

# ROSATI AND FROBENIUS

DAVID T.-B. G. LILIENFELDT

ABSTRACT. These are the notes of two talks I gave at the Honda–Tate seminar at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem on April 30, 2023 and May 7, 2023. Let  $q$  be a power of a prime number. Given an abelian variety over a finite field with  $q$  elements, we define the geometric Frobenius endomorphism and show that its characteristic polynomial is a  $q$ -Weil polynomial. This relies crucially on the positivity of the Rosati involution, a result whose proof we also give. These expository notes are entirely based on the book [1] and contain no novel mathematical contributions on my part, except for the mistakes I may have introduced. I thank Shaul Zemel for spotting some of those mistakes in a previous version.

## 1. INTRODUCTION: THE HONDA-TATE THEOREM

We begin by recalling necessary notations, conventions, facts, and definitions about abelian varieties:

- $k$ : arbitrary field with fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$
- Variety/ $k$ : separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type that is geometrically integral
- Curve/ $k$ : 1-dimensional variety/ $k$
- Abelian variety/ $k$ : complete group variety/ $k$
- Elliptic curve/ $k$ : 1-dimensional abelian variety/ $k$
- Dual of abelian variety  $X/k$ :  $X^t := \text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  connected component of the identity of the Picard scheme
- Poincaré bundle: universal line bundle  $P_X$  on  $X \times \text{Pic}_{X/k}$  (trivialized along the zero section  $0 \times \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ ) restricted to  $X \times X^t$
- Isogeny of abelian varieties/ $k$ : homomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$  and  $\ker(f)$  is a finite group scheme
- Polarization of abelian variety  $X/k$ : symmetric isogeny  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^t$  such that  $(\text{id}, \lambda)^*P_X$  is an ample line bundle on  $X$
- $q = p^m$ : power of a prime number
- $q$ -Weil number: algebraic integer  $\pi$  such that  $|\iota(\pi)| = \sqrt{q}$  for all complex embeddings  $\iota: \mathbb{Q}[\pi] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- Conjugacy: two  $q$ -Weil numbers are conjugate if their minimal polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are equal.

The goal of the seminar is to prove the following result:

---

*Date:* May 8, 2023.

**Theorem 1.1** (Honda–Tate). *The map that assigns to a simple abelian variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  its geometric Frobenius endomorphism  $\pi_X$  gives a bijection of sets*

$$\{\text{isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties}/\mathbb{F}_q\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{conjugacy classes of } q\text{-Weil numbers}\}.$$

The injectivity of the map in Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Tate’s theorem [1, §16.3], while the surjectivity is due to Honda [1, §16.5]. The proof will be covered in the next lectures. The goal of today is to define the geometric Frobenius endomorphism of an abelian variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and explain in what sense this endomorphism is a  $q$ -Weil number. We follow [1, §16.1].

## 2. ROSATI

A crucial ingredient in the proof that “the geometric Frobenius is a  $q$ -Weil number” is the positivity of the Rosati involution for polarized abelian varieties. We therefore begin by proving this result over an arbitrary field  $k$ .

**2.1. The endomorphism algebra.** Let  $X$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over  $k$ . Let  $\text{End}(X)$  denote the ring of endomorphism of  $X$  (defined over  $k$ ) and let  $\text{End}^0(X) := \text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  denote the associated endomorphism algebra.

**2.1.1. Poincaré splitting.** The abelian variety  $X$  is isogenous over  $k$  to a product of powers of simple abelian varieties

$$(2.1) \quad X \sim_k Y_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times Y_n^{m_n},$$

such that  $Y_i \not\sim_k Y_j$  for  $i \neq j$  [1, Corollary 12.5]. A homomorphism between two simple abelian varieties is either trivial or an isogeny. In particular,  $D_i := \text{End}^0(Y_i)$  is a division algebra for each  $i$ , and we have

$$(2.2) \quad \text{End}^0(X) = M_{m_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{m_n}(D_n).$$

**2.1.2. Endomorphism algebras of Tate modules.** For any prime  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ , we have the Tate module  $T_\ell(X) := \varprojlim X[\ell^n](\bar{k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$  and the associated  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space  $V_\ell(X) := T_\ell(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2g}$ . Any endomorphism  $f \in \text{End}(X)$  preserves torsion points and thus induces endomorphisms  $T_\ell(f) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X))$  and  $V_\ell(f) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(V_\ell(X))$ . The resulting map

$$\text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X))$$

is injective with torsion-free cokernel [1, Theorem 12.10]. As a consequence,  $\text{End}(X)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $\leq 4g^2$ .

