

The pro-étale fundamental group

Wouter Zomervrucht, December 16, 2014

1. Infinite Galois theory

We develop an ‘infinite’ version of Grothendieck’s Galois theory. It was introduced first by Noohi [3] and slightly modified by Bhatt–Scholze [2].

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $X \in \mathcal{C}$. A *subobject* of X is a monomorphism $Y \rightarrow X$. We say that X is *connected* if it has precisely two isomorphism classes of subobjects. \blacklozenge

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ a functor. Then \mathcal{C} is an *infinite Galois category* with *fundamental functor* F if

- ▶ \mathcal{C} has colimits and finite limits,
- ▶ F preserves colimits and finite limits,
- ▶ F is faithful and conservative,
- ▶ each $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a coproduct of connected objects, and
- ▶ $\text{Aut } F \curvearrowright F(X)$ is transitive for each connected $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

For technical reasons we should also assume that the subcategory of connected objects in \mathcal{C} is essentially small; however, we ignore that. \blacklozenge

Remark 1.3. This is what Bhatt–Scholze call a *tame* infinite Galois category. \blacklozenge

Example 1.4. Let G be a topological group. Then $G\text{-Set}$ with the forgetful functor $G\text{-Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is an infinite Galois category. \blacklozenge

Let (\mathcal{C}, F) be an infinite Galois category. Endow $\text{Aut } F \subseteq \prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{S}(F(X))$ with the induced topology, where each $\text{S}(F(X))$ is given the compact-open topology. (If $F(X)$ is finite, this coincides with the discrete topology.) Then $\text{Aut } F$ acts continuously on each $F(X)$, so we get a functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Aut } F\text{-Set}$.

Theorem 1.5. \mathcal{F} is an equivalence.

Proof. Take $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and write $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ with each X_i connected. Then $F(X) = \coprod_{i \in I} F(X_i)$ and $\text{Aut } F$ acts transitively on each $F(X_i)$. In other words, \mathcal{F} preserves connected components. Hence, the subobjects of X correspond bijectively to the subobjects of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Identifying a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with its graph $\Gamma_f: X \rightarrow X \times Y$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(X, Y) &= \{\text{subobjects } \Gamma: X \rightarrow X \times Y \text{ with } \pi_X \circ \Gamma = \text{id}_X\} \\ &= \{\text{subobjects } \Delta: \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \times \mathcal{F}(Y) \text{ with } \pi_{\mathcal{F}(X)} \circ \Delta = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(X)}\} \\ &= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

using that F is faithful and conservative. We conclude that \mathcal{F} is fully faithful.

Let $U \subseteq \text{Aut } F$ be an open subgroup; we show that $\text{Aut}(F)/U$ is in the essential image of \mathcal{F} . The topology shows that there are $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X_1), \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{F}(X_n)$ such that U contains the simultaneous stabilizer $V \subseteq \text{Aut } F$ of x_1, \dots, x_n . Let Y be the connected component of $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ with $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F(Y)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(Y) \cong \text{Aut}(F)/V$ as $\text{Aut } F$ -sets. Being a colimit, the quotient $X = Y/(U/V)$ exists, and $\mathcal{F}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(F)/U$. \blacksquare

2. Noohi groups

We shall now classify the type of topological group that arises as $\text{Aut } F$. First recall the classical situation: let G be a topological group and $F: G\text{-Set} \rightarrow \text{FSet}$ the forgetful functor, then G is profinite if and only if the natural map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } F$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a topological group and $F: G\text{-Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ the forgetful functor. Then G is *Noohi* if the natural map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } F$ is an isomorphism. \blacklozenge

Example 2.2. Let X be a set. Then $S(X)$ with the compact-open topology is Noohi. To see this, note that the canonical $S(X)$ -action on X is continuous. We get a projection $\text{Aut } F \rightarrow S(X)$, and the composition $S(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut } F \rightarrow S(X)$ is the identity. So certainly $S(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut } F$ is injective.

Let $U \subseteq S(X)$ be an open subgroup. There exists a finite subset $F \subseteq X$ whose pointwise stabilizer S_F is contained in U . (These form a basis of open neighborhoods of the identity.) The natural map $S(X) \rightarrow X^F$ yields an $S(X)$ -equivariant injection $S(X)/S_F \rightarrow X^F$. Now choose $\alpha \in \text{Aut } F$. Its action on X determines its action on X^F , hence on $S(X)/S_F$, hence on $S(X)/U$. So α is already determined by its action on X , i.e. $S(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut } F$ is surjective. \blacklozenge

In the classical case, there is also a fully topological characterization: a topological group G is profinite if and only if G is totally disconnected, compact and Hausdorff. For Noohi groups, we have the following.

