# TWO THEOKEMS ON PERFECT CODES 

H.W. LENSTRA, Jr.<br>Mathematical Institute, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 17 March 1972


#### Abstract

Two theorems are proved on perfect codes. The first one states that Lloyd's theorem is true without tne assumption that the number of symbols in the alphabet is a prime power. The second theurem asserts the impossibility of perfect group codes over non-prime-pcweralphabets.


## §0. Introduction

Let $V$ be a finite set, $|V|=q \geq 2$, and let $1 \leq e \leq n$ be rational integers. We puit $N=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For $v=\left(v_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \in V^{n}, v^{\prime}=\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \in V^{n}$ we define $d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\left|\left\{i \in N \mid v_{i} \neq v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right|$. A perfect e-error-correcting code of block length $n$ over $V$ is a subset $C \subset V^{n}$ such that for every $v \in V^{n}$ there exists exactly one $c \in C$ satisfying $d(v, c) \leq e$.

If $q$ is a prime power, a necessary condition for the existence of such a code is given by Lloyd's theorem [6]. This theorem has recently been used to determine all $n, e$ for which a perfect code over an alphabet $V$ $\boldsymbol{o}_{2}^{f} q$ symbols, $q$ a prime power, exists $[5 ; 6]$.

In § 1 I show that Lloyd's theorem holds for all $q$. The proof, which is modelled after $[6,5.4]$, makes use of some elementary notions from comnutative algebra. A different proof has been obtained by P. Delsarte [2]. It seems hard to use Lloyd's theorem to prove non-existence theorems for perfect codes over non-prime-power-alphabets.

In $\S 2$ I prove the following theorem: if $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is a group with underlying set $V$, and $C \subset \Pi_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ is a subgroup which as a subset of $V^{n}$ is a perfect $e$-error-correcting code, $e<n$, then $q$ is a prime power and each $G_{i}$ is abelian of type $(p, p, \ldots, p)$. A special case of this theorem was proved in [4].

## § 1. Lloyd's theorem

Theorem 1. If a perfeit e-error-correcting code of block length $n$ over $V$ exists then the polynomial

$$
P(X)=\Sigma_{i=0}^{e}(-1)^{i}\binom{n-X}{e-i}\binom{X-1}{i}(q-1)^{e-i},
$$

where

$$
\binom{a}{i}=\Pi_{j=1}^{i} \frac{a-j+1}{j},
$$

has e distinct integral zeros among $1,2, \ldots, n$.
Proof. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic zero, and let $M$ be a $K$-vector space of dimension $q^{n}$ with the elements of $V^{n}$ as basis vectors:

$$
M=\left\{\Sigma_{v \in V^{n}} k_{v} \cdot v \mid k_{v} \in K \text { for } v \in V^{n}\right\} .
$$

If $D \subset V^{n}$ is a subset, we dencte $\Sigma_{v \in D} v \in M$ by $\Sigma D$. Define the $K$-endomorphisms $\phi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of $M$ by

$$
\phi_{i}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\Sigma\left\{v^{\prime}=\left(v_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j=1}^{n} \in V^{n} \mid v_{j}^{\prime}=v_{j} \text { for all } j \neq i\right\},
$$

$v=\left(v_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{n} \in V^{n}$. One easily checks:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{i} \phi_{j}=\phi_{j} \phi_{i} & (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n), \\
\phi_{i}^{2}=q \cdot \phi_{i} & (1 \leq i \leq n) . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

Let $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be the commutative polynomial ring in $n$ symbols over $K$. The ideal generated by $\left\{X_{i}^{2}-a X_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is denoted by $B$, and $R$ is the factor ring $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right] / B$. By (1) there exists a $K$-linear ring homomorphism $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{K}(M)$ (the ring of $K$-endomorphisms of $M$ ) mapping 1 to the identity and $X_{i}$ to $\phi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. The kernel of this ring homomorphism contains $B$, by (2), so we obtain a ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{K}(M)$, mapping $x_{i}=\left(X_{i} \bmod B\right) \in R$
to $\phi_{i}$. Therefore we can make $M$ isto an $R$-module by defining $r \cdot m=$ $f(r)(m)(r \in R, m \in M)[1$, II. i.i; 3, III.1].

