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## Lattice differential equations

System of ODE's, indexed by a lattice $\Lambda$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{\eta}=F_{\eta}\left(\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\right), \quad \eta \in \Lambda . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Lattice $\Lambda$ often infinite, leading to infinite dimensional systems.
- Nonlinearities $F_{\eta}$ reflect geometry of the lattice.
- Often only short range interactions.


## Example

Typical example of LDE on the integer lattice $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{i, j}=\alpha L_{D} u_{i, j}-f\left(u_{i, j}\right), \quad(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L_{D}$ is a discrete Laplacian, which could be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{D} u_{i, j}=\left(\Delta^{+} u\right)_{i, j} \equiv u_{i+1, j}+u_{i-1, j}+u_{i, j+1}+u_{i, j-1}-4 u_{i, j},  \tag{or}\\
& L_{D} u_{i, j}=\left(\Delta^{\times} u\right)_{i, j} \equiv u_{i+1, j+1}+u_{i+1, j-1}+u_{i-1, j+1}+u_{i-1, j-1}-4 u_{i, j} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$




Bistable nonlinearity, typically

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{cub}}(u)=u(u-a)(u-1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example Continued

The system (2), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{i, j}=\alpha\left(\Delta^{+} u\right)_{i, j}-f\left(u_{i, j}\right), \quad(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha=h^{-2}$, arises from discretization of the reaction diffusion equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=\Delta u-f(u), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to a rectangular lattice with spacing $h$.

- Large values of $\alpha$ correspond with the continuous limit $h \rightarrow 0$.
- One can also study (5) with small $\alpha$ and $\alpha<0$.
- Away from the continuous limit, (5) has a much richer structure that (6).


## Models

Models leading to LDES can be found in

- Chemical reaction theory
- Image processing and pattern recognition
- Biology
- Material science (Crystals)

The numerical and experimental work of Leon Chua and Martin Hasler is a strong motivation for the study of LDEs.

They are developing algorithms based on LDEs which identify various prescribed patterns, for example edges, or corners, in a digitized image.

## Cellular Neural Networks



Figure 1: Already in 1988 Leon O. Chua and Lin Yang developed the concept of Cellular Neural Networks: large neural nets with local interactions.

## CNN Automata



State equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \dot{x}_{i, j}(t)=-\frac{1}{R_{x}} x_{i, j}(t)+ \\
& \sum_{(k, l) \in N} A_{k, l} f\left(x_{i+k, j+l}\right)+I_{\mathrm{ext}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $N$ denotes the $3 \times 3$ neighbourhood $\{(i, j) \mid-1 \leq i \leq 1,-1 \leq j \leq 1\}$.


Figure 2: Overview of inputs and outputs $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}(|x+1|-|x-1|)$.
for the cell at $(0,0)$.

## CNN Pattern Recognition

- One CNN Cell represents one pixel.
- Original state and $I_{e x t}$ correspond with input picture.
- Input picture is greyscale with values in range $[-1,1]$.
- Neural Network should converge to equilibrium state $x(\infty)$.
- Output should be black and white, i.e. $f(x(\infty)) \in\{-1,1\}$. This is equivalent to $|x(\infty)| \geq 1$.

I
Theorem 1. Suppose that $A_{0,0}>R_{x}^{-1}$. Then for inputs corresponding to greyscale images, the limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{i, j}(t)=x_{i, j}(\infty) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist and satisfy $\left|x_{i, j}(\infty)\right| \geq 1$.
This theorem guarantees that the final output $f\left(x_{i, j}(\infty)\right)$ is a black and white image.

## CNN Pattern Recognition - Line Detection

The coupling constants $A_{i, j}$ should be chosen according to the task at hand.

| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Horizontal line detector template. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |



Original greyscale image.

| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Vertical |  |  |
| line detector |  |  |
| lemplate. |  |  |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |



Horizontal line after. Vertical line after.

## CNN Noise Reduction

Goal is to eliminate random noise applied to image.

| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | Noise |
| :--- |
| reduction |
| template $A$. |


| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Noise |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | l <br> reduction <br> template B. |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |


| 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | | Noise |
| :--- |
| reduction |
| template C. |



Original Image.