**2.1.3. Characteristic polynomial.** Associated to an endomorphism  $f \in \text{End}(X)$  there is a unique monic polynomial  $P_f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  of degree  $2g$  satisfying the property

$$(2.3) \quad P_f(n) := \deg([n]_X - f), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

See [1, Proposition 12.15] for a justification of the existence of such a polynomial. The uniqueness is clear since polynomials only have finitely many zeros. The polynomial  $P_f$  is called the *characteristic polynomial* of  $f$ .

If  $f \in \text{End}^0(X)$ , then we choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nf \in \text{End}(X)$  and define

$$\deg(f) := n^{-2g} \deg(nf) \quad \text{and} \quad P_f(t) := n^{-2g} P_{nf}(nt).$$

Then  $P_f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $2g$  satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad P_f(r) := \deg(r - f), \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $r - f$  is interpreted as an element of  $\text{End}^0(X)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Following [1, Definition 12.16], we define the trace of  $f \in \text{End}^0(X)$  to be

$$\text{trace}(f) = -(2g - 1)\text{th coefficient of } P_f.$$

For all  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ ,  $P_f(t)$  is equal to the characteristic polynomial  $P_{\ell,f} \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[t]$  of  $V_\ell(f)$  acting on the  $2g$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space  $V_\ell(X)$  [1, Theorem 12.18], i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad P_f(t) = P_{\ell,f}(t) = \det(t \cdot \text{id} - V_\ell(f)).$$

As a consequence, we have

- (a)  $P_f(f) = 0$  [1, Corollary 12.19]
- (b)  $P_f \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  for all  $f \in \text{End}(X)$  [1, Corollary 12.20]
- (c)  $\text{trace}(fg) = \text{trace}(gf)$ , for all  $f, g \in \text{End}^0(X)$  [1, Corollary 12.21].

**2.2. The Rosati involution.** Let  $X$  be an abelian variety over  $k$  and let  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^t$  be a polarization. The Rosati involution is an involution of the endomorphism algebra

$$\dagger: \text{End}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X),$$

which depends on the polarization  $\lambda$ . If  $f \in \text{End}^0(X)$ , then

$$f^\dagger := \lambda^{-1} \circ f^t \circ \lambda,$$

where  $f^t: X^t \rightarrow X^t$  is the dual homomorphism and  $\lambda^{-1}$  makes sense after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. in  $\text{Hom}(X^t, X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , since  $\lambda$  is an isogeny. Note that  $\dagger$  is an involution by symmetry of  $\lambda$ .

**2.2.1. Characteristic polynomial.** We clearly have  $\deg(f) = \deg(f^\dagger)$ . Moreover, if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$[n]_X^\dagger = \lambda^{-1} \circ [n]_X^t \circ \lambda = \lambda^{-1} \circ [n]_{X^t} \circ \lambda = \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ [n]_X = [n]_X.$$

As a consequence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$P_f(n) = \deg([n]_X - f) = \deg((([n]_X - f)^\dagger) = \deg([n]_X^\dagger - f^\dagger) = \deg([n]_X - f^\dagger) = P_{f^\dagger}(n).$$

It follows that

$$(2.6) \quad P_f = P_{f^\dagger} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{trace}(f) = \text{trace}(f^\dagger).$$