Put $y_{I}=\Pi_{i \in I}\left(x_{i}-1\right) \in R$ for $I \subset N$. Then

$$
y_{I} \cdot v=\Sigma\left\{v^{\prime} \in V^{n} \mid \text { if } j \in N, \text { then: } v_{j}=v_{j}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow j \notin I\right\}
$$

$I \subset N, v \in V^{n}$. Therefore, $\left\{y_{I} \cdot v \mid I \subset N\right\} \subset M$ is linearly independent over $K$, for $v \in V^{n}$. Then certainly $\left\{y_{I} \mid I \subset N\right\} \subset R$ is linearly independent over $K$. Moreover, it is easily shown that $\left\{y_{I} I \subset \subset N\right\}$ generates $R$ as a $K$-vector space. This proves: $\left\{y_{I} \mid I \subset N\right\}$ is a $K$-basis for $R$, and $\operatorname{dim}_{K}(R)=2^{n}$ (by $\operatorname{dim}_{K}$ we mean dimension over $K$ ).

The permutation group $S_{n}$ on $n$ symbols acts as a group of $K$-linear ring automorphisms on $R$ by permuting $\left\{x_{i} \mid i \in N\right\}$. The set of invariants

$$
A=\left\{r \in R \mid \sigma(r)=r \text { for all } \sigma \in S_{n}\right\}
$$

is a subring of $R$. Put

$$
z_{j}=\Sigma_{I \subset N, ~ \| I=j} y_{I} \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq n .
$$

Then it is easy to see that $\left\{z_{j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ is a $K$-basis for $A$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j} \cdot v=\Sigma\left\{v^{\prime} \in V^{n} \mid d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=j\right\}, 0 \leq j \leq n, v \in V^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is a subring of $R, M$ is also an $A$-module.
Choose $u \in V^{n}$ arbitrary but fixed, and define $w(v)=d(v, u)$ for $v \in V^{n}$. Let $S_{V^{n}}$ be the full pe:mutation group of $V^{n}$, and let $G$ be the subgroup $G=\left\{\sigma \in S_{\nu^{-n}} \mid \sigma\left(i_{i}\right)=u\right.$, and $d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=d\left(\sigma(v), \sigma\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for all $v$, $\left.v^{\prime} \in V^{n}\right\}$. By permuting the basis vectors, $G$ acts $K$-linearly on $M$. This action is even $A$-linear, sincः for $\sigma \in G, 0 \leq j \leq n, v \in V^{n}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(z_{j} \cdot v\right) & =\sigma\left(\Sigma\left\{v^{\prime} \mid d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=j\right\}\right)=\Sigma\left\{\sigma\left(v^{\prime}\right) \mid d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=j\right\} \\
& =\Sigma\left\{v^{\prime} \mid d\left(v, \sigma^{-1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)=j\right\}=\Sigma\left\{v^{\prime} \mid d\left(\sigma(v), v^{\prime}\right)=j\right\} \\
& =z_{j} \cdot \sigma(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $M^{G}=\{m \in M \mid \sigma(m)=i n$ for all $\sigma \in G\}$ is an $A$-submodule of $M_{\text {; }}$ and the $\operatorname{map} T: M \rightarrow M^{G}$, defined by

$$
\Gamma(m)=\Sigma_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(m),
$$

is an $A$-homomorphism. We wish to determine the structure of $M^{G}$ as an $A$-module.

It is not hard to see that the orbits of the $G$-action on $V^{n}$ are $\left\{\left\{u \in V^{n}: w(v)=j\right\} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Put

$$
m_{j}=\Sigma\left\{v \in V^{n} \mid w(v)=j\right\} \in M, 0 \leq j \leq n,
$$

then it follows that $\left\{m_{j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ is a $K$-basis for $M^{G}$. Define the $A$ homomorphism

$$
A \xrightarrow{\Psi} M^{G} \text { by } \psi(a)=a \cdot u
$$

(we consider $A$ as an $A$-module by left multiplication, $[1 ; 3]$ ). Then

$$
\psi\left(z_{j}\right)=z_{j} \cdot u=\Sigma\left\{v \in V^{n} \mid d(v, u)=j\right\}=m_{j} .
$$

