .

Using formula for  $x(z_p)$ , we find that  $3p = 0$  iff  $\Psi_3(x(p)) = 0$ , where

$$\Psi_3(x) = 3x^4 + 6ax^3 + 6bx^2 + 12cx + (4ac - b^2).$$

I claim this has distinct roots if char  $k \neq 3$ . Indeed, we can write  $(f \in k[y^2] \Rightarrow f(x))$

$$\Psi_3(x) = 2f(x)f''(x) - f'(x)^2, \text{ so}$$

$$\Psi_3'(x) = 2f(x)f'''(x) = 12f(x),$$

so a common root of  $\Psi_3(x)$  &  $\Psi_3'(x)$  would be a

common root of  $2f(x)f''(x) - f'(x)^2$  &  $12f(x)$ ,

so would be a common root of  $f(x)$  &  $f'(x)$  (here use char  $k \neq 3$ )

contradicting smoothness of  $E$ .

So there are  $2 \cdot 4 = 8$  points of order 3 <sup>over  $k = \bar{k}$ , char  $k \neq 3$</sup>  so

$$\# E(\bar{k})[3] = 8 + 1 = 9, \text{ \& so } E(\bar{k})[3] \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}}{3\mathbb{F}} \times \frac{\mathbb{F}}{3\mathbb{F}}$$

In general:

Thm:  $k$  a field,  $(E, O)_k$  elliptic,  $p$  prime number,  $p \neq \text{char } k$

that

- If  $p \in k^\times$  then  $E(k)[p] \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}}{p^\times} \times \frac{\mathbb{F}}{p^\times}$

- If  $p = 0$  in  $k$  then  $E(k)[p] \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}}{p}$  or  $0$ .

PF omitted. Not deep, but no super easy proofs I know of.

$p) = 0,$

$(\infty),$   
 $k$

char  $k \neq 3$ )

## ② Elliptic curves over finite fields

For this section,  $k$  is finite, &  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  on  $EC/k$   
 $\#k = q$

- Note  $E(k)$  finite, since  $E(k) \subseteq P^2(k)$ ,  $\#P^2(k) = q^2 + q + 1$ .
- In fact,  $E \setminus \mathcal{O} \subseteq A^2(k)$ , so  $\#E(k) \leq q^2 + 1$ .
- $\mathcal{O} \in E(k)$ , so  $\#E(k) \geq 1$ .
- Apart from  $\mathcal{O}$ , only  $q$  possible  $x$ -coords, & each with  $\leq 2y$ -coords,  
so  $\#E(k) \leq 2q + 1$ .

Can one do better?

Thm (Hasse, Weil):  $|\#E(k) - q - 1| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .

Pf: omitted, quite hard. Follows immediately from Weil conjectures [Deligne] (D)