**2.2.2. Polarizations and line bundles.** Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Consider the associated Mumford bundle

$$\Lambda(L) := m^*L \otimes \text{pr}_1^*L^{-1} \otimes \text{pr}_2^*L^{-1}$$

on  $X \times X$ , where  $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is the group operation map (i.e.,  $m(x, y) = x + y$ ). Viewing  $\Lambda(L)$  as a family of line bundles on the first copy of  $X$  parametrized by the second copy of  $X$  gives rise to a map

$$\varphi_L: X \rightarrow X^t, \quad x \mapsto [t_x^*L \otimes L^{-1}].$$

This is a homomorphism by the Theorem of the Cube [1, Theorem 2.7]. Moreover, it is symmetric (i.e.,  $\lambda^t = \lambda$ ) by symmetry of the construction. If  $L$  is ample (i.e., there exists  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a closed immersion  $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  such that  $L^n = \pi^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ ), then  $\varphi_L$  is a polarization on  $X$ . Conversely, a homomorphism  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^t$  is a polarization if and only if there exists a finite separable extension  $k \subset K$  and an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $X_K$  such that  $\varphi_L = \lambda_K$  [1, Corollary 11.5].

In the proof of the next result we will use the fact that any line bundle  $L$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  for some Weil divisor  $D$  (determined up to linear equivalence). Here,  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is the line bundle whose space of global sections is given by

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \{f \in k(X)^\times \mid \operatorname{div}(f) + D \geq 0\}.$$

Concretely,  $D$  is obtained by taking any global section of  $L$  and taking its vanishing locus. The map  $L \mapsto c_1(L) := [D]$  establishes the well-known isomorphism

$$c_1: \operatorname{Pic}(X) \simeq \operatorname{CH}^1(X)$$

between isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $X$  and the codimension 1 Chow group of Weil divisors modulo rational (i.e., linear) equivalence. The element  $c_1(L)$  is the first Chern class, which explains the notation. We recall that a line bundle  $L$  is ample if and only if  $L$  is non-degenerate (i.e.,  $\varphi_L$  is an isogeny) and effective (i.e.,  $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  for some effective divisor  $D$ ) [1, Proposition 2.22].

The Chow ring  $\operatorname{CH}(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^g \operatorname{CH}^i(X)$  of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence is graded by codimension with product given by the intersection product

$$\operatorname{CH}^i(X) \times \operatorname{CH}^j(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{CH}^{i+j}(X), \quad ([Z_1], [Z_2]) \mapsto [Z_1 \cdot Z_2],$$

for representatives  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  that intersect transversally. If  $D$  is a Weil divisor, so that  $[D] \in \operatorname{CH}^1(X)$ , then we abusively write  $D^g$  for  $\deg([D]^g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the degree of the  $g$ -fold self-intersection  $[D]^g \in \operatorname{CH}^g(X)$ . Similarly, if  $D'$  is another Weil divisor, we write  $D^{g-1} \cdot D'$  for  $\deg([D]^{g-1} \cdot [D'])$ . In this notation, if  $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ , then the Riemann–Roch theorem for abelian varieties [1, Theorem 9.11] can be stated as the equality

$$(2.7) \quad \deg(\varphi_L) = \left( \frac{c_1(L)^g}{g!} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{D^g}{g!} \right)^2.$$

### 2.2.3. Positivity of the Rosati involution.

**Theorem 2.2** (Theorem 12.26 of [1]). *Let  $X$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over  $k$  with a polarization  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^t$  and associated Rosati involution  $\dagger$ .*

i) *If  $\lambda = \varphi_L$  for some ample line bundle  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  and  $f \in \operatorname{End}(X)$ , then*

$$\operatorname{trace}(ff^\dagger) = 2g \frac{D^{g-1} \cdot f^*D}{D^g}.$$

ii) *The bilinear pairing*

$$\operatorname{End}^0(X) \times \operatorname{End}^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad (f, g) \mapsto \operatorname{trace}(fg^\dagger)$$