Theorem 2.3 ([2], 7.1.5). A topological group G is Noohi if and only if G is complete and its open subgroups form a basis of open neighborhoods of $1 \in G$. \blacklozenge

Here *complete* means that G is Hausdorff and closed in all its topological supergroups. Equivalently, G is Raïkov complete, or complete for its two-sided uniformity. Details can be found in [1], §3.6; we just remark that any Hausdorff group G has a natural completion G^* , and $G \subseteq G^*$ is dense.

Lemma 2.4. Let G be a Hausdorff group and $U \subseteq G$ an open subgroup. If U is Noohi, then so is G .

Proof. If the open subgroups of U form a basis of open neighborhoods of $1 \in U$, they also do so in G . It remains to prove that G is complete. Let G^* be its completion. Being Noohi, U is closed in G . By assumption $U \subseteq G$ is also open, hence $G = \coprod_{g \in G/U} gU$. Taking closures in G^* , we get

$$G^* = \overline{G} = \coprod_{g \in G/U} \overline{gU} = \coprod_{g \in G/U} gU = G,$$

using again that U is Noohi, hence closed in G^* . \blacksquare

Locally compact Hausdorff groups are complete. This yields lots of examples of Noohi groups: discrete groups, profinite groups, local fields, rings of integers in local fields. In another direction, $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$ (endowed with the colimit topology) is Noohi. Indeed, since $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$ is abelian, we have $\text{Aut } F = \lim_U \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell/U$, where the limit is taken over all open subgroups; and the natural map $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell \rightarrow \lim_U \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell/U$ is an isomorphism. By the preceding lemma, also $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is Noohi.

Theorem 2.5. A topological group G is Noohi if and only if G is isomorphic to $\text{Aut } F$ for some infinite Galois category (\mathcal{C}, F) .

Proof. If G is Noohi, then $G\text{-Set}$ with the forgetful functor $F: G\text{-Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is an infinite Galois category, and by definition $G \cong \text{Aut } F$. Conversely, let (\mathcal{C}, F) be an infinite Galois category. Recall that $\text{Aut } F$ is a closed subgroup of $\prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} S(F(X))$. By theorem 2.3 being Noohi is stable under taking products and closed subgroups, hence $\text{Aut } F$ is Noohi. \blacksquare

3. The pro-étale fundamental group

Let X be a locally noetherian scheme.

Theorem 3.1 ([2], 7.3.9). *For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_{\text{pro-et}}$, the following are equivalent:*

- ▶ \mathcal{F} is locally constant, i.e. there is a pro-étale cover $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ with each \mathcal{F}_{U_i} constant, and
- ▶ \mathcal{F} is a geometric cover, i.e. \mathcal{F} is representable by an étale X -scheme that satisfies the valuative criterion of properness. ◆

Recall that $Y \rightarrow X$ satisfies the *valuative criterion of properness* if for all discrete valuation rings R with fraction field K and all solid commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } K & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 \downarrow & \exists! \nearrow & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } R & \longrightarrow & X
 \end{array}$$

there is a unique lift $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow Y$ making the full diagram commute. If $Y \rightarrow X$ were also of finite type, then the valuative criterion is equivalent to properness. But we do not assume any finiteness conditions!

Example 3.2. If $X = \text{Spec } k$ for a field k , then it is easily verified that both the locally constant sheaves and the geometric covers are precisely the sheaves $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(X_{\text{pro-et}})$ that are pullbacks from $\text{Sh}(X_{\text{et}})$ via the morphism of sites $X_{\text{pro-et}} \rightarrow X_{\text{et}}$. ◆

Proof (locally constant \Rightarrow geometric cover). First suppose \mathcal{F} is constant. Then certainly \mathcal{F} is representable by an étale X -scheme that satisfies the valuative criterion of properness; moreover \mathcal{F} is separated. Now if \mathcal{F} is locally constant, by fpqc descent \mathcal{F} is at least an étale separated algebraic space over X satisfying the valuative criterion of properness. But algebraic spaces locally quasi-finite separated over a scheme are representable by schemes. ◆

We write $\text{Cov } X \subseteq \text{Sh}(X_{\text{pro-et}})$ for the full subcategory of geometric covers (equivalently, of locally constant sheaves).

Now assume X is connected. Choose a geometric point \bar{x} of X , and let $F_{\bar{x}}: \text{Cov } X \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be the fibre functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$. We define (finally) the pro-étale fundamental group.

Definition 3.3. The *pro-étale fundamental group* of (X, \bar{x}) is $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Aut } F_{\bar{x}}$. ◆

Theorem 3.4. *The pair $(\text{Cov } X, F_{\bar{x}})$ is an infinite Galois category.*

Proof. We omit the verifications that $\text{Cov } X$ has colimits and finite limits, that F commutes with them, and that F is faithful and conservative.