## CNN Edge Recognition

Goal is to extract edges from an image.

| 0.0 | -1.0 | 0.0 | Edge recognition template A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.0 | 4.0 | -1.0 |  |
| 0.0 | -1.0 | 0.0 |  |


A.

| -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.25 | 2.0 | -0.25 | recognition |
| -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.25 |  |

B.
B.

## CNN Corner Recognition

Template the same as for edge recognition; Inputs $I_{\text {ext }}$ get extra biasterm.


## CNN Circuits

- Cellular Neural Networks can be implemented as electronic circuits.
- Couplings $A_{k, l}$ can be set by changing impedances of circuit elements.
- Very fast parallel processing possible.


Figure 3: Circuit

## CNN Final Example

Large scale edge recognition using CNN's is possible.


## Understanding behaviour of LDE's

- Equilibrium Solutions.
- Transient behaviour.


## Equilibrium Solutions

Mallet-Paret has studied the equilibrium solutions of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{i, j}=-\beta^{+}\left(\Delta^{+} u\right)_{i, j}-\beta^{\times}\left(\Delta^{\times} u\right)_{i, j}-f\left(u_{i, j}\right), \quad(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonlinearity $f$ is assumed to be an odd function, one of either

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f_{\text {cub }, 0}(z) & =\gamma z+z^{3} & & \gamma>0 \\
f_{\log }(z) & =(\gamma-2) z+\log ((1+z) /(1-z)) & & \gamma>0 \\
f_{\text {cart }}(z) & =\gamma z, \quad-1<z<1, \quad(-\infty,-1], \quad z=-1, \quad[1, \infty), \quad z=1 & \gamma>0
\end{array}
$$



Cubic $f_{\text {cub, } 0}$


Logarithmic $f_{\text {log }}$


Cartoon $f_{\text {cart }}$

## Equilibrium Solutions Continued

- Pattern of vertical stripes given by $u_{i, j}=(-1)^{i} k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Pattern of horizontal stripes given by $u_{i, j}=(-1)^{j} k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. These two patterns are solutions when

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(4 \beta^{+}+8 \beta^{\times}\right) k-f(k) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Checkerboard pattern $u_{i, j}=(-1)^{i+j} k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=8 \beta^{+} k-f(k) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Bifurcation Analysis

The equilibrium conditions take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\lambda k-f(k) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda=f^{\prime}(0)=\gamma$ the solution $k=0$ bifurcates. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k)=\gamma k+\frac{1}{6} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) k^{3}+O\left(k^{5}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the solutions for $\lambda$ nearby $\gamma$, with $\lambda>\gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \sim \pm \sqrt{6(\lambda-\gamma) / f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can extend this analysis to all solutions with spatial period two, which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i, j}=(-1)^{i} v+(-1)^{j} w+(-1)^{i+j} x+y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for real $v, w, x, y$.

## Bifurcation Diagram



Bifurcation diagram for equilibrium solutions to (9). Line A: $4 \beta^{+}+8 \beta^{\times}=\gamma$. Bifurcation line for stripes. Line $B: 8 \beta^{+}=\gamma$. Bifurcation line for checkerboard.

## Mosaic Solutions

- One can obtain global results when one considers (9) with the cartoon nonlinearity $f_{4}$.
- The parameter space $\left\{\left(\beta^{+}, \beta^{\times}\right)\right\}$can be divided into finitely many regions.
- Each region admits a set of asymptotically stable equilibrium solutions $u$ for which $u_{i, j} \in\{-1,0,1\}$.
- Some have patterns; others are spatially chaotic.



## Travelling Wave Solutions

Consider the LDE (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{i, j}=\alpha L_{D} u_{i, j}-f_{\mathrm{cub}}\left(u_{i, j}, a\right), \quad(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the cubic nonlinearity $f_{\text {cub }}(u, a)=u(u-1)(u-a)$.
One often is interested in travelling wave solutions and makes the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i, j}(t)=\phi\left(i k_{1}+j k_{2}-c t\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution into (2) with $L_{D}=\Delta^{+}$yields
$-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\alpha\left(\phi\left(\xi+k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi+k_{2}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{2}\right)-4 \phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\text {cub }}(\phi(\xi), a)$.
This is a mixed-type functional differential equation, also called a differential-difference equation (DDE).