*is symmetric and positive-definite.*

*Remark 2.3.* The above formula *i*) makes sense for  $L$  ample, since  $D^g \neq 0$  in this case. This follows from Riemann–Roch (2.7) and the fact that  $L$  is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $\varphi_L$  is an isogeny, which implies that  $\deg(\varphi_L) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* By property (c) of the trace discussed in §2.1.3, we have  $\operatorname{trace}(ff^\dagger) = \operatorname{trace}(f^\dagger f)$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{trace}(ff^\dagger)$  is the  $(2g-1)$ th coefficient of the characteristic polynomial  $P_{f^\dagger f}(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  by Definition 2.1. By (2.4), for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\varphi_L) P_{f^\dagger f}(n) &= \deg(\varphi_L) \deg([n]_X - \lambda^{-1} f^\dagger \lambda f) \\ &= \deg(n\varphi_L - f^\dagger \varphi_L f) \\ &= \deg(\varphi_L^n - \varphi_{f^*L}) \\ &= \deg(\varphi_{L^n \otimes f^*L^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Riemann–Roch (2.7) to both sides of this equality yields

$$(c_1(L)^g)^2 P_{f^\dagger f}(n) = (c_1(L^n \otimes f^* L^{-1})^g)^2 = ((nc_1(L) - c_1(f^* L))^g)^2.$$

Consider the polynomial  $Q(t) = \sum_{j=0}^g b_j t^j \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  of degree  $g$  with coefficients defined by

$$b_j := \binom{g}{j} (-1)^{g-j} (c_1(L)^j \cdot c_1(f^* L)^{g-j}).$$

Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$Q(n) = (nc_1(L) - c_1(f^* L))^g.$$

We deduce the equality of polynomials

$$(c_1(L)^g)^2 P_{f^\dagger f}(t) = Q(t)^2.$$

Comparing the  $(2g - 1)$ th coefficients yields

$$(c_1(L)^g)^2 \text{trace}(f^\dagger f) = 2g c_1(L)^g (c_1(L)^{g-1} \cdot c_1(f^* L)),$$

and  $i)$  follows since  $c_1(f^* L) = f^* c_1(L) = f^* D$ .

The pairing in  $ii)$  is symmetric by (2.6). To see that it is positive-definite, it is enough to base-change to  $\bar{k}$ . Then there exists an ample line bundle  $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  such that  $\lambda = \varphi_L$ . We need to prove that for  $0 \neq f \in \text{End}^0(X)$ ,  $\text{trace}(f f^\dagger) > 0$ . Because the trace is homogeneous of degree 2, it is enough to prove this for  $f \in \text{End}(X)$ . We may then apply  $i)$ . According to [3, §21 Proof of Theorem 1], for any effective divisor  $S$  and any ample divisor  $T$ , we have  $T^{g-1} \cdot S > 0$ . In particular,  $D^g > 0$ , so by  $i)$  it suffices to prove that  $f^* D$  is effective because then  $D^{g-1} \cdot f^* D > 0$ . For a proof that  $f^* D$  is effective, we refer to [1, End of proof of Lemma 12.9].  $\square$

We end this section with a useful result.

**Proposition 2.4** (Proposition 14.4  $i)$  of [2]). *Let  $X$  be an abelian variety over  $k$  with a polarization  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^t$ . Then  $|\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)| < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $X$  that respects the polarization  $\lambda$ . This means that  $\alpha^t \circ \lambda \circ \alpha = \lambda$ , or in other words  $\alpha^\dagger \alpha = \text{id}_X$ . In particular,  $\text{trace}(\alpha^\dagger \alpha) = 2g$ . This shows that

$$\text{Aut}(X, \lambda) \subset \text{End}(X) \cap \{\alpha \in \text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \text{trace}(\alpha^\dagger \alpha) = 2g\}.$$

But  $\text{End}(X)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and thus a discrete subset of the compact  $\text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  (a product of spaces of matrices with coefficients in real division algebras (2.2)). The condition  $\text{trace}(\alpha^\dagger \alpha) = 2g$  being a closed condition, we conclude that  $\text{Aut}(X, \lambda)$  is finite.  $\square$

### 3. FROBENIUS

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $p$  be a prime. In this section we specialize to the case  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  for  $q = p^m$ . We fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ .

#### 3.1. Frobenius morphisms.

3.1.1. *The absolute Frobenius.* Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme. The absolute Frobenius is the morphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -schemes

$$\mathrm{Frob}_X: X \longrightarrow X$$

given by the identity on topological spaces and by raising to the  $p$ -th power on sections. More precisely, the map on sheaves  $\mathrm{Frob}_X^\#: \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  takes a section  $s$  to  $s^p$ . If  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  is a morphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -schemes, then

$$(3.1) \quad \mathrm{Frob}_Y \circ f = f \circ \mathrm{Frob}_X$$

because  $f^\#(s^p) = f^\#(s)^p$  for any section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ .

3.1.2. *The relative Frobenius.* Let  $S$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme and let  $X$  be an  $S$ -scheme with structure morphism  $\pi: X \longrightarrow S$ . Define  $X^{(p)} := X \times_{\mathrm{Frob}_S} S$  with projection map  $W: X^{(p)} \longrightarrow X$ . Using (3.1) and the universal property of fiber products, there is a unique morphism of  $S$ -schemes  $F_{X/S}: X \longrightarrow X^{(p)}$  such that  $W \circ F_{X/S} = \mathrm{Frob}_X$ . This morphism is called the relative Frobenius.

To fix ideas, consider  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  for some  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R$  and let  $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I)$  for some ideal  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \subset R[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ . Note that  $\mathrm{Frob}_S: \mathrm{Spec}(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  is the morphism induced by the map of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras  $\varphi: R \longrightarrow R, r \mapsto r^p$ . Let  $f_i^{(p)}$  denote the polynomial  $f_i$  but with coefficients raised to the  $p$ -th power and let  $I^{(p)} := (f_1^{(p)}, \dots, f_n^{(p)})$ . Then

$$X^{(p)} = X \times_{\mathrm{Frob}_S} S = \mathrm{Spec}(R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I \otimes_\varphi R) = \mathrm{Spec}(R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I^{(p)})$$

and the relative Frobenius is induced by the map of  $R$ -algebras

$$R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I^{(p)} \longrightarrow R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I, \quad r \mapsto r, \quad t_i \mapsto t_i^p.$$

On the other hand, the absolute Frobenius  $\mathrm{Frob}_X$  is induced by the map of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras

$$R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I \longrightarrow R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I, \quad r \mapsto r^p, \quad t_i \mapsto t_i^p.$$

Finally, the morphism  $W: X^{(p)} \longrightarrow X$  is induced by the map

$$R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I \longrightarrow R[t_1, \dots, t_m]/I^{(p)}, \quad r \longmapsto r^p, \quad t_i \mapsto t_i.$$

3.1.3. *The geometric Frobenius.* Let  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with  $q = p^m$ . The geometric Frobenius is the morphism of  $S$ -schemes  $\pi_X := \mathrm{Frob}_X^m$  given by the  $m$ -th iterated power of the absolute Frobenius. It is a morphism of  $S$ -schemes because  $\mathrm{Frob}_S^m = \mathrm{id}_S$ . It can also be described by iterating the absolute Frobenius  $m$  times

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_X = F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q}^m = F_{X^{(p^{m-1})}/\mathbb{F}_q} \circ F_{X^{(p^{m-2})}/\mathbb{F}_q} \circ \dots \circ F_{X^{(p)}/\mathbb{F}_q} \circ F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q}.$$

**3.2. The roots of geometric Frobenius.** Let  $X$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset K$  is a field extension, then  $\pi_X$  acts on  $X(K)$  by taking  $(x: \mathrm{Spec}(K) \longrightarrow X)$  to  $\pi_X \circ x = x \circ \pi_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)}$ . If we consider a closed immersion  $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then in projective coordinates we have

$$\pi_X([x_0: \dots: x_N]) = [x_0^q: \dots: x_N^q].$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider the extension  $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  of degree  $n$ . We then have

$$X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = \{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \mid \pi_X^n(x) = x\}.$$

Because  $0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , we have  $\pi_X(0) = 0$  and  $\pi_X$  is an endomorphism. It commutes with all other endomorphisms by (3.1), so it lies in the center of  $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ . Define the Verschiebung map

$$(3.3) \quad V_{X/\mathbb{F}_q} := F_{X^t/\mathbb{F}_q}^t: X^{(p)} \longrightarrow X.$$