Let Y/X be a geometric cover. Since Y is locally noetherian, its connected components are open and closed. The components are geometric covers as well. Suppose Y is connected; we prove that it is a connected object. Let Z be a subobject. The image of Z in Y is open and stable under specializations since $Z \rightarrow Y$ is also a geometric cover. Using again that Y is locally noetherian, this implies that the image of Z in Y is closed. By connectedness of Y , the image is either \emptyset or Y . In the latter case $Z \rightarrow Y$ is geometric cover and a homeomorphism, hence an isomorphism.

We now show that, for Y connected, the action of $\text{Aut } F_{\bar{x}}$ on $Y_{\bar{x}}$ is transitive. Let \bar{y}, \bar{y}' be lifts of \bar{x} to geometric points of Y . As Y is locally noetherian and connected, there exists a

'path' $\bar{y} = \bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n = \bar{y}'$ of specializations and generalizations. Let $\bar{x} = \bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n = \bar{x}$ be the images in X . Choose discrete valuation rings R_i and maps $\text{Spec } R_i \rightarrow Y$ with \bar{y}_{i-1}, \bar{y}_i as special respectively generic fibre or conversely. By the valuative criterion of properness we obtain isomorphisms of fibre functors

$$F_{\bar{x}} = F_{\bar{x}_0} \cong F_{\bar{x}_1} \cong \dots \cong F_{\bar{x}_n} = F_{\bar{x}}$$

hence an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut } F_{\bar{x}}$. By construction α maps \bar{y} to \bar{y}' . ■

We mention some good properties.

Lemma 3.5 ([2], 7.4.3). *The profinite completion $\hat{\pi}^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})$ is $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$.* ◆

Lemma 3.6 ([2], 7.4.10). *If X is geometrically unibranch, then $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$.* ◆

Classically, representations of $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$ contain useful information. For instance, the category of finite rank locally free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -sheaves on X is equivalent to the category of continuous representations of $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$ in finite rank free \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -modules. However, the analogue for \mathbb{Q}_ℓ fails in general.

Theorem 3.7 ([2], 7.4.7). *The category of finite rank locally free \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaves on X is equivalent to the category of continuous representations of $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})$ in finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces.* ◆

The theorem is actually true for any algebraic extension K/\mathbb{Q}_ℓ , since $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a Noohi group.

4. Example: the nodal curve

Let X be the nodal curve, i.e. the projective line with 0 and ∞ glued together transversally. We have seen its finite étale covers. There is a unique connected degree n finite étale cover $Y_n \rightarrow X$; it consists of n copies of \mathbb{P}^1 where 0 in the i^{th} copy is identified with ∞ in the $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ copy, cyclically. We concluded $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

There is also an étale cover $Y_\infty \rightarrow X$, consisting of countably many copies of \mathbb{P}^1 glued as before. It is not finite étale, but it is an geometric cover.

Lemma 4.1. *Y_∞ and $Y_n, n \geq 1$ are the only connected geometric covers of the nodal curve X .*

Proof. Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a connected geometric cover. Construct a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

All geometric covers of \mathbb{P}^1 are trivial, so $\tilde{Y} = \coprod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $U \subset X$ be the complement of the node, and V its inverse image in Y . Then we get a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i \in I} \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & U. \end{array}$$

But $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism, so $V = \coprod_{i \in I} \mathbb{G}_m$. Choose $i \in I$ and consider the point 0 in the i^{th} copy of \mathbb{P}^1 . It is mapped to the node of X , so is identified in Y with precisely one point ∞ of some \mathbb{P}^1 (possibly after application of an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 switching 0 and ∞). Continue this process. If after n steps we get back at the original \mathbb{P}^1 , then $Y = Y_n$. Otherwise, we have $Y = Y_\infty$. ■

Corollary 4.2. $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Each geometric cover is a disjoint union of quotients of Y_∞ . Therefore $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})$ consists of those permutations of $F_{\bar{x}}(Y) = Y_{\bar{x}} = \mathbb{Z}$ that commute with all automorphisms of Y/X . These automorphisms induce translations on \mathbb{Z} , and the only permutations commuting with all translations are the translations themselves. ■

Remark 4.3. Intuitively, $Y_\infty \rightarrow X$ is the ‘universal’ cover. We can now make this precise. Let (X, \bar{x}) be a geometrically pointed connected locally noetherian scheme. Suppose $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})$ is discrete. Then the *universal cover* of X is the geometric cover that corresponds under the equivalence $\text{Cov } X \rightarrow \pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})\text{-Set}$ to the set $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})$ with the regular action. The automorphism group of the universal cover is clearly isomorphic to $\pi^{\text{pro-et}}(X, \bar{x})$ ◆

References

- [1] A. Arhangel’skii, M. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*. Atlantis Studies in Mathematics 1, Atlantis Press, 2008.
- [2] B. Bhatt, P. Scholze, *The pro-étale topology for schemes*. Preprint, 2013.
- [3] B. Noohi, *Fundamental groups of topological stacks with the slice property*. Algebraic and Geometric Topology 8, pp. 1333–1370, Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2008.