One often imposes the limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(\xi)=0, \quad \quad \lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi)=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Travelling Wave Solutions - Existence

Theorem 1 (Mallet-Paret). The differential difference equation
$-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\alpha\left(\phi\left(\xi+k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi+k_{2}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{2}\right)-4 \phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a)$,
together with the side conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(\xi) & =0, \\
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi) & =1, \\
\phi(0) & =a,
\end{array}
$$


has a unique solution $(\phi, c)$ whenever $c \neq 0$. Moreover, this solution depends $C^{1}$-smoothly on a when $c(a) \neq 0$.

Notice that the DDE is translation invariant. The normalization $\phi(0)=a$ picks out a unique translate.

## Travelling Wave Solutions - Stability

Theorem 2. Suppose $p_{i, j}(t)=\phi\left(i k_{1}+j k_{2}-c t\right)$ is a travelling wave solution to the $L D E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{i, j}=\alpha L_{D} u_{i, j}-f_{\mathrm{cub}}\left(u_{i, j}, a\right), \quad(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $p$ is asymptotically stable, i.e., for all $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any solution $x$ to the LDE (21) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x\left(t_{0}\right)-p\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|<\delta \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $t_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x(t)-p(t)\|<\epsilon \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>t_{0}$. Furthermore, there exists $t^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x(t)-p\left(t+t^{*}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Travelling Wave Solutions - Spatial anisotropy

A feature which distinguishes LDEs from PDE's is spatial anisotropy. Substitution of the travelling wave ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\phi(k \cdot x-c t) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the continuous reaction diffusion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=\Delta u-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(u, a), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of $k$. Compare to
$-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\alpha\left(\phi\left(\xi+k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi+k_{2}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{2}\right)-4 \phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a)$,
which depends on $k$.

## Spatial anisotropy

The lattice anisotropy can be illustrated by taking $k=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ and studying the $c(\theta)$ relation. Example LDE: $\dot{u}_{i, j}=\left(\Delta^{+} u\right)_{i, j}-10 f_{\mathrm{cub}}\left(u_{i, j}, a\right)$.


## Spatial anisotropy Continued

Another $c(\theta)$ plot for $\dot{u}_{i, j}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(\Delta^{+} u\right)_{i, j}+\left(\Delta^{\times} u\right)_{i, j}\right)-f_{\text {cub }}\left(u_{i, j}, a\right)$.


## Travelling Wave Solutions - Propagation Failure

Another feature which distinguishes LDEs from PDEs is propagation failure.
Theorem 3 (Mallet-Paret). The differential difference equation

$$
-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\alpha\left(\phi\left(\xi+k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi+k_{2}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{2}\right)-4 \phi(\xi)\right)-f_{c u b}(\phi(\xi), a)
$$

generally admits a nontrivial interval $\left[a_{-}, a_{+}\right]$for which the wavespeed $c$ vanishes, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(a)=0, \quad a \in\left[a_{-}, a_{+}\right] . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Propagation failure and Spatial Anisotropy

Theorem 4. Consider the travelling wave equation
$-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\alpha\left(\phi\left(\xi+k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{1}\right)+\phi\left(\xi+k_{2}\right)+\phi\left(\xi-k_{2}\right)-4 \phi(\xi)\right)-\widetilde{f}(\phi(\xi), a)$,
with $k=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Write $a^{+}(\theta)$ for the critical value of a at which propagation failure sets in. Then $a^{+}(\theta)$ is continuous whenever $\tan \theta$ is irrational and discontinuous whenever $\tan \theta$ is rational or infinite.

An analogous result has recently been obtained for the cubic $f_{\text {cub }}$.