We then have

$$(3.4) \quad V_{X/\mathbb{F}_q} \circ F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q} = [p]_X \quad \text{and} \quad F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q} \circ V_{X/\mathbb{F}_q} = [p]_{X^{(p)}}$$

(see the proof of [1, Proposition 7.34]). In particular,  $F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q}$  is an isogeny of degree  $p^g$ . It follows from (3.2) that  $\pi_X$  is an isogeny of degree  $q^g$ . We let  $f_X$  denote its characteristic polynomial as defined in §2.1.3. We recall that it is a monic polynomial  $f_X(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  (by property (b) of §2.1.3) of degree  $2g$  satisfying  $f_X(n) = \deg([n]_X - \pi_X)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is elementary (i.e., a power of a simple abelian variety). Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \subset \text{End}^0(X)$  is a number field and  $f_X$  is a power of the minimal polynomial  $\min(\pi_X; \mathbb{Q})$  of  $\pi_X$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X = Y^m$  with  $Y$  a simple abelian variety. Then  $D = \text{End}^0(Y)$  is a division algebra and  $\text{End}^0(X) = M_m(D)$ . Observe that  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  lies in the center  $\{\text{diag}(z) \in M_m(D) \mid z \in Z(D)\}$  of  $\text{End}^0(X) = M_m(D)$ , which is a field. Since  $f_X \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  is monic of degree  $2g$  with  $f_X(\pi_X) = 0$ , we see that  $\pi_X^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  and we deduce that  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is a field with  $[\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]: \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2g$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a root of  $f_X$ . Let  $\ell \neq p$  be a prime and recall that  $P_{\ell, \pi_X}(t) = \det(t \cdot \text{id} - V_\ell(\pi_X)) = f_X$  (2.5). Thus  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $V_\ell(\pi_X)$ . Let  $g := \min(\pi_X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $g(\alpha)$  is an eigenvalue of  $g(V_\ell(\pi_X))$ . But  $g(V_\ell(\pi_X)) = V_\ell(g(\pi_X)) = 0$  so  $g(\alpha) = 0$ . Hence, all roots of  $f_X$  are roots of  $g$ . This implies that  $f_X$  divides a power of  $g$ . By irreducibility of  $g$ , this forces  $f_X$  to equal a power of  $g$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then*

- i) *Every complex root  $\alpha$  of  $f_X$  has absolute value  $|\alpha| = \sqrt{q}$ .*
- ii) *If  $\alpha$  is a complex root of  $f_X$ , then so is  $\bar{\alpha} = q/\alpha$  and they occur with the same multiplicity. If  $\alpha = \sqrt{q}$  or  $\alpha = -\sqrt{q}$  occurs as a root, then it occurs with even multiplicity.*

*Proof.* i) It suffices to treat the case where  $X$  is simple. Indeed, by (2.1),  $X$  is isogenous over  $k$  to a product  $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$  of simple abelian varieties. If  $\ell \neq p$ , then at the level of Tate modules this isogeny gives an isomorphism of  $V_\ell(X)$  with the direct sum of the  $V_\ell(X_i)$ . This isomorphism respects the various geometric Frobenii. Thus, in terms of characteristic polynomials we get a decomposition

$$P_{\ell, \pi_X} = P_{\ell, \pi_{X_1}} \cdots P_{\ell, \pi_{X_m}},$$

and consequently a decomposition  $f_X = f_{X_1} \cdots f_{X_m}$ .