So $\psi$ maps a $K$-basis for $A$ one to one onto a $K$-basis for $M^{G}$. This implies that $\psi$ is bijective. We have shown:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \equiv M^{G} \text { as } A \text {-modules } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that a perfect $e$-error correcting code $C \subset V^{n}$ exists. Then one easily constructs $e+1$ perfect $e$-error-correcting codes $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{e}=V^{n}$ such that $i \in w\left[C_{i}\right](0 \leq i \leq e)$. We first prove:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T\left(\Sigma C_{i}\right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq e\right\} \subset M^{G} \text { is linearly incependent over } K . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of (5). Let $T\left(\Sigma C_{i}\right)=\Sigma_{j=0}^{n} k_{i j} m_{j}\left(k_{i j} \in K\right)$; since $C_{i}$ is $e$-error-correcting, we have $w\left[c_{i}\right] \rho_{i}\{0,1, \ldots, e\}=\{i\}$; therefore, if $0 \leq i \leq e, 0 \leq j \leq e$, the coefficient $k_{i j}$ is nonzero if and only if $i=j$, and (5) follows.

Put

$$
s=\sum_{j=0}^{e} \quad z_{j} \in A .
$$

By (3), the perfectness of $C_{i}$ implies

$$
s \cdot \Sigma C_{i}=\Sigma V^{n}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq e .
$$

Applying the $A$-linear map $T$ we find

$$
s \cdot T\left(\Sigma C_{i}\right)=T\left(\Sigma V^{n}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq e .
$$

Using (5) we conclude $\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left\{m \in M^{G} \mid s \cdot m=0\right\} \geq e$, and by (4) this is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left\{a \in A \mid s^{\cdot} \cdot a=0\right\} \geq e . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it seems useful to siudy the structure of $A$.
For $I \subset V$ we define the ring homomorphism $\chi_{I}: R \rightarrow K$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{I}(k)=k, \quad k \leqq K, \\
& \chi_{I}\left(x_{i}\right)=0 \text { if } i \in I, \\
& \chi_{I}\left(x_{i}\right)=q \text { if } i \notin I .
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximal ideals $\operatorname{ker}\left(\chi_{I}\right)$ of $R$ are mutually different, so $\operatorname{ker}\left(\chi_{I}\right)+$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(\chi_{J}\right)=R$ for $I \neq J^{\prime}$. By the Chinese remainder theorem [3, II.2; 1, I.8.11] it follows that the $K$-linear ring homomorphism

$$
\chi=\Pi_{I \subset N} \chi_{I}: R \rightarrow \Gamma_{I=N} K
$$

is surjective (in $\Pi_{I \subset N} K$ addition and multiplication are defined componentwise) ; comprarison of $K$-dimension shows that $\chi$ is injective, so $\chi$ is a ring isomorphism. Fo: $\sigma \in S_{n}, I \subset N, r \in K$ we have $\chi_{\sigma[I]}(\sigma(r))=\chi_{I}(r)$. This implies: if $I, J \subset N$ satisfy $|I|=|J|$ then $\chi_{I}$ and $\psi_{J}$ have the same restriction to $A$. The efore

$$
\chi[A] \subset\left\{\left(k_{I}\right)_{I \subset N} \in \Pi_{I \subset N} K \mid k_{J}=k_{J^{\prime}} \text { if }|J|=\left|J^{\prime}\right|\right\}
$$

and countring dimension over $K$ shows that this inclusion is in fact an equality. Putting

$$
I_{x}=\{1,2, \ldots, x\}, \quad \chi_{x}=\chi_{I_{x}} \mid A(0 \leq x \leq n),
$$

we conclude that

$$
\because=\prod_{x=0}^{n} x_{x}: A \rightarrow \prod_{x=0}^{n} K
$$

is a K -linear ring isomorphism.
Fo $\cdot k=\left\{k_{x}\right\}_{x=0}^{n} \in \prod_{x=0}^{n} K$ we have obviously

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left\{k^{\prime} \in \Pi_{x=0}^{n} K!k \cdot k^{\prime}=0\right\}=\left\{\left\{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq n, k_{x}=0\right\} \mid .\right.
$$