The idealized nonlinearity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{f}(x, a)=x-\operatorname{Heaviside}(x-a) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Our contribution

Analysis of numerical method to solve class of DDEs including

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\phi\left(\xi+r_{j}\right)-\phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\gamma>0$ and $\epsilon>0$, under the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(\xi) & =0 \\
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi) & =1  \tag{31}\\
\phi(0) & =a
\end{array}
$$

- The extra second order term required for computational purposes.
- Physically, this term arises if we incorporate local as well as nonlocal effects into the model.
- It allows us to perform continuation between discrete and continuous Laplacian.

A connecting solution to the $\operatorname{DDE}(30)$ is a pair $(\phi, c) \in W^{2, \infty} \times \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the DDE (30) and the conditions (31).

## Newton iteration

Write $\bar{\phi}(\xi)=\left(\phi\left(\xi+r_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(\xi+r_{N}\right)\right)$ and split the DDE as

$$
-\gamma \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=F(\phi(\xi))+G(\bar{\phi}(\xi))
$$

Solutions correspond to zeroes of $\mathcal{G}: W^{2, \infty} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}(\phi, c)(\xi)=-\gamma \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)-F(\phi(\xi))-G(\bar{\phi}(\xi)) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek zeroes of the map $\mathcal{G}$ via Newton iteration. Normally, this would involve the iteration step

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{n+1}, c_{n+1}\right)=\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)-\left[D_{1,2} \mathcal{G}\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathcal{G}\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right), \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{1,2} \mathcal{G}$ is the Frechet derivative of $\mathcal{G}$, given by
$\left[D_{1,2} \mathcal{G}(\phi, c)\right](\psi, b)(\xi)=-\gamma \psi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \psi^{\prime}(\xi)-D_{1} F(\phi) \psi(\xi)-D_{1} G(\bar{\phi}) \overline{\psi(\xi)}-b \phi^{\prime}(\xi)$.
The iteration step involves solving a linear DDE and thus is hard.

## Variant of Newton Iteration

Goal is to relax dependence on shifted arguments. Introduce the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{\mu}(\phi, c)(\xi)=-\gamma \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)-F(\phi(\xi))-\mu G(\bar{\phi}(\xi)), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in[0,1]$ is a relaxation parameter.
The numerical method uses the iteration step

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{n+1}, c_{n+1}\right)=\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)-\left[D_{1,2} \mathcal{F}^{\mu}\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathcal{G}\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This is an ODE for $\mu=0$ of the form $\gamma \phi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}+c_{n} \phi_{n+1}^{\prime}=H\left(\phi_{n+1}, c_{n+1}, \xi\right)$.
- Can use standard boundary solver (like COLMOD) to solve at each iteration step.
- Second order term ensures that solutions remain smooth, even when $c \rightarrow 0$.
- Essential in light of propagation failure!


## Convergence of The Method

Theorem 5. Let $(\phi, c)$ be a connecting solution of the $D D E$

$$
-\gamma \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=F(\phi(\xi))+G(\bar{\phi}(\xi)) .
$$

Then the Newton iteration given by

$$
\left(\phi_{n+1}, c_{n+1}\right)=\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)-\left[D_{1,2} \mathcal{F}^{\mu}\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathcal{G}\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right),
$$

is well-defined and converges to the solution ( $\phi, c$ ) for all initial values $\left(\phi_{0}, c_{0}\right)$ which are sufficiently close to the solution ( $\phi, c$ ) and for all $\mu$ sufficiently close to 1 .
I

- Does the DDE with the second order term have a solution?
- How does the second order term affect the solution?
- How do we get an appropriate initial value ( $\phi_{0}, c_{0}$ ) ?
- Can we take $\mu=0$ ?


## Main result

Theorem 6. The differential difference equation

$$
-\gamma \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\phi\left(\xi+r_{j}\right)-\phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a)
$$

with $\gamma>0$ and $\epsilon>0$ has a unique connecting solution $(\phi(a), c(a)) \in W^{2, \infty} \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $0<a<1$. Moreover, this connecting solution $(\phi(a), c(a))$ depends $C^{1}$-smoothly on the detuning parameter $a$.

## Continuation

- In general it is hard to find an appropriate initial solution $\left(\phi_{0}, c_{0}\right)$.
- The continuity in parameter space established in the previous theorem allows us to use continuation.
- Progessively advance from easy problems to hard problems, using solution of a problem as initial condition for next problem.