Suppose now that  $X$  is a simple abelian variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^t$  be a polarization with Rosati involution  $\dagger$ . We claim that  $\pi_X \circ \pi_X^\dagger = [q]_X$ . Indeed, we have

$$\pi_X \circ \lambda^{-1} \circ \pi_X^t \circ \lambda = \lambda^{-1} \circ \pi_{X^t} \circ \pi_X^t \circ \lambda$$

by (3.1). It thus suffices to show that  $\pi_{X^t} \circ \pi_X^t = [q]_{X^t}$ . Recall from (3.3) that  $F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q}^t = V_{X^t/\mathbb{F}_q}$  and thus, by using (3.4) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\pi_{X^t} \circ \pi_X^t = F_{X^t/\mathbb{F}_q}^m \circ V_{X^t/\mathbb{F}_q}^m = [p^m]_{X^t} = [q]_{X^t}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the simplicity of  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is a number field and  $f_X = \min(\pi_X; \mathbb{Q})^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that the complex roots of  $f_X$  are the  $\iota(\pi_X)$  for all complex embeddings  $\iota: \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\pi_X^\dagger = q/\pi_X$ , the Rosati involution preserves  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ . Since  $f_X = \min(\pi_X; \mathbb{Q})^m$ , we have  $\text{trace}(x) = m \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]/\mathbb{Q}}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ . It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is a number field with an involution  $\dagger$  such that the quadratic form  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, x \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]/\mathbb{Q}}(xx^\dagger)$  is positive-definite. This places strong restrictions on  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ : in fact,  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is either

- (a) totally real with  $\dagger = \text{id}$ ,

(b) a CM-field with  $\iota(x^\dagger) = \overline{\iota(x)}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  and all complex embeddings  $\iota: \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

In any case, we obtain  $|\iota(\pi_X)|^2 = \iota(\pi_X \pi_X^\dagger) = q$ .

We now justify the above classification (we refer to [3, p. 193-194] for more details). Let  $F$  be the subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  fixed by  $\dagger$ . Suppose first that  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] = F$ . Then  $\dagger = \text{id}$  and  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2) > 0$  for all  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is a number field with positive-definite trace form. Let  $v$  be an infinite place and consider the completion  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]_v$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]_v/\mathbb{R}}(x_v^2) > 0$  for all  $0 \neq x_v \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]_v$  excludes  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]_v = \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, all infinite places are real and we are in case (a). Suppose next that  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \neq F$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] = F(\sqrt{\alpha})$  for some  $\alpha \in F$  and  $(\sqrt{\alpha})^\dagger = -\sqrt{\alpha}$ . By the same argument as in the previous case, the subfield  $F$  is totally real. For all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ ,  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]/\mathbb{Q}}(x)$  coincides with the trace  $\text{Tr}(x)$  of left multiplication by  $x$  on  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ . Now,  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is a 2-dimensional  $F$ -algebra with a positive involution in the sense that  $\text{Tr}(xx^\dagger) > 0$  for all  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$ . It follows that the extension of  $\dagger$  to  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \otimes_F \mathbb{R}$  is a positive involution. Let  $v$  be an archimedean place of  $F$  (necessarily real). Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \otimes_v \mathbb{R}$  is a 2-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra with a positive involution. Note that  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \otimes_v \mathbb{R}$  is either  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . However, the standard involution on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not positive since for  $\alpha = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\text{Tr}(\alpha\bar{\alpha}) = 2xy$ . This excludes  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] \otimes_v \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^2$  and we are in case (b).

ii) If  $\sqrt{q}$  or  $-\sqrt{q}$  occurs as a root, then we are in case (a) above, i.e.,  $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$  is totally real and  $\sqrt{q}$  and  $-\sqrt{q}$  are the only possible roots. Hence  $f_X(t) = (t - \sqrt{q})^n(t + \sqrt{q})^{2g-n}$  and  $f_X(0) = (-1)^n q^g$ . But  $f_X(0) = \deg(f_X) = q^g$  by definition of the characteristic polynomial, hence  $n$  is even.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bas Edixhoven, Ben Moonen, Gerard van der Geer, *Abelian varieties*, <http://van-der-geer.nl/~gerard/AV.pdf>
- [2] James S. Milne, *Abelian varieties*, <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/AV.pdf>
- [3] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, [https://wstein.org/edu/Fall2003/252/references/mumford-abvar/Mumford-Abelian\\_Varieties.pdf](https://wstein.org/edu/Fall2003/252/references/mumford-abvar/Mumford-Abelian_Varieties.pdf)

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

*E-mail address:* davidterborchgram.lilienfeldt@mail.huji.ac.il