Putting $k=\chi^{\prime}(s)$ and using (6) we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq n, \chi_{x}(s)=0\right\}\right| \geq e \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definitions we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{x}\left(z_{j}\right) & =\Sigma_{I \subset N, \mid I=j} \chi_{I_{x}}\left(y_{I}\right) \\
& =\Sigma_{I \subset N, \mid I=j}(-1)^{I I \cap I_{x^{\prime}}} \cdot(q-1)^{I I-I_{x} \mid} \\
& =\Sigma_{i=0}^{j}\binom{x}{i}\binom{n-x}{j-i}(-1)^{i}(q-1)^{j-i},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{x}(s) & =\sum_{j=0}^{?} \chi_{x}\left(z_{j}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& =\Sigma_{i=0}^{e}(\cdots 1)^{i}\binom{n-x}{e-i}\binom{x-1}{i}(q-1)^{e-i} \\
& =P(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Sirce $P(0)=\Sigma_{i=0}^{e}\binom{n}{e-i}(q-1)^{e-i} \neq 0$, Lloyd's theorem now follows from (7) and (8).

## §2. Perfect group codes

Theorem 2. Let $G_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, b:$ a group with underlying set $V$. Suppose there exists a subgroup $C \subset \prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ such that the underlying set of $C$ is a perfect e-error-correcting code of block length $n$ over $V$, with $e<n$. Then $q$ is a power of a prime $p$ and each $G_{i}$ is abelian of type ( $p, p, \ldots, p$ ).

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the groups $G_{i}$ have the same unit element $1 \in V(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Put $u=(1)_{i=1}^{n}$, and let $w(g)=d(g, u)$ for $g \in \Pi_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$, as :n § 1 .

Let $C \subset \Pi_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ be as in the stat:ment of Theorem 2. Then $u \in C$ since $u$ is the unit element of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$. If

$$
g=\left(g_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}
$$

satisfies $w(g)=e+1$, then the unique element $c=\left(c_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \in C$ for which $d(g, c) \leq e$ cannot equal $u$, and therefore $w(c) \geq 2 e+1$. This is only compatible with $w(g)=e+!$ and $d(g, c) \leq e$ if $w(c)=2 e+1$ and $c_{i}=g_{i}$ for all $i$ such that $g_{i} \neq 1$. We shall use this remark two times below.

Choose $\alpha_{2} \in G_{2}$ uch that the order of $\alpha_{2}$ in $G_{2}$ is a prime number $p$, and choose $\alpha_{i} \in G_{i}, x_{i} \neq 1$, for $3 \leq i \leq e+1$. It is sufficient to prove
(i) every $\alpha \in G_{1}, \therefore+1$, has order $\beta$ in $G_{1}$;
(ii) $\alpha \beta=\beta \alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in G_{1}$.
(i) Let $\alpha \in G_{1}, \alpha \neq 1$. Put

$$
g=\left(\alpha, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{e+1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}
$$

Then $w(g)=e+1$. By the above remark, some $c \in C$ has the following shape:

$$
c=\left(\alpha, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{e \cdot 1},(\text { exactly } e \text { of the remaining components } \neq 1)\right)
$$

Since $C$ is a subgroup, $c^{p} \in C$, and

$$
c^{p}=\left(\alpha^{p}, 1,(\text { at most } . . e-1 \text { of the remaining components } \neq 1)\right) .
$$

Therefore $w\left(c^{p}\right) \leq 2 e$ which implies $c^{p}=u$ and $\alpha^{p}=1$.
(ii) Let $\alpha, \beta \in G_{1}, \alpha \neq i \neq \beta$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\boldsymbol{g}=\left(\alpha, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{e+1},\right\}, \ldots, 1\right), \\
& g^{\prime}=\left(\beta, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{e+1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above remark yields $c, c^{\prime} \in C$ which look like:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\left(\alpha, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{e+1},(\text { exactly } e \text { of the remaining components } \neq 1)\right) \\
& c^{\prime}=\left(\beta, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{e+1},(\text { exactly } e \text { of the remaining components } \neq 1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $d\left(c c^{\prime}, c^{\prime} c\right) \leq e+1$, and since $c c^{\prime}, c^{\prime} c \in C$ it follows that $c c^{\prime}=$, and $\alpha \beta={ }^{\circ}{ }^{n}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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