## Hard Final Problem

## Easy Initial Problem

## Step Nr

## Main Results continued

Theorem 7. Let $\left(\phi_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of connecting solutions to the DDEs

$$
-\gamma_{n} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\phi\left(\xi+r_{j}\right)-\phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a)
$$

with $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Then, after passing to a subsequence, the pointwise limits

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{0}(\xi) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}(\xi)  \tag{36}\\
c_{0} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

both exist and $\left(\phi_{0}, c_{0}\right)$ is a connecting solution to the limiting $D D E$

$$
-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\phi\left(\xi+r_{j}\right)-\phi(\xi)\right)-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a)
$$

We can thus hope to uncover the rich behaviour at $\gamma=0$ by choosing $\gamma$ small enough.

## Propagation failure




Solutions to the test problem

$$
-10^{-8} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=0.1(\phi(\xi+1)-\phi(\xi-1)-2 \phi(\xi))-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), a)
$$

## Limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in critical case $a=0.5$



## Large delay term

- When $\epsilon$ increases, delay term becomes dominant $\Rightarrow$ difficult to converge.
- However, high $\epsilon$ corresponds to the PDE limit and is thus uninteresting.


Solutions to the test problem

$$
-10^{-4} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=\epsilon(\phi(\xi+1)-\phi(\xi-1)-2 \phi(\xi))-f_{\mathrm{cub}}(\phi(\xi), 0.50)
$$

## Higher Dimensional Systems

- Our complete analysis has been for one dimensional systems.
- One can also study higher dimensional DDEs.
- These have a richer structure!

For example, consider the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-10^{-5} \phi_{e}^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c_{e} \phi_{e}^{\prime}(\xi)=1.6\left(\phi_{o}(\xi)-2 \phi_{e}(\xi)+\phi_{o}(\xi-2)\right)-15 f_{\mathrm{cub}}\left(\phi_{e}(\xi), a\right) \\
\left.-10^{-5} \phi_{o}^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-c_{o} \phi_{o}^{\prime}(\xi)=1.6\left(\phi_{e}(\xi+2)-2 \phi_{o}(\xi)\right)+\phi_{e}(\xi)\right)-15 f_{\mathrm{cub}}\left(\phi_{o}(\xi), a\right) \tag{37}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solutions were normalized to have $\phi_{e}(0)=a$ and $\phi_{o}(-1)=a$. If we choose $c_{e}=c_{o}$ and $\phi_{o}(\xi)=\phi_{e}(\xi+1)$, the system (37) reduces to a one dimensional problem which has a unique solution.

## Period Two Bifurcation - Solution Is No Longer Unique



## Ising Spin Model

Application of higher dimensional systems.


Atoms arranged on 1d lattice, each atom has spin vector $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$. Very important model in solid state physics.

In magnetic field governed by dipole neighbour-neighbour interactions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{s}_{1}(x, t)=\lambda s_{2}(x, t) \\
& \dot{s}_{2}(x, t)=-\lambda x_{1}(x, t)+s_{3}(x, t)\left(s_{1}(x-1, t)+s_{1}(x+1, t)\right) \\
& \dot{s}_{3}(x, t)=-s_{2}(x, t)\left(s_{1}(x-1, t)+s_{1}(x+1, t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with normalization $s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+s_{3}^{2}=1$.

## Ising Spin Model continued

Using travelling wave ansatz, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
c s_{1}^{\prime}(\xi) & =-\lambda s_{2}(\xi) \\
c s_{2}^{\prime}(\xi) & =\lambda s_{1}(\xi)-\sqrt{1-s_{1}^{2}(\xi)-s_{2}^{2}(\xi)}\left(s_{1}(\xi-1)+s_{1}(\xi+1)\right) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

- Our method cannot solve this equation as yet.
- Presence of periodic solutions complicates matters.
- Want to adapt method to handle this case.


## Possible future research

- Study high dimensional bifurcations in greater detail. Attempts to find period 4 bifurcation in 4d systems has failed.
- Generalize results to higher dimensions.
- Include periodic solutions.


## The End

## The End

